

APPENDIX E

Comment Letters Received in Response to NOI

- E-1. Comment Letters from Government Agencies and Special Districts
- E-2. Comment Letters from Private Organizations and Companies
- E-3. Comment Letters from Groups and Nonprofits
- E-4. Comment Letters from Private Citizens

Devers-Palo Verde No. 2 Transmission Line Project
Comment Letters Received During NOI Scoping Period: December 7, 2005 – January 20, 2006

Agency/Organization/ Interested Party	Main Issue(s) of Concern	Date	Mode (mail, fax, email, hand delivered)
Government Agencies and Special Districts			
Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians, Tribal Planning & Development	Tribal conditional use permit (CUP), and request to add mitigation measure.	12/22/05	Mail
Imperial County, Planning & Development Services	Project notification, addition of repository site, plan modification, and Community Area Plan.	1/3/06	Mail
White Mountain Apache Tribe, Historic Preservation Office	No effect to cultural resources.	1/5/06	Email
City of Calimesa	Concerned with potential impacts to planned development in the City.	1/6/06	Mail
South Coast Air Quality Management District	Information sources for air quality analysis, mitigation measures, and general information; recommendations for analyses to perform.	1/6/06	Mail
Arizona State Land Department	Project alternatives, visual impacts, and ROW application.	1/9/06	Mail
U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army Yuma Proving Ground	Cultural resources surveys, natural and cultural resources impacts, and contact person at YPG.	1/9/06	Email
Arizona Game and Fish Department	Special status species in DPV2 project vicinity, wildlife impacts due to Subalternate Route 2 and potentially Subalternate Routes 3 and 4.	1/17/06	Mail
Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe	Concerned about power exportation and impacts to cultural, biological, and visual resources; farmland; recreation; and bighorn sheep.	1/18/06	Hand delivered
Maricopa County, Board of Supervisors*	Supports the Proposed Project, and objects to Harquahala West Alternative.	1/18/06	Hand delivered
California Department of Transportation, District 11	Caltrans right-of-way encroachment permit and environmental studies.	1/19/06	Fax
Arizona Department of Transportation	Schedule for Arizona construction, intersection with I-10 and US 95, and encroachment permits.	1/19/06	Hand delivered
Harquahala Valley Irrigation District (via Ellis & Baker Attorneys at Law)	EMF damage to steel reinforced pipes, support for the Proposed Project and objection to the Harquahala West Alternative, and finan- cially impracticable Harquahala West Alternative.	1/20/06	Fax
City of Scottsdale, Water Resources Department	Impacts to water pipeline corridor, farmland, irrigation water deliveries, and property value.	1/20/06	Fax/Email
U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army Yuma Proving Ground	Inclusion of raptor-safe construction specifications	1/24/06	Email
California Department of Fish and Game	Requests consideration of habitat conservation plans and recent, updated studies to assess impact on biological resources	1/31/06	Mail
Private Organizations and Companies			
The Tahiti Group	Concerned with location of substation.	1/10/06	Email
Groups and Nonprofits			
Maricopa Audubon Society	Concerned with impacts to Kofa NWR and biological resources, project need, demand reduction programs, and use of renewable energy.	1/17/06	Email
Sierra Club – Grand Canyon Chapter	Concerned with impacts to Kofa NWR, incompatibility with NWR mis- sion, biological resources, project need, demand reduction programs, and use of renewable energy.	1/20/06	Fax/Email
Arizona Wilderness Coalition	Negative environmental impacts, project need, construction impacts, potential mitigation, and project alternatives.	1/21/06	Email

Devers–Palo Verde No. 2 Transmission Line Project
ADDENDUM TO THE SCOPING REPORT

Devers-Palo Verde No. 2 Transmission Line Project
Comment Letters Received During NOI Scoping Period: December 7, 2005 – January 20, 2006

Agency/Organization/ Interested Party	Main Issue(s) of Concern	Date	Mode (mail, fax, email, hand delivered)
Private Citizens			
George & Frances Alderson	California Desert Conservation Area	12/27/05	Email
Krishan Knoles	Concerned with increased dependence on nuclear power and increased nuclear waste, and supports new generation non-transmission alternative	1/9/06	Mail
Michael R. Colbert	Opposition to routes through Kofa NWR	1/16/06	Email
Don Steuter	Kofa NWR and project alternatives.	1/16/06	Email
Mark Hayduke Grenard	Impacts to Kofa NWR and project need.	1/17/06	Email
Mike Mullarkey	Negative environmental impacts, project need, and project alternatives.	1/17/06	Email
Tim Lengerich	Project opposition through Kofa NWR.	1/17/06	Email
Audrey Clark	Negative environmental impacts, project need, and project alternatives.	1/17/06	Email
Cal Lash	Project opposition.	1/17/06	Email
Frank Mackowski	Project opposition through Kofa NWR.	1/17/06	Email
Lance Moody	Negative environmental impacts, project need, and project alternatives.	1/17/06	Email
John P. Donovan	Biologic and visual impacts and project need.	1/17/06	Email
Alan Cowan	Project opposition through Kofa NWR, visual impacts, and alternative through military lands.	1/17/06	Email
William Wesselink	Negative environmental impacts, project need, and project alternatives.	1/18/06	Email
Linda S. Miller	Negative environmental impacts, project need, and project alternatives.	1/18/06	Email
David Barnes	Negative environmental impacts, project need, and project alternatives.	1/18/06	Email
David Dubé	Kofa NWR, project need, project alternatives, and wetland/riparian areas.	1/18/06	Email
Alan Timmerman	Negative environmental impacts, project need, and project alternatives.	1/18/06	Fax
Tammy Snook	Negative environmental impacts, project need, and project alternatives.	1/18/06	Email
Matthew Martin	Object to project and project alternatives.	1/18/06	Hand delivered
Jim Vaaler	Object to project, project alternatives, and visual impacts.	1/18/06	Hand delivered
Susan E. Haas	Object to alternative and negative impacts.	1/18/06	Hand delivered
John Alcock	Kofa NWR, negative impacts, and project need.	1/19/06	Email
Paul Bjornstad	Kofa NWR, object to project, and negative impacts.	1/19/06	Email
Lori Adkison	Kofa NWR, negative environmental impacts, project need, and project alternatives.	1/20/06	Fax
Jean Myers	Negative environmental impacts, project need, and project alternatives.	1/20/06	Email
Patricia Kenyon	Kofa NWR, object to project, negative environmental impacts, project need, and project alternatives.	1/20/06	Email
Lon Stewart	Kofa NWR, object to project, negative environmental impacts, project need, and project alternatives.	1/20/06	Fax/Email
Alvin Johnson	Object to La Paz Valley routes.	1/23/06	Mail
Donald G. Begalke	Project need and project notification.	1/23/06	Mail
Jacoba van Sitteren	Negative environmental impacts and project need.	1/24/06	Email
Harry Thomas	Project opposition, visual resources, and alternative.	1/25/06	Mail

* Submitted by Peter Martori, Martori Farms, on behalf of Maricopa County at the public scoping meeting conducted on January 18, 2006 from 6:30 pm – 8:30 pm at the Harquahala Irrigation District in Tonopah, Arizona.