PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298

EA2013-005

June 5, 2013

Melvin Stark
Manager, Maintenance & Inspection
Southern California Edison (SCE)
3 Innovation Way
Pomona, CA 91768

SUBJECT: Audit of SCE's Bishop District

Dear Mr. Stark:

On behalf of the Electric Safety and Reliability Branch of the California Public Utilities Commission, Derek Fong, Richard Kyo, and Zelalem Ewnetu of my staff conducted an audit of SCE's Barstow District from April 23, 2013 to April 26, 2013. The audit included a review of SCE's records and field inspections of SCE's facilities.

During the audit, my staff identified violations of one or more General Orders. A copy of the audit summary itemizing the violations is enclosed. Please advise me no later than July 12, 2013 by electronic or hard copy, of all corrective measures taken by SCE to remedy and prevent such violations.

If you have any questions, you can contact Derek Fong at (213) 576-6850 or derek.fong@cpuc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Raymond G. Fugere, P.E.

Program & Project Supervisor

Electric and Communication Facility Safety Section

Safety and Enforcement Division

Enclosure: Audit Summary

AUDIT SUMMARY

GO 165, Section IV, Standards for Inspection, Record-keeping, and Reporting, States in part:

For all inspections, within a reasonable period, company records shall specify the circuit, area, or equipment inspected, the name of the inspector, the date of the inspection, and any problems identified during each inspection, as well as the scheduled date of corrective action.

SCE records indicate that from 2010 to 2012, 9 work orders were completed late. Additionally, in 2013, there were 56 open/past due work orders.

20041011.	Pole No. 1875471E
Previous SCE Visit Details:	07/18/2012
Date of CPUC Inspection:	04/23/2013

Damaged/Missing High Voltage Sign

GO 95, Rule 51.6-A, High Voltage Marking, states in part:

Poles which support line conductors of more than 750 volts shall be marked with high voltage signs.

The high voltage sign on the crossarm was damaged. This violation was not documented and/or addressed by SCE during its last detailed inspection as required by General Order 165.