

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



November 17, 2014

CA2013-015

David Clark
Regulatory Manager
Sebastian Corporation
811 S. Madera Avenue
Kerman, CA 93630

SUBJECT: Audit of Sebastian Corporation

Dear Mr. Clark:

On behalf of the Electric Safety and Reliability Branch (ESRB) of the California Public Utilities Commission Yusef Collins and Jamie Lau of my staff conducted a communication infrastructure provider (CIP) audit of Sebastian Corporation from December 2 to 4, 2013. The audit included a review of Sebastian's records and field inspections of Sebastian's facilities.

During the audit, we identified violations of one or more General Orders. A copy of the audit findings itemizing the violations is enclosed. Please advise me no later than December 22, 2014 by electronic or hard copy, of all corrective measures taken by Sebastian Corporation to remedy and prevent such violations.

If you have any questions concerning this audit please contact, Jamie Lau at (415) 703-2233 or jamie.lau@cpuc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Fadi Daye".

Fadi Daye, P.E.
Program and Project Supervisor
Electric Safety and Reliability Branch
Safety and Enforcement Division

Enclosure: Audit Findings

Cc: Elizaveta Malashenko, Deputy Director, Safety and Enforcement Division, CPUC
Charlotte TerKeurst, Program Manager, ESRB, CPUC
Alok Kumar, P.E., Senior Utilities Engineer Supervisor, ESRB, CPUC
Jamie Lau, P.E., Utilities Engineer, ESRB, CPUC

AUDIT FINDINGS

Company: Sebastian Corporation – Fresno County
 CIP Audit
 Date: December 2 - 4, 2013

| | | |
|-----------|--|--|
| A. | Location: | Sebastian Corporation – Fresno County |
| | Date Visited by CPUC: | 12/02/2013 - 12/04/2013 |
| | Explanation of Violation(s): | |
| | <u>Lack of Inspection Program</u> | |
| | <p>GO 128, Rule 17.2, Inspection, states:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Systems shall be inspected by the operator frequently and thoroughly for the purpose of insuring that they are in good condition and in conformance with all applicable requirements of these rules.</i></p> <p>At the time of the CPUC audit, Sebastian Corporation did not have an inspection program in place for its underground facilities, and did not routinely inspect its underground facilities for conformance with GO 128.</p> | |

The following are violations that ESRB engineers discovered during the field audit:

| | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| B. | Location: | 13601 Church Avenue, Kerman |
| | Facility: | Pedestal |
| | Date Visited by CPUC: | 12/02/2013 |
| Explanation of Violation(s): | | |
| <p><u>Pedestal Not Properly Secured</u></p> <p>GO 128, Rule 17.1, Design, Construction and Maintenance, states, in part:</p> <p><i>Electrical supply and communication systems shall be designed, constructed, and maintained for their intended use, regard being given to the conditions under which they are to be operated, to enable the furnishing of safe, proper, and adequate service.</i></p> <p>The pedestal was not locked, bolted, or otherwise secured from ingress.</p> | | |

| | | |
|--|---------------------|--|
| C. | Location(s): | 305 Goldenrod Ave, American Ave. & Butte Ave., Shields Ave. & Howard Ave., Park Ave. & California Ave., 10505 W. Kearney Blvd., Kearney Ave. & 6 th St., Kearney Ave. & 7 th St., 15841 C. St., 15401 W Monterey Ln., 452 S Manor Dr., 14858 G St., 710 S 6 th St., 14537 Palm Ct., 583 Pacheco Dr., 14655 W F St., 978 S 12 th St., 776 S 12 th St., C St. & 11 th St., 810 S 9 th St., California St. & 9 th St. |
| Date Visited by CPUC: | | 12/02/2013 - 12/04/2013 |
| Explanation of Violation(s): | | |
| <p><u>Missing Identification Markings</u></p> <p>GO 128, Rule 17.8, Identification of Manholes, Handholes, Subsurface and Self-contained Surface-mounted Equipment Enclosures, states:</p> <p><i>Manholes, handholes, subsurface and self-contained surface-mounted equipment enclosures shall be marked as to ownership to facilitate identification by persons authorized to work therein and by other persons performing work in their vicinity.</i></p> <p>Enclosures at these locations did not have identifying markings displaying ownership of facilities.</p> | | |