

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



June 8, 2011

FILE NO. CA2011-006

Patti Ringo
Extenet Systems
Director, Municipal Relations, Western Region
1464 Madera Road, Suite N-110
Simi Valley, CA 93065

SUBJECT: Communication Infrastructure Provider (CIP) Audit of Extenet Systems in southern California

Dear Ms Ringo:

On behalf of the Utilities Safety and Reliability Branch of the California Public Utilities Commission, I conducted a CIP audit of Extenet Systems in southern California on May 2 through May 4, 2011.

I found that Extenet Systems' personnel did not document all General Orders (GO) 95 violations at the time of inspections. Attached to this letter is a list of the violations I observed during the audit.

Within 30 days from the date of this letter, provide us with a written response indicating the corrective measures taken by Extenet Systems regarding the violations noted, and the dates they were or will be corrected.

If you have any questions, you may contact me at (213) 576-7016.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mahmoud (Steve) Intably", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Mahmoud (Steve) Intably, P.E.
Utilities Engineer
Utilities Safety and Reliability Branch
Consumer Protection and Safety Division

Enclosure: Violations List

Violations List

List of General Orders (GO) 95 violations that were observed during the audit and were not documented in Extenet Systems' inspection records.

GO 95, Rule 18A Resolution of Safety Hazards And General Order 95 Violations

GO 95, Rule 18A requires CIPs to have an auditable inspection and maintenance program. Extenet Systems did not have an auditable inspection and maintenance program for its facilities and lines that include "a timeline for corrective actions to be taken following the identification of a safety hazard or violation of General Orders 95".

GO 95, Rule 31.2 Inspection of Lines

Rule 31.1 states:

"Lines shall be inspected frequently and thoroughly for the purpose of insuring that they are in good condition so as to conform with these rules. Lines temporarily out of service shall be inspected and maintained in such condition as not to create a hazard."

Extenet Systems did not inspect its facilities frequently and thoroughly.

GO 95, Rule 31.1 Design, Construction and Maintenance

Rule 31.1 states:

"Electrical supply and communication systems shall be designed, constructed, and maintained for their intended use, regard being given to the conditions under which they are to be operated, to enable the furnishing of safe, proper, and adequate service..."

Pole number 2074721 (node 39) had a bracket extended from the surface of the pole that posed a safety hazard to pedestrians.

GO 95, Rule 31.6 Abandoned Lines

Rule 31.6 states:

"Lines or portions of lines permanently abandoned shall be removed by their owners so that such lines shall not become a public nuisance or a hazard to life or property..."

Each of the following poles had an abandoned fiber optic cable:

- P110982 (node 41)