

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



December 2, 2013

Eli Higgins
Operations Manager
Anza Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Anza Coop.)
58470 Hwy 371
Anza, CA. 92539

CPUC ID: EA2013-006

SUBJECT: Audit of Anza Coop.

Dear Mr. Higgins:

On behalf of the Electric Safety and Reliability Branch of the California Public Utilities Commission, Richard Kyo and Derek Fong of my staff conducted an audit of Anza Coop. from November 4, 2013 to November 8, 2013. The audit included a review of Anza Coop's records and field inspections of Anza Coop's facilities.

During the audit, my staff identified violations of one or more General Orders (GOs). A copy of the audit summary itemizing the violations is enclosed. Please advise me no later than January 10, 2014, by electronic or hard copy, of all corrective measures taken by Anza Coop. to remedy and prevent such violations.

If you have any questions, you can contact Richard Kyo at (213) 576-7081 or richard.kyo@cpuc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Raymond G. Fugere".

Raymond G. Fugere, P.E.
Program & Project Supervisor
Electric and Communication Facility Safety Section
Safety and Enforcement Division

Enclosure: Audit Summary

Cc: Elizaveta Malashenko, Deputy Director, Safety and Enforcement Division
Fadi Daye, P.E., Senior Utilities Engineer Supervisor, CPUC – LA

AUDIT SUMMARY

1.	Location:	Anza Coop.
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	11/04/2013
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Late Repair Reports</u>		
<p>GO 165, Section III, Standards for Inspection, Record-Keeping, and Reporting, states in part:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>For all inspections, within a reasonable period, company records shall specify the circuit, area, or equipment inspected, the name of the inspector, the date of the inspection, and any problems identified during each inspection, as well as the scheduled date of corrective action.</i></p> <p>CPUC staff observed six late repair reports from 2012 to 2013</p>		

2.	Location:	Pole No. 2755, Map E28
	Previous Anza Coop. Visit Details:	08/21/2013
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	11/05/2013
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<u>Loose Down Guy</u>		
<p>GO 95, Rule 56.2, Use, states in part:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Guys shall be attached to structures, as nearly as practicable, at the center of load. They shall be maintained taut and of such strength as to meet the safety factors of Rule 44.</i></p> <p>This pole had a down guy that was not taut. This violation was not documented and/or addressed by Anza Coop. during its last detailed inspection as required by General Order 165, Section III-C.</p>		

3.	Location:	Pole No. 3109, Map G19
	Previous Anza Coop. Visit Details:	05/17/2013
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	11/05/2013
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="214 537 594 569"><u>Abandoned Ground Wire</u></p> <p data-bbox="214 611 841 642">GO 95, Rule 31.6, Abandoned Lines, states:</p> <p data-bbox="349 684 1471 863"><i>Lines or portions of lines permanently abandoned shall be removed by their owners so that such lines shall not become a public nuisance or a hazard to life or property. For the purposes of this rule, lines that are permanently abandoned shall be defined as those lines that are determined by their owner to have no foreseeable future use.</i></p> <p data-bbox="214 905 1471 1001">This pole had an abandoned ground wire. This violation was not documented and/or addressed by Anza Coop. during its last detailed inspection as required by General Order 165, Section III-C.</p>		

4.	Location:	Pole No. 8604, Map G19
	Previous Anza Coop. Visit Details:	05/17/2013
	Date of CPUC Inspection:	11/05/2013
Explanation of Violation(s):		
<p data-bbox="214 1356 493 1388"><u>Missing High Sign</u></p> <p data-bbox="214 1430 1024 1461">GO 95, Rule 51.6-A, High Voltage Marking, states in part:</p> <p data-bbox="349 1503 1487 1650"><i>Crossarms where present may be marked in lieu of marking the pole. Such signs shall be placed on the face and back of each crossarm supporting line conductors (see Rule 20.9–C), except that high voltage signs are not required on the inside faces of double arms.</i></p> <p data-bbox="214 1692 1455 1787">This pole had a crossarm that was missing high voltage signs on one side. This violation was not documented and/or addressed by Anza Coop. during its last detailed inspection as required by General Order 165, Section III-C.</p>		