Proponent's Environmental Assessment

Proponent's Environmental Assessment -

Proponent's Environmental Assessment

Proj	Proponent's Environmental Assessment - Environmental Checklist			Site name: Ventura ILA	
d)	Would the project create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less than Significant Impact	No Impact
	the area?				$\boxtimes$

.

Proponent's Environmental Assessment - Environmental Checklist

- Use of the standby engine for emergency, non-utility electrical power generation purposes only (or for related testing and maintenance purposes) and maintain required documentation to support continued eligibility for Rule 23 exemption status;
- Use diesel fuel with a sulfur content not to exceed 0.05 percent by weight; and
- clu d(-) Tj-43.5 -12 TD-0.0370 Tc 0.6568 Tw tingPMd

Because project construction except for trenching activities and grading to prepare the emergency generator enclosure will take place within existing buildings,

The full development of Chumash culture, one of the most socially and economically complex hunting and gathering groups in North America (Arnold, 1987:4), occurred during the Late Period (800 to 150 B.P. or approximately A.D. 1150 to 1800). Along the Santa Barbara Channel and on the Channel Islands there were a series of permanent or semi-permanent villages with populations of 200 to 600 or more individuals (Grant, 1978b). The principal economic pursuits were marine fishing and trading. Status differentiation had developed to the point where village chiefs inherited their rank and probably controlled trade and redistribution.

When the Spanish arrived in A.D. 1769 the Chumash occupied the coast from Malibu Canyon to San Luis Obispo and inland as far as the western edge of the San Joaquin Valley (Grant, 1978a). The Chumash were divided into several language or dialect groups that corresponded with territory around the missions founded by the Spanish. From south to north a



Development of this site would not alter emergency response or emergency evacuation routes. Roadways would not be blocked either during construction or operation.

h) Would the project expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?

Potentially Significant I

## **Evaluation**

a) Would the project result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state? Potentially
Significant
Impact
Loss(thn (Signii(cant) Tj715.75 0 TD 0 Tc -0.0435 Tw () Tj 635 -10.5 TD -030975 Tc 0 TS -12 TD -0.081 Tc 0.2455 Tw () Tj -5lly
Sitgenifesidents of the state?o Tw () Tj -5lly

Proponent's Environmental Assessment - Environmental Checklist

## XII. POPULATION AND HOUSING

## <u>Setting</u>

February 1, 2000

There are no local policies for public services which apply to the proposed project or project site. **Evaluation** 

 a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities,

d) Would the project substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g.,

## **Analysis Team**

Proponent'10i83ronmnenal Assessmnen