

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



August 7, 2012

Esther Northrup
Regulatory Affairs
Cox Communications
5651 Copley Drive
San Diego, CA 92111

CA2012-007

SUBJECT: Audit of Cox Communications' (Cox) North San Diego System

Dear Ms. Northrup:

On behalf of the Electric Safety and Reliability Branch of the California Public Utilities Commission, Mahmoud Intably, Koko Tomassian, and Richard Kyo of my staff conducted a CIP audit of Cox's North San Diego system from May 14, 2012 to May 18, 2012. The audit included a review of Cox's records, compliance with Commission Decision 10-04-047, and field inspections of Cox's facilities.

During the audit, we identified violations of one or more General Orders. A copy of the audit summary itemizing the violations is enclosed. Please advise me no later than September 11, 2012, by electronic or hard copy, of all corrective measures taken by Cox Communications to remedy and prevent such violations.

If you have any questions concerning this audit you can contact Mahmoud Intably at (213) 576-7016 or Mahmoud.Intably@cpuc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Stepanian", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Raffy Stepanian, P.E.
Program Manager
Electric Safety and Reliability Branch
Consumer Protection and Safety Division

Enclosure: Audit Summary

CC: Raymond Fugere, Program and Project Supervisor, CPUC
Mahmoud Intably, Utilities Engineer, CPUC

Audit Summary

1	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P213294
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u>		
<p>GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p>The pole had a Cox service drop and a “terminal connection wire” touching a cable of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

2	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P203340
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Stepping</u>		
<p>GO 95, Rule 91.3-B, Location of Steps, states in part:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“The lowest step shall be not less than 7 feet 6 inches from the ground line and above this point steps shall be placed, with spacing between steps on the same side of the pole not exceeding 36 inches, at least to that conductor level above which only circuits operated and maintained by one party remain. Steps shall be so placed that runs or risers do not interfere with the free use of the steps.”</i></p> <p>The pole had the lowest step at 6 feet 6 inches. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

3	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P213293
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Damaged Ground Moulding</u>		
<p>GO 95, Rule 84.6-B, Ground Wire, states in part:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“Ground wires, other than lightning protection wires not attached to equipment or ground wires on grounded structures, shall be covered by metal pipe or suitable covering of wood or metal, or of plastic conduit material as specified in Rule 22.8–A ...”</i></p> <p>The pole had a Cox ground wire that was not covered by a suitable covering as specified in Rule 22.8-A. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

4	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P19676
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u>		
<p>GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...”</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p>The pole had a Cox guy wire touching a cable of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

5	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P14334
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Damaged Ground Moulding</u>		
<p>GO 95, Rule 84.6-B, Ground Wire, states in part:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“Ground wires, other than lightning protection wires not attached to equipment or ground wires on grounded structures, shall be covered by metal pipe or suitable covering of wood or metal, or of plastic conduit material as specified in Rule 22.8–A ...”</i></p> <p>The pole had a Cox ground wire that was not covered by a suitable covering as specified in Rule 22.8-A. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

6	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P14335
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Damaged Ground Moulding</u>		
GO 95, Rule 84.6-B, Ground Wire, states in part:		
<i>“Ground wires, other than lightning protection wires not attached to equipment or ground wires on grounded structures, shall be covered by metal pipe or suitable covering of wood or metal, or of plastic conduit material as specified in Rule 22.8-A ...”</i>		
The pole had a Cox ground wire that was not covered by a suitable covering as specified in Rule 22.8-A. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.		

7	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P15822
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Damaged Ground Moulding</u>		
GO 95, Rule 84.6-B, Ground Wire, states in part:		
<i>“Ground wires, other than lightning protection wires not attached to equipment or ground wires on grounded structures, shall be covered by metal pipe or suitable covering of wood or metal, or of plastic conduit material as specified in Rule 22.8-A ...”</i>		
The pole had a Cox ground wire that was not covered by a suitable covering as specified in Rule 22.8-A. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.		

8	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P15823
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="191 527 573 558"><u>Abandoned Service Drop</u></p> <p data-bbox="191 600 914 632">GO 95, Rule 31.6, Abandoned Lines, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="326 674 1485 852"><i>“Lines or portions of lines permanently abandoned shall be removed by their owners so that such lines shall not become a public nuisance or a hazard to life or property. For the purposes of this rule, lines that are permanently abandoned shall be defined as those lines that are determined by their owner to have no foreseeable future use.”</i></p> <p data-bbox="191 894 1438 961">The pole had an abandoned Cox service drop. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p> <p data-bbox="191 1003 724 1035"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="191 1077 1317 1108">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="326 1150 1485 1255"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="326 1297 1406 1365"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="191 1407 1398 1474">The pole had a Cox service drop touching an SDG&E guy wire. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

9	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P15820
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p><u>Damaged Ground Moulding</u></p> <p>GO 95, Rule 84.6-B, Ground Wire, states in part:</p> <p><i>“Ground wires, other than lightning protection wires not attached to equipment or ground wires on grounded structures, shall be covered by metal pipe or suitable covering of wood or metal, or of plastic conduit material as specified in Rule 22.8–A ...”</i></p> <p>The pole had a ground wire that was not covered by a suitable covering as specified in Rule 22.8-A. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p> <p><u>Reduced Clearance Above Ground</u></p> <p>GO 95, Rule 84.8-C3b, Clearances above Ground and Buildings states in part:</p> <p><i>“Over areas accessible to pedestrians only, the vertical clearance shall not be less than 10 feet.</i></p> <p><i>EXCEPTION:</i> <i>If the building served does not permit an attachment which will provide this 10 foot clearance without the installation of a structure on the building, the clearance shall be as great as possible but in no case less than 8 feet 6 inches.”</i></p> <p>The pole had a Cox service drop passing over a residential premise accessible only to pedestrians with a ground clearance of 7 feet 8 inches. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

10	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P15819
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 626 636"><u>Damaged Ground Moulding</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 894 709">GO 95, Rule 84.6-B, Ground Wire, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="337 747 1479 890"><i>“Ground wires, other than lightning protection wires not attached to equipment or ground wires on grounded structures, shall be covered by metal pipe or suitable covering of wood or metal, or of plastic conduit material as specified in Rule 22.8-A ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 930 1458 999">The pole had a Cox ground wire that was not covered by a suitable covering as specified in Rule 22.8-A. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p> <p data-bbox="204 1039 756 1075"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Cables</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 1113 1333 1148">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="337 1186 1455 1295"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="337 1333 1419 1402"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1442 1435 1547">The pole has a Cox “terminal connection wire” touching a cable of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

11	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P617123
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 569 623 604"><u>Inadequate Climbing Space</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 642 906 678">GO 95, Rule 84.7, Climbing Space, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 716 1365 789"><i>“Climbing space shall be provided on one side or quadrant of all poles or structures supporting communications conductors ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 827 1435 900">The pole had a Cox service drop in climbing space. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p> <p data-bbox="204 938 561 974"><u>Unsecured Bridled Run</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 1012 906 1047">GO 95, Rule 84.6-D, Vertical Runs, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 1085 1468 1190"><i>“ ... Where bridled runs are not required to be covered by these rules, they shall be supported by bridle hooks or rings spaces at intervals of not more than 24 inches. ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1228 1468 1302">The pole had a Cox bridled run that was not secured at intervals not more than 24 inches. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p> <p data-bbox="204 1339 483 1375"><u>Unprotected Riser</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 1413 813 1449">GO 95, Rule 87.7-D1, Risers states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 1486 1429 1560"><i>“Risers shall be protected from the ground level to a level not less than 8 feet above the ground...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1598 1459 1671">The pole had a Cox riser that was not protected from the ground level to 8 feet above the ground. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

12	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P199261
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection::	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u>		
<p>GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p>The pole has a Cox cable touching a cable of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

13	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P21954
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Missing Guy Guard</u>		
<p>GO 95, Rule 86.9, Guy Marker (Guy Guard), states:</p> <p><i>“A substantial marker of suitable material, including but not limited to metal or plastic, not less than 8 feet in length, shall be securely attached to all anchor guys. Where more than one guy is attached to an anchor rod, only the outermost guy is required to have a marker.”</i></p> <p>The pole had a Cox anchor guy without a guy guard. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

14	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P199584
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 623 636"><u>Inadequate Climbing Space</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 906 709">GO 95, Rule 84.7, Climbing Space, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="342 747 1365 821"><i>“Climbing space shall be provided on one side or quadrant of all poles or structures supporting communications conductors ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 858 1463 932">The pole had Cox conduits in the climbing space. These violations were not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p> <p data-bbox="204 970 740 1005"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 1043 1333 1079">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="342 1117 1455 1224"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="342 1262 1419 1335"><i>“The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1373 1414 1446">The pole had a Cox service drop touching a service drop of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

15	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P16768
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Abandoned Service Drop</u>		
GO 95, Rule 31.6, Abandoned Lines, states in part:		
<p style="text-align: center;"><i>“Lines or portions of lines permanently abandoned shall be removed by their owners so that such lines shall not become a public nuisance or a hazard to life or property. For the purposes of this rule, lines that are permanently abandoned shall be defined as those lines that are determined by their owner to have no foreseeable future use.”</i></p>		
The pole had an abandoned Cox service drop. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.		

16	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P214845
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Separated Messenger Wire</u>		
GO 95, Rule 31.1, Additional Construction, states in part:		
<p style="text-align: center;"><i>“Electrical supply and communication systems shall be designed, constructed, and maintained for their intended use, regard being given to the conditions under which they are to be operated, to enable the furnishing of safe, proper, and adequate service.”</i></p>		
The pole supported a Cox service drop with a damaged messenger wire. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.		

17	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P18082
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u>		
<p>GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p>The pole had a Cox guy wire touching a service drop of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

18	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P18646
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Loose Conduit</u>		
<p>GO 95, Rule 84.6-F, Protective Covering, states in part:</p> <p><i>“Protective covering shall be attached to poles, crossarms and structures by means of corrosion-resistant straps, lags or staples which are adequate to maintain such covering in a fixed position.”</i></p> <p>The pole supported a Cox conduit that had loose straps. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

19	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P18647
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1333 709">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1455 856"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 894 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1003 1455 1073">The pole had a Cox service drop with less than 12 inches of radial clearance from an SDG&E service drop. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

20	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P18648
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1333 709">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1455 856"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 894 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1003 1414 1075">The pole had a Cox service drop touching a service drop of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

21	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P318568J
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1333 709">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1455 856"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 894 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1003 1455 1073">The pole had a Cox service drop with less than 12 inches of radial clearance from an SDG&E service drop. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

22	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P717575
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 30, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 14, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 561 636"><u>Unsecured Bridled Run</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 906 709">GO 95, Rule 84.6-D, Vertical Runs, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1468 852"><i>“ ... Where bridled runs are not required to be covered by these rules, they shall be supported by bridle hooks or rings spaces at intervals of not more than 24 inches. ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 894 1463 999">The pole had a Cox bridled run that was not supported by bridle hooks or rings spaces at intervals of not more than 24 inches. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p> <p data-bbox="204 1041 483 1077"><u>Unprotected Riser</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 1115 813 1150">GO 95, Rule 87.7-D1, Risers states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 1188 1430 1262"><i>“Risers shall be protected from the ground level to a level not less than 8 feet above the ground...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1299 1463 1365">The pole had a Cox riser that was not protected from the ground level to 8 feet above the ground. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

23	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P516674
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection::	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 561 636"><u>Unsecured Bridled Run</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 906 709">GO 95, Rule 84.6-D, Vertical Runs, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1468 852"><i>“ ... Where bridled runs are not required to be covered by these rules, they shall be supported by bridle hooks or rings spaces at intervals of not more than 24 inches. ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 894 1459 999">The pole had a Cox bridled run that was not supported by bridle hooks or rings spaces at intervals of not more than 24 inches. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p> <p data-bbox="204 1041 509 1077"><u>Unattached Conduit</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 1115 989 1150">GO 95, Rule 84.6-F, Protective Covering, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 1188 1411 1293"><i>”Protective covering shall be attached to poles, crossarms and structures by means of corrosion-resistant straps, lags, or staples which are adequate to maintain such covering in a fixed position.”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1335 1479 1400">The pole supported a Cox conduit that was not attached to the pole. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

24	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P516671
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1333 709">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1455 856"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 894 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1003 1474 1073">The pole had a Cox service drop with less than 12 inches of radial clearance from another communication facility. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p> <p data-bbox="204 1113 362 1148"><u>Low cable</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 1186 1295 1222">GO 95 Rule 84.8-C1, Clearances Above Public Thoroughfares, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="290 1260 979 1295"><i>“Vertical clearance shall not be less than 18 feet.</i></p> <p data-bbox="290 1333 1461 1514"><i>“EXCEPTION: Not more than 12 feet horizontally from the curb line, the 18 foot clearance may be gradually reduced to not less than 16 feet at the curb line. In no case shall the clearance at the center line be less than 18 feet. Where there are no curbs, the foregoing provisions shall apply using the outer limits of normal longitudinal vehicular movement in lieu of a curb line.”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1554 1474 1659">The pole supported a Cox cable with a vertical clearance of less than 18 feet at the center line above a public thoroughfare. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

25	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P516675
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 602 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1333 707">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1455 852"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 892 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1003 1463 1073">The pole had a Cox service drop touching a cable of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

26	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P516677
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p>GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43”</i></p> <p>The pole had a Cox service drop touching a cable of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

27	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P516678
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 602 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1333 707">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1455 852"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 894 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1005 1463 1073">The pole had a Cox service drop touching a cable of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

28	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P516679
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 602 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 678 1333 711">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 749 1455 856"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 896 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1005 1455 1075">The pole had a Cox cable with less than 12 inches of radial separation from a street light service drop. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

29	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P615517
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 602 678 636"><u>Riser Not Effectively Grounded</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 678 1471 747">GO 95, Rule 87.7-D1a, Covered from Ground Level to 8 Feet above the Ground, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="323 787 1373 961"><i>“Securely or effectively grounded iron or steel pipe (or other covering at least of equal strength). When metallic sheathed cable rising from underground non-metallic conduit is protected by metallic pipe or moulding, such pipe or moulding shall be effectively grounded as specified in Rule 21.4–A.”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1003 1422 1073">The pole had a Cox riser with a cover that was not effectively grounded. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

30	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P615518
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1333 709">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1455 856"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 894 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1001 1443 1071">The pole had a Cox cable touching guy wires. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

31	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P615519
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1333 709">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1455 856"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 894 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1003 1463 1073">The pole had a Cox service drop touching a cable of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

32	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P137712
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="207 604 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="207 678 1333 709">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="342 751 1455 856"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="342 898 1419 961"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="207 1003 1463 1066">The pole had a Cox service drop touching another communication company service drop. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

33	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P811494
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Damaged Ground Moulding</u>		
GO 95, Rule 84.6-B, Ground Wire, states in part:		
<i>“Ground wires, other than lightning protection wires not attached to equipment or ground wires on grounded structures, shall be covered by metal pipe or suitable covering of wood or metal, or of plastic conduit material as specified in Rule 22.8–A ...”</i>		
The pole had a Cox ground wire that was not covered by a suitable covering as specified in Rule 22.8-A. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.		

34	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. 131123
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u>		
GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:		
<i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...”</i>		
<i>“The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i>		
The pole had a Cox cable that was touching a cable of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.		

35	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. 614187
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 602 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1333 707">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1455 852"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 894 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1005 1349 1073">The pole had a Cox cable that was touching a cable of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

36	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. 614185
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 602 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 676 1333 709">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 749 1455 856"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 896 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1003 1349 1075">The pole had a Cox cable that was touching a cable of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

37	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. 614182
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 602 734 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Above Ground</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1295 707">GO 95, Rule 84.8-C1, Clearances above Ground and Buildings states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="342 747 1024 781"><i>“Vertical clearance shall not be less than 18 feet.</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 821 1479 1001"><i>EXCEPTION:</i> <i>Not more than 12 feet horizontally from the curb line, the 18 foot clearance may be gradually reduced to not less than 16 feet at the curb line. In not case shall the clearance at the center line be less than 18 feet. Where there are no curbs, the foregoing provisions shall apply using the outer limits of normal longitudinal vehicular movement in lieu of a curb line.”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1041 1466 1146">The pole had a Cox service drop with a ground clearance of 13 feet 6 inches at the curb line and 16 feet at the center line passing over public thoroughfare. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

38	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P614183
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 602 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1333 707">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1455 852"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 894 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1005 1463 1073">The pole had a Cox service drop touching a cable of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

39	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. 616917
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u>		
<p>GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43”</i></p> <p>The pole had a Cox service drop touching a cable of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

40	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. 513858
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Inadequate Climbing Space</u>		
<p>GO 95, Rule 84.7, Climbing Space, states in part:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“Climbing space shall be provided on one side or quadrant of all poles or structures supporting communications conductors ...”</i></p> <p>The pole had a Cox cable in the climbing space. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

41	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. 513856
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection::	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1333 709">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1455 856"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 894 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1003 1468 1073">The pole had a Cox service drop touching a guy wire. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

42	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. 513580
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p>GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p>The pole had a Cox service drop with less than 12 inches of radial clearance from an SDG&E service drop. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

43	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. 513578
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 561 636"><u>Unsecured Bridled Run</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 906 709">GO 95, Rule 84.6-D, Vertical Runs, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1468 852"><i>“ ... Where bridled runs are not required to be covered by these rules, they shall be supported by bridle hooks or rings spaces at intervals of not more than 24 inches. ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 894 1463 999">The pole had a Cox bridled run that was not supported by bridle hooks or rings spaces at intervals of not more than 24 inches. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p> <p data-bbox="204 1041 732 1077"><u>Reduced Clearance Above Ground</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 1115 1295 1150">GO 95, Rule 84.8-C1, Clearances above Ground and Buildings states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 1188 1024 1224"><i>“Vertical clearance shall not be less than 18 feet.</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 1262 1479 1440"><i>EXCEPTION:</i> <i>Not more than 12 feet horizontally from the curb line, the 18 foot clearance may be gradually reduced to not less than 16 feet at the curb line. In not case shall the clearance at the center line be less than 18 feet. Where there are no curbs, the foregoing provisions shall apply using the outer limits of normal longitudinal vehicular movement in lieu of a curb line.”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1482 1479 1661">The pole had a Cox service drop with a ground clearance of 13 feet 2 inches at the curb line and 17 feet 6 inches at the center line passing over public thoroughfare. A second Cox service drop had a ground clearance of 12 feet 8 inches at the curbline and centerline passing over public thoroughfare. These violations were not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

44	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. 513573
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p>GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p>The pole had a Cox service drop with less than 12 inches from an electric service drop. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

45	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. 711415
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 602 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1333 707">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1455 854"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 894 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1003 1446 1075">The pole had a Cox cable touching a cable of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

46	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. 713396
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 602 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 678 1333 711">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="342 749 1455 856"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="342 896 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1008 1446 1075">The pole had a Cox cable touching a cable of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

47	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. 713332
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p><u>Height of Lowest Pole Step</u> GO 95, Rule 91.3-B, Location of Steps, states in part:</p> <p><i>“The lowest step shall be not less than 7 feet 6 inches from the ground line and above this point steps shall be placed, with spacing between steps on the same side of the pole not exceeding 36 inches, at least to that conductor level above which only circuits operated and maintained by one party remain. Steps shall be so placed that runs or risers do not interfere with the free use of the steps.”</i></p> <p>The stepped pole had the lowest pole step at a height of 15 feet. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p> <p><u>Inadequate Climbing Space</u> GO 95, Rule 84.7, Climbing Space, states in part:</p> <p><i>“Climbing space shall be provided on one side or quadrant of all poles or structures supporting communications conductors ...”</i></p> <p>The pole had a Cox cable in the climbing space. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p> <p><u>Low Service Drop</u> GO 95 Rule 84.8-C(1), Clearances Above Public Thoroughfares, states in part:</p> <p><i>“Vertical clearance shall not be less than 18 feet.</i></p> <p><i>“EXCEPTION: Not more than 12 feet horizontally from the curb line, the 18 foot clearance may be gradually reduced to not less than 16 feet at the curb line. In no case shall the clearance at the center line be less than 18 feet. Where there are no curbs, the foregoing provisions shall apply using the outer limits of normal longitudinal vehicular movement in lieu of a curb line.”</i></p> <p>The pole supported a Cox cable passing over public thoroughfare with a ground clearance of 16 feet at the center of the thoroughfare. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

48	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. 713333
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 623 636"><u>Inadequate Climbing Space</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 906 709">GO 95, Rule 84.7, Climbing Space, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="342 747 1365 821"><i>“Climbing space shall be provided on one side or quadrant of all poles or structures supporting communications conductors ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 858 1435 928">The pole had a Cox service drop in climbing space. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

49	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. 713335
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 467 636"><u>Abandoned Drop</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 930 709">GO 95, Rule 31.6, Abandoned Lines, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1466 926"><i>“Lines or portions of lines permanently abandoned shall be removed by their owners so that such lines shall not become a public nuisance or a hazard to life or property. For the purposes of this rule, lines that are permanently abandoned shall be defined as those lines that are determined by their owner to have no foreseeable future use.”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 968 1455 1037">The pole had an abandoned Cox service drop. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p> <p data-bbox="204 1079 623 1115"><u>Inadequate Climbing Space</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 1152 906 1188">GO 95, Rule 84.7, Climbing Space, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 1226 1365 1295"><i>“Climbing space shall be provided on one side or quadrant of all poles or structures supporting communications conductors ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1337 1438 1407">The pole had a Cox service drop in climbing space. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

50	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P213056
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1333 709">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1455 856"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 894 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1003 1446 1073">The pole had a Cox cable touching a cable of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

51	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. 213055
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1333 709">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1455 856"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 894 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1003 1446 1073">The pole had a Cox cable touching a cable of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

52	Structure ID / Location:	3056 Kaywood Drive, Escondido, CA
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 602 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 676 1333 709">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 749 1455 856"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 896 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1003 1463 1073">The pole had a Cox service drop touching a cable of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

53	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. 213054
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1333 709">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1455 856"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 894 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1003 1386 1073">The pole had a Cox service drop loop touching a cable of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

54	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. 515204
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1333 709">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1455 856"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 894 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1003 1463 1075">The pole had a Cox cable touching a service drop of a different communication company. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

55	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. P213053
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 740 636"><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1333 709">GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="339 747 1455 856"><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p data-bbox="339 894 1419 963"><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 1003 1455 1071">The pole had a Cox service drop with less than 12 inches of radial clearance from an SDG&E service drop. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

56	Structure ID / Location:	Pole No. 2130518
	Date of Cox last overhead detailed inspection:	March 17, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 15, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p><u>Reduced Clearance Between Wires</u></p> <p>GO 95, Rule 38, Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, states in part:</p> <p><i>“The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table 2 and are based on a temperature of 60° F. and no wind. ...</i></p> <p><i>The clearances in Table 2 shall in no case be reduced more than 10 percent because of temperature and loading as specified in Rule 43 ...”</i></p> <p>The pole had a Cox service drop touching a cable of a different communication company. The pole also had a Cox cable with less than 3 feet of radial clearance from an SDG&E service drop. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the pole.</p>		

57	Structure ID / Location:	5127 Andrew Jackson Street, Oceanside, CA
	Date of Cox last underground detailed inspection:	November 16, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 17, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 537 636"><u>Pedestal Not Secured</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1248 709">GO 128, Rule 17.1, Design, Construction and Maintenance, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="337 747 1479 890"><i>“Electrical supply and communication systems shall be designed, constructed, and maintained for their intended use, regard being given to the conditions under which they are to be operated, to enable the furnishing of safe, proper, and adequate service”.</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 932 1422 997">The Cox pedestal was not properly secured. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the structure.</p>		

58	Structure ID / Location:	5072 Andrew Jackson Street, Oceanside, CA
	Date of Cox last underground detailed inspection:	November 16, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 17, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Pedestal Not Secured</u>		
<p>GO 128, Rule 17.1, Design, Construction and Maintenance, states in part:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“Electrical supply and communication systems shall be designed, constructed, and maintained for their intended use, regard being given to the conditions under which they are to be operated, to enable the furnishing of safe, proper, and adequate service”.</i></p> <p>The Cox pedestal was not properly secured. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the structure.</p>		

59	Structure ID / Location:	136 Harrison Street, Oceanside, CA
	Date of Cox last underground detailed inspection:	November 16, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 17, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Pedestal Not Secured</u>		
<p>GO 128, Rule 17.1, Design, Construction and Maintenance, states in part:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“Electrical supply and communication systems shall be designed, constructed, and maintained for their intended use, regard being given to the conditions under which they are to be operated, to enable the furnishing of safe, proper, and adequate service”.</i></p> <p>The Cox pedestal was not properly secured. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the structure.</p>		

60	Structure ID / Location:	203 Harrison Street, Oceanside, CA
	Date of Cox last underground detailed inspection:	November 16, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 17, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Pedestal Not Secured</u>		
GO 128, Rule 17.1, Design, Construction and Maintenance, states in part:		
<i>“Electrical supply and communication systems shall be designed, constructed, and maintained for their intended use, regard being given to the conditions under which they are to be operated, to enable the furnishing of safe, proper, and adequate service”.</i>		
The Cox pedestal was not properly secured. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the structure.		

61	Structure ID / Location:	215 Harrison Street, Oceanside, CA
	Date of Cox last underground detailed inspection:	November 16, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 17, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Pedestal Not Secured</u>		
GO 128, Rule 17.1, Design, Construction and Maintenance, states in part:		
<i>“Electrical supply and communication systems shall be designed, constructed, and maintained for their intended use, regard being given to the conditions under which they are to be operated, to enable the furnishing of safe, proper, and adequate service”.</i>		
The Cox pedestal was not properly secured. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the structure.		

62	Structure ID / Location:	113 Harding Street, Oceanside CA
	Date of Cox last underground detailed inspection:	November 16, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 17, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Pedestal Not Secured</u>		
<p>GO 128, Rule 17.1, Design, Construction and Maintenance, states in part:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“Electrical supply and communication systems shall be designed, constructed, and maintained for their intended use, regard being given to the conditions under which they are to be operated, to enable the furnishing of safe, proper, and adequate service”.</i></p> <p>The Cox pedestal was not properly secured. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the structure.</p>		

63	Structure ID / Location:	143 Harding Street, Oceanside CA
	Date of Cox last underground detailed inspection:	November 16, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 17, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Pedestal Not Secured</u>		
<p>GO 128, Rule 17.1, Design, Construction and Maintenance, states in part:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“Electrical supply and communication systems shall be designed, constructed, and maintained for their intended use, regard being given to the conditions under which they are to be operated, to enable the furnishing of safe, proper, and adequate service”.</i></p> <p>The Cox pedestal was not properly secured. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the structure.</p>		

64	Structure ID / Location:	163 Harding Street, Oceanside CA
	Date of Cox last underground detailed inspection:	November 16, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 17, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Pedestal Not Secured</u>		
GO 128, Rule 17.1, Design, Construction and Maintenance, states in part:		
<i>“Electrical supply and communication systems shall be designed, constructed, and maintained for their intended use, regard being given to the conditions under which they are to be operated, to enable the furnishing of safe, proper, and adequate service”.</i>		
The Cox pedestal was not properly secured. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the structure.		

65	Structure ID / Location:	191 Harding Street, Oceanside CA
	Date of Cox last underground detailed inspection:	November 16, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 17, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Pedestal Not Secured</u>		
GO 128, Rule 17.1, Design, Construction and Maintenance, states in part:		
<i>“Electrical supply and communication systems shall be designed, constructed, and maintained for their intended use, regard being given to the conditions under which they are to be operated, to enable the furnishing of safe, proper, and adequate service”.</i>		
The Cox pedestal was not properly secured. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the structure.		

66	Structure ID / Location:	5068 Tyler Street, Oceanside CA
	Date of Cox last underground detailed inspection:	November 16, 2011
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	May 17, 2012
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="204 600 537 636"><u>Pedestal Not Secured</u></p> <p data-bbox="204 674 1248 709">GO 128, Rule 17.1, Design, Construction and Maintenance, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="337 747 1479 890"><i>“Electrical supply and communication systems shall be designed, constructed, and maintained for their intended use, regard being given to the conditions under which they are to be operated, to enable the furnishing of safe, proper, and adequate service”.</i></p> <p data-bbox="204 932 1422 997">The Cox pedestal was not properly secured. This violation was not documented when Cox last visited the structure.</p>		