

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



October 12, 2012

Robert F. LeMoine  
Manager, Maintenance & Inspection  
Southern California Edison (SCE)  
3 Innovation Way  
Pomona, CA 91768

EA2012-024

**SUBJECT:** Audit of SCE's San Jacinto District – Wildomar

Dear Mr. LeMoine:

On behalf of the Electric Safety and Reliability Branch of the California Public Utilities Commission, Derek Fong and Richard Kyo of my staff conducted an audit of SCE's San Jacinto District from August 6, 2012, to August 10, 2012. The audit included a review of SCE's records and field inspections of SCE's facilities.

During the audit, my staff identified violations of one or more General Orders. A copy of the audit summary itemizing the violations is enclosed. Please advise me no later than November 16, 2012, by electronic or hard copy, of all corrective measures taken by SCE to remedy and prevent such violations.

If you have any questions concerning this audit, you can contact Derek Fong at (213) 576-6850 or [derek.fong@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:derek.fong@cpuc.ca.gov).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Stepanian", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Raffy Stepanian, P.E.  
Program Manager  
Electric Safety and Reliability Branch  
Consumer Protection and Safety Division

Enclosure: Audit Summary

CC: Raymond Fugere, Program and Project Supervisor, CPUC  
John Deng, Technical Specialist 3, SCE, Santa Ana

## AUDIT SUMMARY

<b>1.</b>	<b>Location:</b>	San Jacinto District
	<b>Date of CPUC Inspection:</b>	08/06/2012
<b>Explanation of Violation(s):</b>		
<b><u>Late Work Orders</u></b>		
<p>GO 165, Section IV, Standards for Inspection, Record-keeping, and Reporting, States in part:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>For all inspections, within a reasonable period, company records shall specify the circuit, area, or equipment inspected, the name of the inspector, the date of the inspection, and any problems identified during each inspection, as well as the scheduled date of corrective action.</i></p> <p>Your records indicated that from 2009 to 2012, 557 work orders were completed late. Additionally, in 2012, there were 408 open/past due work orders.</p>		
<b>2.</b>	<b>Location:</b>	Pole No. 1750315E
	<b>Previous SCE Visit Details:</b>	06/12/2012
	<b>Date of CPUC Inspection:</b>	08/06/2012
<b>Explanation of Violation(s):</b>		
<b><u>Damaged Ground Molding</u></b>		
<p>GO 95, Rule 54.6-B, Ground Wires, states in part:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>That portion of the ground wire attached on the face or back of wood crossarms or on the surface of wood poles and structures shall be covered by a suitable protective covering (see Rule 22.8).</i></p> <p>The pole had damaged ground molding. This violation was not documented when SCE last inspected the pole.</p>		

3.	<b>Location:</b>	Pole No. 1750317E
	<b>Previous SCE Visit Details:</b>	06/12/2012
	<b>Date of CPUC Inspection:</b>	08/06/2012
	<b>Explanation of Violation(s):</b>	
	<p data-bbox="186 558 560 590"><b><u>Damaged Ground Molding</u></b></p> <p data-bbox="186 632 831 663">GO 95, Rule 54.6-B, Ground Wires, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="321 705 1474 810"><i>That portion of the ground wire attached on the face or back of wood crossarms or on the surface of wood poles and structures shall be covered by a suitable protective covering (see Rule 22.8).</i></p> <p data-bbox="186 852 1484 921">The pole had damaged ground molding. This violation was not documented when SCE last inspected the pole.</p>	