

Feb. 25 2008

COMMENTS ON THE PROPOSED SUNRISE POWERLINK

I OPPOSE THIS ENTIRE SUNRISE POWER LINK,,,,,,,,,,,,,. However I want to thank the CPUC for its decision that this is not the best way to go. It changes rural community character and adds more chance for down lines causing fires. We do not need this.

Power should be generated near where it is needed. As has been suggested many times. There is the tide which can generate power on a timetable and be as needed. This is done in many other countries. Renewable Generation. Along with smaller wind turbines on houses. With solar panels also. Thus ADDING MORE POWER AT POINT OF NEED.

There are so many wrongs in this proposal as; The loss of private property, loss of parks and sensitive land and species damaged. And damage by blasting for the ugly towers destroying a pure environment, to name a few. I would suggest it be scraped, lets go green at point of origin.

The rate payer can not afford this mega project, it only adds dollars to the SDG&E's bank roll. Out of each homes budget. It is not cheap or right.

Thank you, sincerely

Shirley Perkiss
P.O. Box 574
Campo, CA 91906



619 478 5808