## Table 2

Specific Local Policies Applicable to Each Issue Area for the Dibble Creek Workaround

| Aesthetics                         | The site is visible from state designated scenic highway SR-36.<br>However, because the running line would be underground, only the<br>warning markers would be evidence of the project after construction.<br>The Tehama County General Plan contains no applicable policies<br>regarding project aesthetics during the construction period.<br>The site is not designated as Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or  |
|------------------------------------|---|
| Agricultural Resources             | Farmland of Statewide Importance. It is not covered by a Williamson<br>Act contract. The site does not appear to have been used for<br>agricultural purposes in the recent past. There are no local policies<br>applicable to this site.  |
| Air Quality                        | For the City of Red Bluff, the Tehama County Air Pollution Control<br>District (TCAPCD) sets local air quality policy. TCAPCD specifies dust<br>control requirements for construction. See Section III for a detailed<br>discussion and presentation of these local policies.   |
| Biological Resources               | The project would not conflict with any Habitat Conservation Plan,<br>Natural Community Conservation Plan or other conservation plan.<br>There are no local policies regarding blue oaks or wetlands. Plant life<br>mentioned in the checklist is not on the General Plan list of rare and<br>endangered plants of Tehama County  |
| Cultural Resources                 | There are no local policies that address cultural resources. The project will comply with state and federal laws and regulations.   |
| Geology and Soils                  | The Safety Element of the General Plan shows that the site is located<br>in an area known for highly expansive soils. There are no specific<br>policies related to expansive soils. There are no other local policies<br>applicable to this site.   |
| Hazards and Hazardous<br>Materials | There are no specific local policies regarding fuel storage, handling and use.  |
| Hydrology and Water Quality        | The Water Element of the General Plan provides regulations designed<br>to reduce erosion through grading and drainage. Policies outlined in<br>the Water Element are not applicable to the project or the project site.<br>The project site is located within a 100-year flood plain (Vista<br>Information Solutions, NEPA Checklist, 1999). The General Plan does<br>not contain policies addressing the 100-year flood plain.                                       |
| Land Use Planning                  | According to the Tehama County General Plan, the land use<br>designation for the project site is Industrial (I). This designation allows<br>General Industrial zoning on the site. The site is zoned General<br>Industrial (M-2). This zoning permits construction and material yards,<br>wholesale and storage warehouses, feed and fuel yards and<br>workshops. Surrounding properties also are zoned General Industrial.<br>No discretionary permits are required. |
| Mineral Resources                  | The site is not located in an area designated by the state or the City of<br>Red Bluff for mineral resources. A gravel extraction area is located<br>within one-quarter mile of the site. There are no local policies<br>applicable to this site.   |

| Table 2 (Continued)           Specific Local Policies Applicable to Each Issue Area for the Dibble Creek Workaround |   |
|---|---|
|   |   |
| Population and Housing  | The project would not affect existing housing or result in new housing.<br>The project would neither displace people nor result in additional<br>population to either the city of Red Bluff or Tehama County. There are<br>no local policies applicable to this site.   |
| Public Services   | The project would not result in the need for new or physically altered government facilities nor affect response time or other performance objectives. There are no local policies applicable to this site.   |
| Recreation  | There are no public recreational facilities near the site. The nearest<br>public park is William B. Ide State Historic Park, located<br>approximately one mile east of the site. The project would not<br>increase the use of this park or other recreation facilities and would not<br>require construction or expansion of recreation facilities. There are no<br>other local policies applicable to this site.   |
| Transportation/<br>Traffic  | According to the Circulation Element of the General Plan, State Route<br>36 is classified as a highway. This classification denotes that the<br>highway provides regional, state-wide, and national transportation<br>connections. Access to highways from adjacent properties shall be<br>limited for safety and traffic efficiency. Applicable policies include C-d<br>and C-q. Policy C-d states, "All subdivision and development<br>proposals shall be evaluated as to their conformance with the<br>circulation network. Policy C-q states, "All Commercial and Industrial<br>uses shall be served by paved roads designed to County standards to<br>effectively serve the long-term circulation, both within the project and<br>the off-site road system of the area affected by the project." |
| Utilities and Service Systems   | The project consists of laying underground fiber optic cable. It will not<br>utilize any utility of service system. There are no specific policies in<br>the General Plan that are applicable to the project in regard to utilities<br>and service systems.   |
| Sources   | <ul> <li>Tehama, County of. Tehama County General Plan, 1983</li> <li>Tehama, County of. Tehama County General Plan Safety Element,<br/>Seismic Safety Element, Noise Element, Scenic Highways<br/>Element, 1974</li> <li>Vista Information Solutions, Inc. California Site Assessment Plus<br/>Report: Dibble Creek Workaround, May 14, 1999.</li> </ul>   |

Г