Comment Set C.151: Gail and George MacDonald

"Gail A. MacDonald" <fuzzypower@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
Date: Sat, 30 Sep 2006 18:30:07 -0700 (PDT)
From: "Gail A. MacDonald" <fuzzypower@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Line Project - Alternative 5
To: jbx@cpuc.ca.go, mkadota@fs.fed.us
CC: Linda.lambourne@mail.house.gov, AguaDulce2006@aol.com,
Lillian Smith <countryjournal@bigplanet.com>

At the request of several different parties involved in the fight against Alternative 5, and subsequent to my email message to countless parties also involved in this matter, I was asked to state what we think is the best Alternative for this project to take. That choice would be Alternative 1, since some of the power towers already exist along Bouquet Cyn, it is the shortest route to the Pardee construction site, it would cost the taxpayers less money, and cost Edison less to install, where the cables would present an unsightly and unworkable situation for the USFS, they could be buried. Taking Alternative 5 would entail many extra miles of cable, more towers, not to mention all of the issues iterated in my original email below. C.151-1

I ask you this one question: how would you feel if your property were threatened by this very project?

Gail & George MacDonald
33710 Shallow Creek Rd.
AD, CA 91390
661 2668-0267

Have a nice day.

Gail

Response to Comment Set C.151: Gail and George MacDonald

C.151-1 Thank you for your opinion regarding Alternatives 1 and 5. Your comments will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.