


Nathan & Adrianna Howe
20760 Spice Way
Jamul, CA. 91935

May 25, 2007

California Public Utility Commission
235 Montgomery Street, Suite 935
San Francisco, CA. 94104-3002



To Billie Blanchard and Lynda Kastoll:

This letter is to inform you both of our concerns in regards to the Sunrise Powerlink Project.

We are 1st time home owners of a property that will be greatly impacted by the designated alternative route "D" of the proposed Sunrise Powerlink Project. Currently, a SDG&E easement bisects our land and if the alternative route "D" is accepted we would be forced out of our home due to the 300 foot easement increase.

Beyond the possibility of loosing our home and dreams, we are against any alternative route as well as the Sunrise Powerlink Project itself. Below is list of supports for our opposition:

1. devastating environmental impacts on wildlife, specifically endangered species like the Arroyo Toad and Quino Checkerspot Butterfly, as well as threatened species of big cats and deer
2. increased pollution drainage into Barret Lake Reservoir
3. decrease of property value in all impacted areas
4. health effects of high voltage lines on human life
5. continued reliance on fossil fuels, new lines are not of the renewable nature (solar, wind and biomass)
6. grossly expensive
7. incapable of providing reliable energy due to the unproven Stirling Engines and unforeseen forest fires
8. current energy projects within the San Diego are already underway

We also support San Diego County Supervisor, Dianne Jacobs, in her recent statement that "...SDG&E can keep the lights on in its territory and satisfy its renewable energy mandate with measures that are cheaper and safer than this costly line" (Jacobs, Public Comments, Feb. 6, 2007).

Please consider this complaint and others as the public's voice and remember us when you set forth to make a decision that will carry long term negative effects for centuries to come.

Sincerely,

Nathan Howe & Adrianna Howe