

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



October 4, 2013

Melvin Stark  
Manager, Maintenance & Inspection  
Southern California Edison (SCE)  
3 Innovation Way  
Pomona, CA 91768

CPUC ID: EA2013-011

**SUBJECT:** Audit of SCE's Shaver Lake District

Dear Mr. Stark:

On behalf of the Electric Safety and Reliability Branch of the California Public Utilities Commission, Richard Kyo of my staff conducted an audit of SCE's Shaver Lake District from September 23, 2013 to September 27, 2013. The audit included a review of SCE's records and field inspections of SCE's facilities.

During the audit, my staff identified violations of one or more General Orders (GOs). A copy of the audit summary itemizing the violations is enclosed. Please advise me no later than November 15, 2013 by electronic or hard copy, of all corrective measures taken by SCE to remedy and prevent such violations.

If you have any questions, you can contact Richard Kyo at (213) 576-7081 or [richard.kyo@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:richard.kyo@cpuc.ca.gov).

Sincerely,



Raymond G. Fugere, P.E.  
Program & Project Supervisor  
Electric and Communication Facility Safety Section  
Safety and Enforcement Division

Enclosure: Audit Summary

Cc: Elizaveta Malashenko, Deputy Director, Safety and Enforcement Division  
Fadi Daye, P.E., Senior Utilities Engineer Supervisor, CPUC – LA

## AUDIT SUMMARY

<b>1.</b>	<b>Location:</b>	SCE Shaver Lake District
	<b>Date of CPUC Inspection:</b>	09/23/2013
<b>Explanation of Violation(s):</b>		
<b><u>Late Work Orders</u></b>		
<p>GO 165, Section III, Standards for Inspection, Record-Keeping, and Reporting, states in part:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>For all inspections, within a reasonable period, company records shall specify the circuit, area, or equipment inspected, the name of the inspector, the date of the inspection, and any problems identified during each inspection, as well as the scheduled date of corrective action.</i></p> <p>Your records indicated that from 2010 to 2013, 4 work orders were completed late. Additionally, in 2013, there were 2 open/past due work orders.</p>		
<b>2.</b>	<b>Location:</b>	Pole No. 4590048E
	<b>Previous SCE Visit Details:</b>	06/24/2013
	<b>Date of CPUC Inspection:</b>	09/23/2013
<b>Explanation of Violation(s):</b>		
<b><u>Unauthorized Attachment on Pole</u></b>		
<p>GO 95, Rule 34, Foreign Attachments, states in part:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Nothing in these rules shall be construed as permitting the unauthorized attachment, to supply, street light or communication poles or structures, of antennas, signs, posters, banners, decorations, wires, lighting fixtures, guys, ropes and any other such equipment foreign to the purposes of overhead electric line construction.</i></p> <p>This pole had an unauthorized attachment. This violation was not documented and/or addressed by SCE during its last detailed inspection as required by General Order 165, Section III-C.</p>		

<b>3.</b>	<b>Location:</b>	Pole No. 3007667E
	<b>Previous SCE Visit Details:</b>	06/25/2013
	<b>Date of CPUC Inspection:</b>	09/24/2013
<b>Explanation of Violation(s):</b>		
<b><u>Missing Guy Guard</u></b>		
<p>GO 95, Rule 56.9, Guy Marker (Guy Guard), states:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>A substantial marker of suitable material, including but not limited to metal or plastic, not less than 8 feet in length, shall be securely attached to all anchor guys. Where more than one guy is attached to an anchor rod, only the outermost guy is required to have a marker.</i></p> <p>This pole had an anchor guy that did not have a guy guard. This violation was not documented and/or addressed by SCE during its last detailed inspection as required by General Order 165, Section III-C.</p>		

<b>4.</b>	<b>Location:</b>	Pole No. 3007794E
	<b>Previous SCE Visit Details:</b>	06/25/2013
	<b>Date of CPUC Inspection:</b>	09/24/2013
<b>Explanation of Violation(s):</b>		
<b><u>Missing Guy Guard</u></b>		
<p>GO 95, Rule 56.9, Guy Marker (Guy Guard), states:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>A substantial marker of suitable material, including but not limited to metal or plastic, not less than 8 feet in length, shall be securely attached to all anchor guys. Where more than one guy is attached to an anchor rod, only the outermost guy is required to have a marker.</i></p> <p>This pole had an anchor guy that did not have a guy guard. This violation was not documented and/or addressed by SCE during its last detailed inspection as required by General Order 165, Section III-C.</p>		