



CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (CPUC)

Scoping Comments

Proposed Jefferson-Martin 230 kV Transmission Line Project

Wednesday, January 29, 2003

Name*: William Glen, Ph.D. William Glen
Affiliation (if any)*: Geologist and historian of science
Address*: 2236 Bunker Hill Dr.
City, State, Zip Code*: San Mateo, CA 94402
Telephone Number*: 650 341-6332
Email*: MWGLENA@aol.com

I am a resident of the Highlands since April, 1959.
I strongly object to PG&E's proposal to increase the height
and power-carrying capacity of the Jefferson-Martin Transmission
line in proximity to the Highlands west of Lexington Ave.

① A now vast literature showing strong correlation between
a rash of diseases in great population disproportionality, and proximity
to EMF speaks increasingly for a cause and effect relationship
a five-fold increase in voltage would greatly expand the radius
of the EMF field and further impinge on dwellings along
Lexington Ave.

② Power towers are an EYESORE - increasing their height
by two stories (25ft.) is tantamount to the county's rewriting
the building code to allow the aesthetic desecration of
the Highlands.

③ All power towers should be moved far from dwellings or
the lines run underground.

*Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be received by February 27, 2003. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (650) 240-1720 or emailed to jeffmartin@aspeneg.com.



CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (CPUC)

Scoping Comments

Proposed Jefferson-Martin 230 kV Transmission Line Project

Wednesday, January 29, 2003

Name*: Calvin & Ellen Inori

Affiliation (if any)*: concerned citizens

Address*: 1309 Skyview Dr.

City, State, Zip Code*: Burlingame, CA 94010

Telephone Number*: (650) 343-3196

Email*: We strongly oppose the Jefferson-Martin

230KV Transmission Project for reasons of
health and negative affect on our property value.
A recent study by the Calif. Dept. of Health showed
a connection between EMF & leukemia, cancer &
other serious illnesses.

We already have a 60KV tower in our backyard
only about 50 feet from our property. We don't think it's
fair to be exposed to more EMF with the proposed 230 KV
tower and add to our already unsightly towers in our
neighborhood.

IF San Francisco needs more power (which we believe
they don't) they should build their own power plants in S.F.
We feel PG&E is overly optimistic in their forecast for S.F.'s
growth and need. For one thing there is no space to expand and
secondly, many of the industries are moving out of the city.
Is it really necessary to increase the existing 60KV to 230 KV?

*Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be received by February 27, 2003. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (650) 240-1720 or emailed to jeffmartin@aspenerg.com.



CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (CPUC)

Scoping Comments

Proposed Jefferson-Martin 230 kV Transmission Line Project

Wednesday, January 29, 2003

Name*: RAJ SINGH DASANJH
Affiliation (if any)*: - NONE -
Address*: 1585 LEXINGTON AVE
City, State, Zip Code*: SAN MATEO, CA 94402
Telephone Number*: 650 544-0676
Email*: liv2lovall@yahoo.com

The potential health risk to people and wildlife is too great. Even though ~~nothing definite has been substantiated~~ Based on the studies done, I believe we'll be exposing our posterity to health hazards. Can we afford to take a chance like that - ~~should~~ ^{will} our consciences allow us to do that.

NIMBY NOR ANYBODY ELSE'S.
Some very sound alternatives have been given. Please consider them → underground - ^{under/over ground}

Sincerely
Raj S. Dasanjh

*Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be received by February 27, 2003. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (650) 240-1720 or emailed to jeffmartin@aspeneq.com.



CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (CPUC)

Scoping Comments

Proposed Jefferson-Martin 230 kV Transmission Line Project

Wednesday, January 29, 2003

Name*: DONALD HENDRICKS

Affiliation (if any):* _____

Address:* 35 STONEY POINT PLACE

City, State, Zip Code:* SAN MATEO, CAL. 94402

Telephone Number:* "650" 341-4534

Email:* I BELIEVE THE JEFFERSON-MARTIN 230KV TRANSMISSION LINE PROJECT, AS CURRENTLY PROPOSED, WILL NEGATIVELY AFFECT OUR QUALITY OF LIFE IN THE S.M. HIGHLANDS, THE NEIGHBORHOOD WE LOVE.

Donald Hendricks

*Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be received by February 27, 2003. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (650) 240-1720 or emailed to jeffmartin@aspenerg.com.

February 22, 2003

1776 Lexington Avenue
San Mateo, CA 94402
TEL 650-345-9433

RE: Jefferson-Martin 230kV Transmission Project

Ms. Billie Blanchard
California Public Utilities Commission
c/o Aspen Environmental Group
235 Montgomery Street, Suite 800
San Francisco, CA 94104

Dear Ms. Blanchard:

We wish the following project issues be addressed:

- 1) PG&E has not demonstrated a clear need for the project in light of recent approvals of energy generation facilities in San Francisco, and energy conservation trends. Four new turbine generators are scheduled for construction in San Francisco and the Potrero Hill generation plant is to be expanded.
- 2) PG&E has failed to explain the urgency of the project.
- 3) PG&E has not considered adequate alternatives to the proposed transmission line routes - underground or overhead west of Highway 280.

Respectfully,

Ralph and Doris Voice

Ralph and Doris Voice

Jeanie Bartson
3719 Lola Street
San Mateo, CA 94403-4343
650-341-1352

Dear all concerned:

I am writing to address the issue of running power lines underground along I280. I see now as the time to run power lines under ground thus paving the way for future projects. Power lines are so ugly.

The breathtaking beauty along I280 have won the highway awards as a visual masterpiece of engineering genius. Please don't interrupt the landscape with massive hunks of metal.

Powerlines are unsightly and detract from our health and well-being. Above ground they are easy targets for problems due to weather and terror attacks. Although we do have earthquakes in this area, I think lines underground present less of a problem than they would above the ground.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter so important to the region!

Sincerely,

Jeanie Bartson

