

## **APPENDIX B**

---

### **Summary of Scoping Comments**

- B-1. Summary of Written Comments Received from Government Agencies
- B-2. Summary of Written Comments Received from Private Organizations and Companies
- B-3. Summary of Written Comments Received from Private Citizens
- B-4. Summary of Oral Comments Received at Scoping Meetings
- B-5. Summary of Agency Consultations

## **APPENDIX B-1**

---

### Summary of Written Comments Received from Government Agencies

---

**Appendix B-1. Summary of Comments Received from Government Agencies**

---

Date	From	Comments
<b>FEDERAL AGENCIES</b>		
Sept. 8, 2003	<b>Marine Corps Air Station, Miramar</b> Dave Boyer, Director of Environmental Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. Boyer indicated that Ludy Davis of the Planning and Real Estate Division should be the first point of contact. Before any meetings with Miramar, the Real Estate Division would need to review the project information and the existing easement agreement to determine if Federal action is required.</li> <li>• Mr. Boyer stated that the EIR document should first identify if or what Federal action would be required for the project.</li> <li>• Mr. Boyer also noted that survey boundaries do not necessarily equate to direct project impacts.</li> </ul>
<b>STATE AGENCIES</b>		
Sept. 25, 2003	<b>Cajon Valley Union School District</b> Christina Becker, Director of Long Range Planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ms. Becker is the Director of Long Range Planning for the school district. Her concerns involved the requirements under the California Department of Education Code regarding the construction of transmission facilities in proximity to schools.</li> <li>• The District requested that SDG&amp;E evaluate all school sites and property owned by the District to determine the distance from each property to the transmission easement.</li> <li>• There were two specific comments on the NOP:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. On page 2, under Public Service and Utilities: Construction along streets and linear Right-of-way (ROW) areas could disrupt local and regional services provided through underground utilities.</li> <li>2. On page 3, Other Issues: there is public concern about EMF and effects on human health and therefore there is concern regarding the proximity of transmission towers to schools and residential areas.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
Sept. 30, 2003	<b>Otay Water District</b> Christine Craven, Engineering Technician	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The District recommends that the Project Proponent coordinate with the District's Engineering Public Services Division early in the development cycle to discuss water facility plans, required approvals, and project schedule.</li> </ul>
Sept. 16, 25, and 29, 2003	<b>Padre Dam Municipal Water District</b> Mary Lindquist, Right of Way Agent	<p>The Padre Dam Municipal Water District submitted three sets of comments.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Comment received Sept. 16, 2003.           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The District requested additional information and maps regarding the location of the transmission line upgrade within the City of Santee.</li> <li>• The District requested information on where the project route is located near Lake Jennings and Los Coches Rd? The Water District provided notice that they are planning a new reservoir in this area.</li> </ul> </li> <li>2. Comment received Sept. 24, 2003.           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The comment addressed the Notice of Preparation for the EIR for the Miguel-Mission 230 kV #2 Project. The project would cross the District's fee title property and facility easements. The District requested that the design engineers for this project contact the District and provide draft designs for comment by District engineers prior to construction.</li> <li>• Of special interest is the area crossing the Santee Lakes Regional Park. The District would like to know what actions will take place specifically within this park. Would there be any new power poles or relocations within these boundaries? Would there be times that the area cannot be accessed by the District due to construction activities for the Proposed Project?</li> <li>• The District requested more specific plans for Santee Lakes Regional Park and areas where the alignment falls within District boundaries or crosses District facilities.</li> <li>• The District requests the name and contact information for the Project Manager and Engineer designing this project.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>

---

---

**Appendix B-1. Summary of Comments Received from Government Agencies**

---

Date	From	Comments
		3. Comment received Sept. 29, 2003. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ms. Lindquist commented on a response letter and map that she had received. She requested that the Water District be notified prior to the start of construction so that they may review specific alignment plans for the portions within their jurisdiction.</li> <li>• The District would like to be involved at the end of the design stage in order to comment on the impact to District operations and facilities. The District would like to see that this request be included as a requirement of the EIR as a result of this response to the NOP. If this is not possible, the District requested receiving the names and contact numbers of the Project Manager and Engineer in charge of this project.</li> </ul>

---

**COUNTIES**

Sept. 16, 2003	<b>County of San Diego, Dept. of Parks &amp; Recreation</b> Mark Webb	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Prior to construction, please contact Parks and Recreation to address access issues at Louis A. Stelzer County Park and Lake Jennings Regional Park.</li> <li>• Mr. Webb is concerned with impacts of any new access roads to coastal sage scrub within County Parks.</li> <li>• SDG&amp;E may need to coordinate with staff to obtain a Right of Entry permit if the Proposed Project and associated activities traverse State park lands.</li> <li>• A verbal comment indicated that adequate mitigation measures should be implemented to minimize impacts to park lands.</li> </ul>
Sept. 29, 2003	<b>County of San Diego, Dept. of Planning and Land Use</b> Gary Pryor, Director	<p>The County of San Diego recommended that the EIR should provide analysis of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A supporting visual impact study. Staff feels that the project could cause visual impacts that would need to be mitigated with appropriate measures.</li> <li>• Issues related to noise, including:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Generation of and exposure of persons to noise levels in excess of standards established by the County's Noise Ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies;</li> <li>2. Generation of and exposure of persons to excessive ground-borne vibration or noise levels;</li> <li>3. Substantial temporary or episodic increases in ambient noise levels (also associated with construction impacts discussed below); and</li> <li>4. Analysis of impacts from operational noise to birds and wildlife inhabiting coastal sage scrub habitat (refer to the section below on biological resources).</li> </ol> </li> <li>• Impacts from construction traffic, including: the location of parking areas, road usage, traffic flow especially at intersections, property access, as well as cumulative impacts.</li> <li>• Please identify whether the Proposed Project would affect utility easements within County lands.</li> <li>• The County's comments also highlighted several concerns with biological issues:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Proposed Project may disturb wetlands, lakes, streams or waters of the United States and must therefore notify the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) to begin project review and permit processing;</li> <li>2. The DEIR should discuss potential impacts to all listed, candidate, and special status species under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and CDFG;</li> <li>3. The DEIR should discuss potential conflicts with regional Habitat Conservation Plans and Natural Community Conservation Plans or other approved or pending local, regional, or State habitat conservation plans, policies, or ordinances;</li> </ol> </li> </ul>

---

---

**Appendix B-1. Summary of Comments Received from Government Agencies**

---

Date	From	Comments
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4. The project should ensure that it does not conflict with the San Diego County Multiple Species Conservation Program (MSCP) Subarea Plan, particularly throughout the southern portion of the proposed route. Any impacts must be mitigated to less than significant levels; and</li> <li>5. According to the County's jurisdiction as a responsible agency, NCCP 4(d) Findings shall be required if coastal sage scrub habitat is impacted within county lands that lie outside of the MSCP area. The project would need to make all of the required NCCP 4(d) Findings prior to approval of the earliest discretionary permit associated with the Proposed Project. Simultaneous with issuance of grading, improvement, and grading permits, a Habitat Loss Permit (HLP) will be required for all project areas containing coastal sage scrub. The HLP will be based upon the NCCP 4(d) Findings.</li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The County of San Diego Department of Planning and Land Use provided several comments related to cultural and paleontological resources within unincorporated County lands including:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The DEIR should identify locations that contain known or suspected significant cultural resources;</li> <li>2. The DEIR should analyze impacts of the Proposed Project on historical and archeological resources per requirements of State CEQA Guidelines (Section 15064.5); and</li> <li>3. A field survey for archeological features and artifacts should be performed by a certified archaeologist using Archaeological Resource Management Report guidelines and queries of databases such as the South Coast Information Center at San Diego State University and the Museum of Man.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• The Proposed Project route could impact residential areas, parks, and commercial areas within unincorporated County lands. Analysis of Proposed Project's impacts to businesses and communities, as well as appropriate mitigation, should be provided.</li> <li>• The Proposed Project may significantly impact recreational facilities and open space within unincorporated areas of the County.</li> <li>• The County of San Diego Department of Planning and Land Use commented that the DEIR should provide maps of hydrologic features (i.e. 100-year flood boundaries, hydrologic basins) and water resources (i.e. reservoirs, rivers) within the County. The County further requested that the DEIR should include the following:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. An analysis of the Proposed Project's impact to surface drainage patterns, cause erosion, siltation, or flooding and impacts to storm water drainage systems; and</li> <li>2. A determination of whether the project would affect water quality under the Clean Water Act via discharge, by increasing loads into impaired water bodies, exceedance of applicable surface or groundwater quality standards, and otherwise impacting beneficial water uses.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• The County requested that the direct, indirect and cumulative impacts to these recreational areas be identified, analyzed, and avoided or mitigated as appropriate.</li> <li>• The County requested that SDG&amp;E contact the County Department of Parks and Recreation to address access issues within County park areas.</li> <li>• The County is a responsible agency that may need to provide a Grading Permit, a Habitat Loss Permit, and a Construction and Encroachment Permit for parts of the project that lie within unincorporated areas of San Diego County.</li> </ul>

---

**Appendix B-1. Summary of Comments Received from Government Agencies**

Date	From	Comments
<b>CITIES</b>		
Oct. 3, 2003	<b>City of San Diego</b> Cathy Cibit, Acting Assistant Deputy Director	<p>The Planning Department, Development Services Department, and Park and Recreation Department have reviewed the project and requested that the following comments be addressed in the DEIR:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Alignment of proposed transmission line could impact open space that is managed by the City's Park and Recreation Department including a long swath through the City's Mission Trails Regional Park. These areas contain a variety of sensitive upland and wetland habitat types, which support a host of sensitive flora and fauna. The City requests that SDG&amp;E should use the City of San Diego Biological Review Reference, dated July 2002, when conducting any biological surveys, determining impact significance, and establishing appropriate mitigation for biological impacts that may occur within the City's boundary.</li> <li>• Approximately half of Subsection F would be located within the City of San Diego's Multi-habitat Planning Area (MHPA) (Figure 1-06 of the SDG&amp;E's PEA, 2002), which was established by the City's Multiple Species Conservation Program. The MHPA delineates core biological resource areas and corridors targeted for conservation. Within the MHPA, only limited development may occur. Even though much of the Proposed Project would occur within existing rights-of-way, there may be areas where encroachment into City MHPA land may occur. Please refer to the City's MSCP Subarea Plan, March 1997, Section 1.4.1 Compatible Land Uses, Roads and Utilities - Construction and Maintenance Policies (pages 44 and 45) for guidance on the development of your Proposed Project. Also Section 1.4.3 Land Use Guidelines of the MSCP Subarea Plan, provides guidance for projects that would be located adjacent to the MHPA. This section stresses the consideration of good planning principles when developing adjacent to the MHPA, especially in regards to drainage, toxics, lighting, noise, barriers, invasive plant species, brush management and grading/land development. It is recommended that the CPUC and SDG&amp;E coordinate with the City's MSCP staff in determining the specific effects of this project on the MHPA [contact Randy Rodriguez at (619) 533-4524].</li> <li>• The project alignment appears to traverse the Sycamore Landfill, a privately owned solid waste landfill facility. The City of San Diego Development Services Department is currently processing the Sycamore Landfill Master Plan, which proposes to expand the landfill's footprint as well as remove the existing SDG&amp;E high transmission line easement within the landfill boundaries (to provide more capacity). It is recommended that further coordination between the CPUC or SDG&amp;E and Sycamore Landfill occur to determine just how the Proposed Project would affect the landfill facility.</li> <li>• A large portion of the Proposed Project would traverse the old Camp Elliott site, where there may still be unexploded ordnance from previous training operations. The DEIR should address this issue and how a sweep for this ordnance may be appropriate prior to project construction and/or commencement of any technical surveys on-site.</li> <li>• The Proposed Project has the potential for impacting known and/or unknown historical resources on, or adjacent to, the project alignment. For project areas affected within the City of San Diego, we request that you utilize the City's Land Development Code Historical Resources Guidelines, amended April 2001, in preserving, avoiding, and mitigating for historic resources.</li> <li>• The cumulative impacts section of the DEIR should consider and include a list of Proposed Projects that are in the vicinity of the Proposed Project alignment, and that have been submitted to the City's Development Services Department for processing of their discretionary permits, or that have been recently approved. These projects include Castlerock (Project No. 10046), Sycamore Landfill Master Plan (Project No. 5617) and Mission Trails Regional Park Multi-Use Staging Area Project (LDR No 40-0524). For further clarification contact Anne Lowry, Senior Planner (619) 446-5368.</li> </ul>

---

**Appendix B-1. Summary of Comments Received from Government Agencies**

---

Date	From	Comments
Sept. 17, 2003	<b>City of Santee</b> Melanie Kush, City Planner	<p>The following questions and comments were provided by Ms. Kush:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please provide the exact date the NOP was mailed to the property owners within 300 feet. Ms. Kush also requested a copy of the NOP.</li> <li>• Ms. Kush recommended that impacts to the City of Santee should be properly documented in the EIR and that mitigation measures should be described.</li> <li>• Ms. Kush wishes to stay informed on project developments.</li> </ul>
Oct. 4, 2003	<b>City of Santee</b> Melanie Kush, City Planner	<p>The letter served as the City of Santee's response to the NOP received on Sept. 8, 2003 and provided the following comments on the NOP:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The City of Santee is concerned that the new poles within the ROW of Subsection E (between Los Coches Substation and Fanita Junction) would cause significant impacts that should be avoided by placing the poles adjacent to existing structures, span for span, rather than creating additional intervening location for new poles. This would group structures at established points along the easement and avoid introducing new features that will create new significant visual impacts to the community, particularly in sensitive hillside areas and future protected habitat preserve areas.</li> <li>• The City is concerned with construction noise that would result during installation of new poles using a helicopter at the northern terminus of Magnolia Avenue and Carlton Hills Boulevard. The City of Santee would require SDG&amp;E to comply with regulations of Chapter 8.12 of the Santee Municipal Code (noise abatement and control) that establishes appropriate construction times during daylight hours in an effort to minimize adverse effects associated with this activity.</li> <li>• Construction areas associated with pole installation are approximately 10,000 square feet and may entail construction of temporary or permanent access roads outside of SDG&amp;E's easement. Under these circumstances, SDG&amp;E would be subject to encroachment and grading permits issued by the City of Santee.</li> <li>• The City is also highly concerned about the effects of electric and magnetic fields (EMF). The EIR must provide information on electric and magnetic field effects and address minimum setbacks from established and planned uses within the EMF field. In Santee, the project will introduce 230 kV transmission lines. Additionally, poles will be installed southerly of the existing structures rather than northerly, bringing transmission lines closer to established neighborhoods. Health-related concerns must be fully addressed in the DEIR.</li> <li>• The DEIR must address potential effects upon radio reception, microwave and personal communication systems, and televisions, as appropriate, in anticipation of questions from the public.</li> <li>• Undergrounding the transmission lines should be fully analyzed in the DEIR as a project alternative.</li> <li>• Since the project could take up to two years to complete, it will be important to establish a public relations program that informs residents of the construction schedule and what to expect in the way of noise, traffic, and helicopter activities, as appropriate.</li> <li>• The City of Santee requests a copy of the scoping report and copies of comment letters.</li> </ul>

---

## **APPENDIX B-2**

---

Summary of Written Comments Received  
from Private Organizations and Companies



---

**Appendix B-2. Summary of Comments Received from Private Organizations and Companies**

---

Date	From	Comments
Sept. 9, 2003	<b>H.G. Fenton Company</b> Linda Kaufman	<p>Ms. Kaufman called the project hotline requesting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A detailed map of the project route (particularly in San Diego).</li> <li>• A description of project activities that could impact residents of H.G. Fenton Company properties, including property located on Tierrasanta Boulevard east of Interstate 15 in San Diego.</li> </ul>
Oct. 2, 2003	<b>H.G. Fenton Company</b> Bill Lawrence	<p>Mr. Lawrence called the project hotline to express concern regarding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Construction impacts of the Proposed Project on an H.G. Fenton apartment complex near Tierrasanta Boulevard in San Diego.</li> </ul>
Sept. 16, 2003	<b>Preserve Wild Santee</b> Van K. Collinworth	<p>Mr. Collinworth provided the following comments and questions on behalf of the citizen's organization:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please study the EMF levels 3 miles from the power lines at 25-foot intervals.</li> <li>• At what point do EMF readings become undetectable? How will this change the project's addition of new lines?</li> <li>• How many housing units will be within range of detectable EMF?</li> <li>• How many times could power transmission lines double in the ROW?</li> <li>• Please provide a greater discussion on what is causing the demand for this project.</li> <li>• Where is the power being generated?</li> <li>• What other sources or methods of generating electricity can be used to meet or reduce projected demand?</li> <li>• How much power is being produced during peak demand by solar cells in San Diego County? What is the rate of growth in solar energy in the last 3 years?</li> <li>• How much energy will be produced by solar methods at this rate in 5 years and what percentage of projected demand does that represent?</li> <li>• What programs currently exist to promote the development of clean decentralized solar energy?</li> <li>• How many solar system installations could be installed and in what locations to eliminate the need for the Proposed Project?</li> <li>• What are the growth-inducing impacts of this project?</li> <li>• How will this project avoid impacts to wildlife habitat?</li> <li>• What are the expected impacts and how will they be mitigated?</li> <li>• What alternatives exist to reduce the visual impacts of the project?</li> <li>• How many wildfires have been ignited due to power lines in southern California over the past 25 years?</li> <li>• What will be done to reduce the risk of wild fire?</li> <li>• Would removing the old lines and towers reduce the risk of power line fires during extreme weather?</li> <li>• What are the risks of fire ignition during construction activities and what will be done to reduce those risks?</li> </ul>

---

---

## Appendix B-2. Summary of Comments Received from Private Organizations and Companies

---

Date	From	Comments
Sept. 16, 2003.	<b>Santee Citizens for Safe Power</b> Gregg Guenther	<p>Mr. Guenther provided the following comments and questions on behalf of the citizens organization:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Precautionary disclosures are a policy in California.</li><li>• Santee Citizens for Safe Power would like the EIR to provide full disclosure of real and perceived impacts of EMF due to power lines.</li><li>• Along with this analysis, the EIR should include extensive literature review and referencing.</li><li>• Children at home are not as protected as they are at school and this should be changed. For instance, schools have a 1,000 foot setback for power lines. However, only a 150 foot setback is required for homes.</li><li>• Stated concern for the overwhelming circumstantial evidence on EMF, and questioned whether SDG&amp;E has even posted warnings.</li><li>• Recommends that the EIR should include language from the World Health Organization (WHO) regarding EMF as well as from the CPUC in its prevention (of harm) policy.</li><li>• Identified the Glendale Oakmont View EIR as an example of fair and balanced language that fully disclosed impacts of power lines and provided copies of the recommended language addressing EMF impacts.</li><li>• Expressed the opinion that people need to know the hazards present where they live.</li><li>• Shared his concern that people living within 1,000 feet of power lines are/have been adversely impacted by EMF.</li><li>• Please send copies of the sign-in sheets for the Sept 16, 2003 meeting.</li></ul> <p><u>NOTE:</u> These comments were summarized by Aspen staff from a video tape of the project scoping meeting held September 16, 2003 in Santee, CA.</p>

---

## **APPENDIX B-3**

---

### Summary of Written Comments Received from Private Citizens

---

**Appendix B-3. Summary of Written Comments Received from Private Citizens**

---

Date of Letter	From	Comments
Sept. 29, 2003	Itshak Alfasy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. Alfasy supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. Alfasy requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Sept. 29, 2003	Edward S. Alsop	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. Alsop supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. Alsop requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Oct. 1, 2003	William Annett	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. Annett supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. Annett requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Oct. 1, 2003	Eudora Brown	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ms. Brown supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Ms. Brown requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Sept. 30, 2003	Michele Campos	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ms. Campos supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Ms. Campos requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Sept. 30, 2003	Sal R. Campos	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. Campos supports Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. Campos requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>

---

---

**Appendix B-3. Summary of Written Comments Received from Private Citizens**

---

Date of Letter	From	Comments
Oct. 2, 2003	Brian Cantarini	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. Cantarini supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. Cantarini requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Sept. 30, 2003	Robert and Linda Chappelle	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Chappelle support the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Chappelle request full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seek assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Oct. 1, 2003	Joel Ciuchta	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. Ciuchta supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. Ciuchta requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Oct. 1, 2003	Matthew and Irene DePhilippis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. DePhilippis support the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. DePhilippis request full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seek assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Sept. 18, 2003	Trish Dominique	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ms. Dominique called the hotline to request additional project information regarding the proposed transmission line path near Lake Jennings.</li> </ul>
Sept. 28, 2003	Joseph Michael DuBreuil	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. DuBreuil supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. DuBreuil requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>

---

---

**Appendix B-3. Summary of Written Comments Received from Private Citizens**

---

Date of Letter	From	Comments
Oct. 5, 2003	Tom and Shelly Echols	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Echols support the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Echols request full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seek assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Oct. 3, 2003	Jaime and Adam Elliott	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Elliott support the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Elliott request full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seek assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Oct. 1, 2003	Jim and Sharon Ford	<p>Mr. and Mrs. Ford's letter identified the following concerns related to visual and aesthetic impacts of the Proposed Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Visual impacts are a concern. They presently experience visual impacts caused by large and small towers that carry many lines. Mr. and Mrs. Ford estimated 32 existing lines. Their home is located on Shadow Mountain with a view to the west. When looking out their windows, they look through the lines to see the El Cajon Valley.</li> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Ford prefer that the new transmission line are consolidated to a tighter grouping so that they occupy less air space and cause less obtrusive visual impacts.</li> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Ford are also concerned that adding more lines will decrease property values.</li> </ul>

---

---

**Appendix B-3. Summary of Written Comments Received from Private Citizens**

---

Date of Letter	From	Comments
Oct. 5, 2003	Joseph Garofalo	<p>As a resident of Santee and owner of a home on Easthaven Court, Mr. Garofalo provided the following comments in a letter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The area of concern/reference is part of Subsection E (Figure 1-11 in SDG&amp;E's PEA, 2002) between Los Coches and Fanita Junction.</li> <li>• The Proposed Project would move the existing 138 kV power lines from the towers on which they are currently strung and place them 38 feet to the south on new poles of significantly less height from the ground. This would place the new poles an average of 24 feet (horizontal distance) from the north side of the houses situated along the north side of Easthaven Court.</li> <li>• According to his understanding, the Proposed Project would then place the new 230 kV line on the towers where the 138 kV lines are currently strung.</li> <li>• The net effect would be that the 138 kV lines would be lowered significantly and moved 38 feet horizontally closer to the houses on Easthaven Court, placing them approximately 24 feet from the north side of the houses and just outside the edge of their northern property lines. Additionally, the new 230 kV line will be placed where the 138 kV lines are currently. In the houses along the north side of Easthaven Court, the bedrooms are located in the northern portion of these homes, the side closest to the power lines.</li> <li>• In his home, Mr. Garofalo reported that the existing 138 kV power lines generate milligauss readings that are within "safe" readings, 3 or less.</li> <li>• Mr. Garofalo wants to know what the estimated readings in his home and others along Easthaven Court would be after completion of the project? What other possible EMF hazards can be anticipated?</li> <li>• What other safety hazards can potentially be incurred after the new poles/towers are placed within 24 feet of the homes on Easthaven Court?</li> <li>• What notifications and safeguards will be provided for these residents? What are the contingency plans in the event of fire or earthquake?</li> <li>• What will be the anticipated loss in property values along Easthaven Court as a result of the project? What will be done to compensate residents for these losses?</li> <li>• How will the project construction and subsequent periodic and unplanned maintenance adversely affect the residents on Easthaven Court?</li> <li>• What alternatives to the currently Proposed Project are available to remove or lessen the burden and potential hazards to the residents of Easthaven Court?</li> <li>• Mr. Garofalo asserts that the Proposed Project gives the highest priority to minimizing the cost of the project and the lowest priority to the safety and well-being of the residents of Easthaven Court.</li> <li>• CPUC and SDG&amp;E have the opportunity here to implement alternatives to the current proposal, namely to re-route or underground the lines in order to demonstrate a commitment to the safety and well-being of the community in which they serve.</li> </ul>
Oct. 1, 2003	Joseph Garofalo	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. Garofalo supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. Garofalo requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>

---

---

**Appendix B-3. Summary of Written Comments Received from Private Citizens**

---

Date of Letter	From	Comments
Sept. 29, 2003	Christopher and Kristin M. Garvin	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Garvin support the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Garvin request full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seek assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Sept. 26, 2003	Gregg and Jeanne Guenther	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Guenther support the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Guenther request full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seek assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Sept. 22, 2003	Donna Hackney	<p>Ms Hackney called the hotline to express several concerns with the Proposed Project, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Impacts the project will have on her property value;</li> <li>• Noise levels increasing above current (detectable) levels;</li> <li>• Health impacts of EMF; and</li> <li>• Impacts to the visual quality of the area. Ms. Hackney suggested that any new towers could and should be placed at a lower elevation below existing homes.</li> </ul>

---



---

**Appendix B-3. Summary of Written Comments Received from Private Citizens**

---

Date of Letter	From	Comments
Oct. 3, 2003	Donna Hackney	<p>Ms Hackney's residence is less than 300 feet from an existing 138 kV tower and transmission line. Her concerns were received via email and fax and are summarized below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ms. Hackney identified existing problems related to aesthetics, corona noise and EMF. All of these problems have been affecting her mental and physical health.</li> <li>• The existing towers already impact the visual quality of her home environment. and permanently block the view of the Cottonwood Golf Course and the surrounding areas. Ms. Hackney supports the use of available technology that would bury the lines and eliminate the visual impacts.</li> <li>• Ms. Hackney expressed concerns about the description of the tower modifications on existing 138 kV towers to accommodate the new 230 kV transmission line. She wants to know what exactly these modifications will be and how they would affect the visual quality of the area?</li> <li>• The comments also highlighted an ongoing issue with corona noise. At night there are noise levels above 40 dBA, a level that is unacceptable to her. Ms. Hackney also mentioned gap sparking and substantial noise levels that are caused during high winds. She is convinced that a substantial increase in ambient noise will result due to the proposed tower modifications and a new 230 kV transmission line. Ms. Hackney does not want ambient operational noise levels to increase as a result of the Proposed Project. To correct these issues Ms. Hackney respectfully asks SDG&amp;E and CPUC to bury the proposed 230 kV transmission line between Cottonwood Subdivision and Willow Glen Road.</li> <li>• Ms. Hackney stated, "I believe any change with increased line voltage power will substantially degrade the existing visual character and quality of the site and my surroundings. I do believe that with proper planning and diligence SDG&amp;E can bury the proposed 230 kV transmission line and completely eliminate the towers we currently see. This would be an acceptable solution to my family. I am sure the Cottonwood Homeowners Association feels the same as I do. We would like this specific request to be considered in detail by the Energy Division of the CPUC."</li> <li>• In conclusion, Ms. Hackney stated, "I think we all realize continued development is inevitable. However, when society and development can correct past and current social concerns it is essential that every effort be made to address individual and group problems as they arise given the available capital and technology today."</li> </ul>
Oct. 2, 2003	Ellen and James Holaway	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Holaway support the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Holaway request full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seek assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Oct. 2, 2003	Mari and Mike Harrod	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Harrod support the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Harrod request full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seek assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>

---

---

**Appendix B-3. Summary of Written Comments Received from Private Citizens**

---

Date of Letter	From	Comments
Oct. 4, 2003	Rory and Ruth Jones	<p>The following comments were provided in the letter received from Mr. and Mrs. Jones:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The proposed placement of the new 69/138 kV structure is totally unacceptable because it would not only dramatically affect the view from their home but also would substantially decrease their property value.</li> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Jones would like to have someone from “your department” (SDG&amp;E or CPUC) come out to their house to see their concerns firsthand.</li> <li>• They also inquired about the possibility of placing the necessary equipment on only one existing tower? If not, is this alternative not considered feasible due to the potential cost to SDG&amp;E?</li> <li>• The letter also emphasized concern for possible hazard caused by exposure to higher levels of EMF.</li> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Jones requested that the CPUC contact them directly.</li> </ul>
Oct. 5, 2003	Linda Kirk	<p>The Kirk family has been residing at their home in El Cajon for 19 years. The home is on the hilltop overlooking Rancho San Diego and Steele Canyon Golf Courses. Following are excerpts from Ms. Kirk’s letter:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Over the years we have seen many changes to our area that have enhanced the lives of those living here. Your project promises nothing positive that I can see other than profit for SDG&amp;E.</li> <li>• The proposed positioning of additional towers and power lines within 100 feet of the homes in this neighborhood is totally unacceptable.</li> <li>• The potential health risks, from living in such close proximity to an extraordinarily high electromagnetic field, are extremely negative. People living in other areas with comparable exposure have documented an increase in cases of cancer, leukemia, tumors, miscarriage, and birth defects.</li> <li>• One of the best things about the location of many of these homes is the unobstructed view we share. The proposed towers would spoil existing views and bring down local property values considerably.</li> <li>• We were assured when we purchased this home, as were other homeowners, that the area below our homes would remain a natural area. No construction of any kind could or would be performed in this area.</li> <li>• The wildlife in this area is an important resource and is very fragile. Construction of these towers and access roads would have a significant negative impact on local wildlife. There is no way this construction can take place without significant destruction of habitat and life.</li> <li>• Ms. Kirk is also concerned about the effects of construction on the stability of the mountainside in that it may also affect the safety of her home.</li> <li>• Ms. Kirk asserted that the Proposed Project should not be permitted unless the Project Owner is willing to accept responsibility and compensate all involved for the following issues: (1) Major reduction of home value, (2) Destruction of people’s and animals’ health and any and all medical costs and complications caused by living in close proximity to the power lines, (3) Loss of hillside stability, which may not be detected until our homes go sliding down, (4) Breach of agreement for no additional construction, and 5) Loss of natural habitat and wildlife.</li> <li>• Ms. Kirk’s letter stated: “There is no compensation that could adequately cover the loss of any of the above. These things are priceless. We spend our lives and money maintaining them for the future of our families, not for your destruction of the things we value. If you were a potential homebuyer there’s no way in this world you would put your life or the lives of your family members in the environment you plan to create for us. My life and the lives of my family and neighbors are just as valuable. We don’t deserve to be plunged into an environment of your choosing.”</li> </ul>

---

**Appendix B-3. Summary of Written Comments Received from Private Citizens**

Date of Letter	From	Comments
Oct. 5, 2003	David Kramer	<p>As a resident of Santee and owner of a home on Easthaven Court, Mr. Kramer provided the following comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The area of reference is part of the subsection E (Figure 1-11 of SDG&amp;E's PEA, 2002) between Los Coches and Fanita Junction.</li> <li>• The Proposed Project would move the existing 138 kV power lines from the towers on which they are currently strung and place them 38 feet to the south on new poles of significantly less height from the ground. This would place the new poles an average of 24 feet (horizontal distance) from the north side of the houses situated along the north side of Easthaven Court. The Proposed Project would then place the new 230 kV line on the towers where the 138 kV lines are currently strung. The net effect is that the 138 kV lines would be lowered significantly and moved 38 feet horizontally closer to the houses on Easthaven Court, placing them an estimated 24 feet from the north side of the houses and just outside the edge of their northern property lines. Additionally, the new 230 kV line will be placed where the 138 kV lines are currently. In the houses along the north side of Easthaven Court, the bedrooms are located in the northern portion of these homes, the side closest to the power lines.</li> <li>• In my home, with the 138 kV power lines in their current configuration, the milli-gauss readings are within "safe" readings, 3 or less. What will be the estimated readings in my home and others along Easthaven Court after completion of the project? What other possible EMF hazards can be anticipated?</li> <li>• What other safety hazards can potentially be incurred after the new poles/towers are placed within 24 feet of the homes on Easthaven Court? What notifications and safeguards will be provided for these residents? Are there contingency plans in preparation to prepare for events such as fires, flooding, or earthquakes?</li> <li>• What will be the anticipated loss in property values along Easthaven Court as a result of the project? What will be done to compensate residents for these losses?</li> <li>• How will the project construction and subsequent periodic and unplanned maintenance adversely affect the residents on Easthaven Court?</li> <li>• What alternatives to the currently Proposed Project are available to remove or lessen the burden and potential hazards to the residents of Easthaven Court?</li> <li>• It is readily evident that the project, as proposed gives the highest priority to minimizing the cost of the project and the lowest priority to the safety and well-being of the residents of Easthaven Court.</li> <li>• CPUC and SDG&amp;E have the opportunity here to implement alternatives to the current proposal, namely to re-route or underground the lines in order to demonstrate a commitment to the safety and well-being of the community that they serve.</li> </ul>
Sept. 29, 2003	Hiromi Kramer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hiromi Kramer supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Hiromi Kramer requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Sept. 3, 2003	Harold L.(Last Name not legible)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Harold supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Harold requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>

---

**Appendix B-3. Summary of Written Comments Received from Private Citizens**

---

Date of Letter	From	Comments
Oct. 2, 2003	Paula Lember	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ms. Lember supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Ms. Lember requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Oct. 1, 2003	Nancy McDaniel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ms. McDaniel supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Ms. McDaniel requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Oct. 2, 2003	Julia K. Michelmore	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ms. Michelmore supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Ms. Michelmore requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Oct. 2, 2003	Susan Monroe	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ms. Monroe supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Ms. Monroe requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Sept. 30, 2003	Mary Jo Moses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ms. Moses supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Ms. Moses requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Sept. 30, 2003	Robert Mossay	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. Mossay supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. Mossay requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>

---

---

**Appendix B-3. Summary of Written Comments Received from Private Citizens**

Date of Letter	From	Comments
Sept. 30, 2003	John and Connie Parker	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Parker support the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Parker request full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seek assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Sept. 27, 2003	Gary and Debra Phillips	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Phillips support the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Phillips request full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seek assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Sept. 29, 2003	Lucas W. Phillips	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. Phillips supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. Phillips requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Oct. 3, 2003	Greg Pomrenke	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. Pomrenke supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. Pomrenke requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Oct. 1, 2003	Dennis and Barbara Pope	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Pope support the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Pope request full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seek assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Oct. 2, 2003	Dave and Gail Quaschnick	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Quaschnick support the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Quaschnick request full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seek assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>

---

---

**Appendix B-3. Summary of Written Comments Received from Private Citizens**

Date of Letter	From	Comments
<p>Oct. 6, 2003</p> <p>Received after close of scoping period. Included here as a courtesy</p>	Lanny Shorey	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lanny Shorey supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Lanny Shorey requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Sept. 27, 2003	Scott and Jennie Sinclair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Sinclair support the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Sinclair request full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seek assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Sept. 17, 2003	Glen R. Urie	<p>Mr. Urie provided the following comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The project description only states how much voltage the lines will carry but the EIR will need to address the total peak power of the lines. Providing only voltage levels does not give a full picture of the health and other impacts that installation would have on the surrounding community.</li> <li>• The EIR should include summaries and analyses of voltage calculations based upon the peak power ability of the Proposed Project.</li> <li>• The EIR should contain detailed comparisons of the Proposed Project with similar projects within similar communities in Southern California. Reports on these independent projects should be made available to the public for review and comment prior to approval of SDG&amp;E to construct these power lines.</li> <li>• There are several houses in Santee that are already closer to the current power lines than what is recommended by most agencies. The EIR needs to include plans to relocate existing power lines that are already within several hundred feet of existing homes.</li> <li>• The utilities should not be placed any closer to inhabited structures than what is recommend for separation in such places where children reside, such as elementary schools. SDG&amp;E should place utilities underground in existing or anticipated housing communities. There is no valid reason why installation of all new utilities should not be underground.</li> <li>• Mr. Urie expressed disbelief that SDG&amp;E seems to be willing to pay a significant amount of money to contest reports substantiating the impacts of EMF, but will not spend equivalent funds to place new utilities underground.</li> <li>• Placing the utilities underground will alleviate almost all concerns regarding health, safety, environmental, aesthetics, and will provide for reliability of the transmission system.</li> <li>• The requirement for the upgrade needs to be more clearly defined in the EIR.</li> <li>• There would be a large increase in the ability to supply power without clearly defining the source of the new power. Is the new power coming from facilities located in Mexico?</li> <li>• Does the source of the new power meet U.S. EPA requirements? What studies are being conducted for alternative power sources within the U.S. that can meet demand?</li> </ul>

---

---

**Appendix B-3. Summary of Written Comments Received from Private Citizens**

---

Date of Letter	From	Comments
	Glen R. Urie (cont.)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The upgrade is crossing sensitive wildlife habitat and will certainly have a negative impact on those species residing within the upgrade path. Besides several endangered species and ecosystems, there are several large-winged birds that reside in Santee's hills that will be impacted by this development. There should be studies on mitigation or alternatives for the protection of these species in the proposal.</li> <li>• The general feeling at the scoping meeting was that SDG&amp;E is not a good neighbor. Indeed, SDG&amp;E is viewed as the bully on the block. The EIR report as well as SDG&amp;E's general attitude in meeting the concerns of those of us directly impacted by this planned upgrade will go a long way in determining the partnership and future relationship between the public and SDG&amp;E.</li> </ul>
Sept. 30, 2003	Richard S. Wilkins	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. Wilkins supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. Wilkins requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children. Wants assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Oct. 1, 2003	Bruce and Eugenia Wolfarth	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Wolfarth support the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Mr. and Mrs. Wolfarth request full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seek assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>
Oct. 3, 2003	Azhar Yono	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Azhar Yono submitted a brief email that expressed a preference for the no-project alternative.</li> </ul>
Oct. 3, 2003	Barbara Zaino	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ms. Zaino supports the actions sought by Santee Citizens for Safe Power.</li> <li>• Ms. Zaino requests full disclosure of potentially harmful health effects of EMF to residents and school children, and seeks assurance that setback requirements of the State of California, California Department of Education and recommendations of the World Health Organization are acknowledged and will be incorporated into the EIR.</li> <li>• Sample language from the Oakmont View EIR was included in package with the letter.</li> </ul>

---

## **APPENDIX B-4**

---

### Summary of Oral Comments Received at Scoping Meetings



---

**Appendix B-4. Summary of Public Comments Received at Scoping Meetings**

---

Date	From	Comments
<b>Scoping Meeting, Sept. 15, 2003 – Spring Valley, CA</b>		
Sept. 15, 2003	Ruth Jones	Ms. Jones submitted the following requests in writing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please provide a picture of a proposed 230 kV transmission line and a map indicating the number of towers to be added.</li> <li>• Ms. Jones also requested the web site address to obtain project information.</li> </ul>
Sept. 15, 2003	Jim Radice	Mr. Radice provided the following written comments related to the location and color of the new poles: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. Radice requested that an alternative requiring installation of no new poles be investigated as a project alternative.</li> <li>• Mr. Radice suggested the use of brown towers to visually blend them with the landscape.</li> <li>• Posed the question: “Is the purpose of project to sell more electricity from Mexico to areas outside San Diego to increase Sempra's bottom line?”</li> </ul>
Sept. 15, 2003	Dalour Yohnan	Mr. Yohnan resides near portion of the project within Jamacha Valley and verbally provided the following verbal comments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Concern with noise, EMF levels and increased usage of the transmission line easement by SDG&amp;E because it is adjacent to his home. Suggests use of ceramic insulators to reduce noise impacts.</li> <li>• Reduction of EMF risk may be possible by placing the 230 kV line south of the proposed location and farther away from homes.</li> <li>• Mr. Yohnan is very concerned with the use and maintenance of SDG&amp;E’s transmission line easement next to his home.</li> </ul> <p><u>NOTE:</u> These comments were provided in writing and also summarized by Aspen staff from a video tape of the project scoping meeting held September 15, 2003 in Spring Valley, CA.</p>
<b>Scoping Meeting Sept. 16, 2003 – Santee, CA</b>		
Sept. 16, 2003	Sal R. Campos	Mr. Campos provided the following written questions and comments related to potential increases in EMF: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Are the poles going to be underground to decrease exposure of humans to EMF?</li> <li>• The poles should be moved farther away from homes.</li> <li>• A major goal of the EIR should be reducing EMF levels to protect the public from increased exposure.</li> <li>• Mr. Campos requested consideration of a project alternative that involved moving the poles to the north side of the existing structures.</li> </ul>
Sept. 16, 2003	Joseph Michael DuBreuil	Mr. DuBreuil provided the following verbal comments: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mr. DuBreuil expressed concerns about the addition of another power line to an already congested ROW.</li> <li>• Studies should be done to increase the efficiency of power lines in order to decrease their numbers.</li> <li>• Mr. DuBreuil stated that the rock on his property is granitic and that construction may pose problems for his home (e.g. impacts from vibration).</li> </ul> <p><u>NOTE:</u> These comments were summarized by Aspen staff from a video tape of the project scoping meeting held September 16, 2003 in Santee, CA.</p>
Sept. 16, 2003	Joseph Michael DuBreuil	In writing, Mr. DeBreuil requested: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Copies of map BIO-4-17, and</li> <li>• Figures showing all configurations of proposed transmission towers and lines.</li> </ul>

---

---

**Appendix B-4. Summary of Public Comments Received at Scoping Meetings**

---

Date	From	Comments
Sept. 16, 2003	Matt DePhilippis	<p>Mr. DePhilippis provided the following written questions and comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• What are the health consequences of changing from a 138 kV to a 230 kV circuit? Please investigate burying the lines.</li> <li>• Concern for impacts to property value.</li> <li>• Existing structure ruins view of mountains already. Concern with excessive noise produced by the electrical lines.</li> <li>• What are future plans for new lines?</li> </ul>
Sept. 16, 2003	Gregg Guenther	<p>In writing, Mr. Guenther requested:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A copy of the sign-in sheet for the Sept 16, 2003 project scoping meeting.</li> </ul> <p><u>NOTE:</u> Mr. Guenther also made verbal comments as a representative of the Santee Citizens for Safe Power, summarized above in Appendix B-2</p>
Sept. 16, 2003	Ellen Holaway	<p>Ms. Holaway provided the following written comments and questions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Where are these 8 new steel poles going to be installed?</li> <li>• Where inside the corridor are they being installed? Provide this information.</li> <li>• Are there any poles being installed in the following four streets located in Santee: Prospect, Cuyamaca, Fanita, or Magnolia?</li> <li>• Do we have an overlay map showing where these poles will be placed?</li> <li>• How can the public look up the setback requirements for utility companies? The CA EMF fact sheet (DHS) recommends a setback of 150 ft for utilities in order to reduce health concerns related to EMF. Is there a website where one can access more information on this issue?</li> <li>• Why is the minimum setback distance of 12 feet used for the Proposed Project? What would be the maximum setback distance?</li> <li>• Where are the 69 kV transmission lines? What substation would they come from, and what would they be used for?</li> <li>• Request for clarification regarding the fate of the existing 69 kV lines. Will they all be converted to 138 kV lines?</li> <li>• Ms. Holaway stated that "No substation is an island."</li> <li>• Where is the new ROW that SDG&amp;E stated was infeasible?</li> <li>• What would be required to install the poles along the north side of the ROW?</li> </ul>
Sept. 16, 2003	Ellen Holaway	<p>Ms. Holaway made the following verbal comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ms. Holaway requested full disclosure and detailed evaluation of human health impacts due to EMF exposure. Local health concerns are her main concern. Ms. Holaway provided background on local health issues and potential impacts caused by exposure to EMF.</li> <li>• Ms. Holaway expressed concern that the Proposed Project would decrease property values.</li> </ul> <p><u>NOTE:</u> These comments were summarized by Aspen staff from a video tape of the project scoping meeting held September 16, 2003 in Santee, CA.</p>
Sept. 16, 2003	Mitch Joplin	<p>Mr. Joplin provided a written comment requesting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The EIR must include an analysis of impacts to property values.</li> <li>• The EIR must include an analysis of impacts to tax revenue.</li> </ul>

---

---

**Appendix B-4. Summary of Public Comments Received at Scoping Meetings**

---

Date	From	Comments
Sept. 16, 2003	Mitch Joplin	<p>Mr. Joplin made the following verbal comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Does the analysis take into account loss of home equity?</li> <li>• Mr. Joplin requested that SDG&amp;E install the "best-looking" poles for the Proposed Project.</li> <li>• Mr. Joplin also indicated that his neighbors have died from cancer.</li> <li>• Question for Roosevelt Grant: Does the judge's decision to approve the purpose and need for the project mean that the decision to build has already been made? Answered by Mr. Grant at the scoping meeting.</li> </ul> <p><u>NOTE:</u> These comments were summarized by Aspen staff from a video tape of the project scoping meeting held September 16, 2003 in Santee, CA.</p>
Sept. 16, 2003	John Parker	<p>Mr. Parker provided the following written comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please fully address the dangers to the human body from EMF exposure, especially cancers.</li> <li>• Please provide a detailed review of the scientific literature on EMF exposure.</li> <li>• Mr. Parker wants to be on the project's mailing list.</li> </ul>
Sept. 16, 2003	Bruce Wolfarth	<p>Mr. Wolfarth provided the following verbal comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Both he and his wife are suffering from cancer and have grave concerns regarding health impacts of the Proposed Project.</li> <li>• Mr. Wolfarth requested that alternatives analysis address underground installation of transmission lines as well as other alternatives that could decrease EMF exposure of area residents.</li> </ul> <p><u>NOTE:</u> These comments were summarized by Aspen staff from a video tape of the project scoping meeting held September 16, 2003 in Santee, CA.</p>
Sept. 16, 2003	Kenyon Young	<p>Kenyon Young provided the following verbal concerns about the following issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• EMF and impacts to human health.</li> <li>• Impacts of the project to property values.</li> <li>• Biological impacts (golden eagles mentioned as nesting nearby).</li> <li>• Kenyon would like to see documents reporting studies on the number of cancer patients who live near power lines.</li> <li>• Kenyon also requested information from the CPUC about how the need for the project was determined, who was involved in the decision, and who appointed the judge who made the determination?</li> </ul> <p><u>NOTE:</u> These comments were summarized by Aspen staff from a video tape of the project scoping meeting held September 16, 2003 in Santee, CA.</p>
Sept. 16, 2003	Barbara Zaino	<p>Ms. Zaino provided the following verbal comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• She has lived in the area for 25 years and was diagnosed with Multiple Sclerosis 8 years ago.</li> <li>• Ms. Zaino's main concern is EMF from the Proposed Project and related impacts to human health.</li> </ul> <p><u>NOTE:</u> These comments were summarized by Aspen staff from a video tape of the project scoping meeting held September 16, 2003 in Santee, CA.</p>

---

## **APPENDIX B-5**

---

### Summary of Agency Consultations

---

## **Appendix B-5. Summary of Agency Consultations**

---

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Issues Discussed</b>
USFWS Sandy Marquez and Kathy Brubaker	Site Visits on Oct. 2, 2003	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Vernal pools at the End of Santo Road</li><li>• Mission Trails Regional Park</li><li>• Miguel Substation vicinity and impacts to Quino checkerspot butterfly</li><li>• Impacts to San Diego ambrosia</li><li>• Impacts to vernal pools and San Diego fairy shrimp</li><li>• See attached agenda and meeting notes*</li></ul>
CDFG David Mayer	Site Visits on Oct. 2, 2003	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Vernal pools at the End of Santo Road</li><li>• Mission Trails Regional Park</li><li>• Miguel Substation vicinity and impacts to Quino checkerspot butterfly</li><li>• See attached agenda and meeting notes*</li></ul>
Southwest Division Naval Facilities Command Luana Duprey	Oct. 16, 2003	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Discussed NEPA compliance for the Miguel-Mission 230 kV #2 Project</li></ul>

\* The agenda and memorandum attached provide a summary of the meeting on Oct. 2, 2003.

Appendix B-5

**CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION (CPUC)**  
**Miguel-Mission 230 kV #2 Project**

---

---

Site Visit Agenda

Thursday, Oct. 2, 2003

- Meeting Place: Meet at the End of Santo Road
- Directions: Exit Interstate 15 at Aero Road and follow it to Santo Road.  
Access to Vernal Pools is at the south end of Santo Road.
- Time: 10 AM
- Participants: Sandy Marquez, USFWS  
Kathleen Brubaker, USFWS  
David Mayer, CDFG  
Roosevelt Grant, CPUC  
Tom Murphy, Aspen Team  
Jim Bartridge, Aspen Team  
Tom Huffman, Aspen Team  
Chris Huntley, Aspen Team  
Mary Turley, SDG&E  
Bruce Nanninga, SDG&E  
Mark Chomyn, SDG&E  
Sheri Kim, Recon  
Cindy Jones, Recon
- Vehicles: We should limit the site visit to 3 – 4X4 Vehicles
- Site Visit Stops: Stop 1: Vernal Pools at the End of Santo Road  
Stop 2: Mission Trails Regional Park  
Stop 3: Miguel Substation vicinity (Quino checkerspot butterfly)  
Other stops to be determined or adjusted as necessary

Miguel-Mission 230 kV #2 Project  
Agency Field Meeting Notes  
Oct. 2, 2003

Attendees:

- Sandy Marquez, USFWS
- Kathleen Brubaker, USFWS
- David Mayer, CDFG
- Tom Murphy, Aspen
- Jim Bartridge, Aspen
- Tom Huffman, HELIX
- Chris Huntley, Aspen
- Mary Turley, SDG&E
- Bruce Nanninga, SDG&E
- Mark Chomyn, SDG&E
- Sheri Kim, RECON
- Paula Potenza, Essex Environmental

**Meeting Notes**

A field meeting was held on Oct. 2, 2003 from 10:00 to mid-afternoon in order to visit various portions of the project site. The meeting was arranged at the request of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) as a follow up to a meeting held at the USFWS offices on Sept. 16, 2003

Tom Huffman provided the agenda shown on the previous page and opened the meeting with background information and the reason for the meeting. Tom also noted what he thought the potential field trip points of interest would be. It was decided that four different areas would be visited: the Navy vernal pool preserve area at the south end of Santo Road, vernal pools located east of Mission Village Drive, an area by Steele Canyon Road with the federally endangered San Diego ambrosia, and an area north of the Miguel Substation where a Quino checkerspot butterfly was observed.

Based on the discussion held during the field trip, information requests were generated.