

Comment Set E0003, cont.  
San Diego Gas and Electric Company

CH#	Pg#	Par#	Comment	
F	F-3	Last	2nd sentence refers to 8 jurisdictions on Table F-1 with minority populations. However, Table F-1 identifies 11 jurisdictions and the bulleted list on page F-4 lists 11.	E0003-216
F	F-10	1	The discussion here of two Class I impacts to the Barona reservation is the same as for the proposed project, but this description appears to only be for future transmission expansion. The FEIR should specify whether discussing proposed project or future transmission system expansion.	E0003-217
F	F-15	3	In discussion of impacts to Pauma, under air quality, the DEIR notes that these impacts are from the proposed project as opposed to future transmission system expansion. Earlier discussion noted no impacts to Pauma from proposed project. Should clarify what impacts are being discussed here.	E0003-218
F	F-16	4	Under discussion of impacts to Pala, under fire and fuel management, DEIR concludes that "activities associated with the project will ignite wildfires." This is a speculative conclusion, and "will" should be replaced by "may" or "could".	E0003-219
F	F-22	4	Under discussion of impacts from I8 alternative, DEIR concludes impacts to La Posta from increased noise, but there is no discussion of likelihood that people or sensitive receptors will be in vicinity of the transmission line in that area.	E0003-220
F	F-40	1st full	Should state "In the area of Central East Substation" - as written, it suggests still discussing ABDSP.	E0003-221
F	F-40	Last	In last sentence, change text to: "if human remains are discovered".	E0003-222

Comment Set E0003, cont.  
San Diego Gas and Electric Company

CH#	Pg#	Par#	Comment	
G.1	G-34	1	Impact is overstated for temporary impacts. Impact B-1 states that: Construction and maintenance activities would result in temporary and permanent losses of native vegetation (Class I). Temporary vegetation loss is defined as vegetation clearing from construction. Revegetation should mitigate the temporary impact, so even with the incremental effect of other projects, the impact is still temporary and should not be a Class I impact. Class II is a more appropriate level of impact for temporary (yet mitigable) cumulative vegetation losses.	E0003-223
G.3.2	G-40	2	The impact is overstated for temporary impacts. Impact V-1 is short-term visibility of construction activities, equipment, and night lighting, and has been designated a Class I impact. This level of impact is inappropriate for short-term, temporary activities. Because the impact would be short-term as experienced from any visual receptor, the impact would not be significant. Therefore, the impact level should be changed to Class III, which is adverse but less than significant.	E0003-224
G.3.2	G-40	4	The impact is overstated for the visual impact in coastal zone, as the proposed project would be constructed along existing transmission structures. Industrial character would not be added, as it already exists from existing structures. The impact level should be changed to Class III, which is adverse but less than significant.	E0003-225
G.3.5	G-46	1	The DEIR concludes cumulative impacts from other projects that might affect other State Park lands, but SDG&E disagrees that entire state of California should be used to determine cumulative impacts of project on ABDSP, also note that EIR itself notes that many of these projects are not likely and yet cumulative impacts analysis is supposed to analyze reasonably foreseeable actions, also note that neither of the two specific projects cited here are listed on the chart of reasonably foreseeable actions used to do cumulative impacts analysis.	E0003-226
G.3.6	G-48	second	States "typically, cultural and paleo resources are identified....only during ground disturbing activities..." Not necessarily true; can do pot holing and if geo. Formation is known, can determine probability for fossils.	E0003-227
G.3.7	G-53	2	Maintenance noise impacts not Class I impacts. Maintenance noise impacts are generally short-term and intermittent over the life of the project.	E0003-228
G.3.11	G-63	4	Construction water quality impacts are mitigable through standard BMPs put in place.	E0003-229
G.3.11	G-64		Impact is overstated. Sediment can be mitigated; the analysis compares this project with subdivision projects, which is an incorrect assumption/comparison.	E0003-230
G.3.12	G-67	4	Incorrect statement: "Accidental spill impacts are not mitigable". Revise statement to indicate that accidental spill impacts are mitigable to less than significant through required mitigation and BMP's.	E0003-231
G.4	G-82	Table G.3	Table G.3. Project Alternatives Cumulative Project List, page G-82 through 97: Projects listed in the table refer to descriptions in Table G-1 (Proposed Project Cumulative Projects list) by Map ID numbers; however, several of the referenced Map ID numbers are not shown in Table G-1. Please update Table G-3 with the correct Map ID numbers.	E0003-232

Comment Set E0003, cont.  
San Diego Gas and Electric Company

CH#	Pg#	Par#	Comment	
G.4	G-101		Discussion and analysis should be on cumulative impacts of each alternative when added to past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions. Instead, the DEIR analyzes the difference between the proposed project and alternatives so it is not clear whether DEIR assumes the same foreseeable actions (other projects affecting state parks) as previously discussed. Additionally, charts for both ABDSP alternatives suggest that there has been a finding of cumulative impacts to biological resources, etc, when no such specific findings made.	E0003-233
G.4	G-102		The discussion of cumulative projects is incorrect. The EIR notes that there are no past, present or future reasonably foreseeable projects along portion of route discussed here (ABDSP). This is inconsistent with earlier assessments, and there are projects listed in beginning of section on alternatives as potential projects to be analyzed for cumulative impacts with proposed project, but those are never discussed with ABDSP. Revise the text to add cumulative projects.	E0003-234
G.4	G-103	Chart	Chart title includes "Class I Cumulative Impacts Identified", this suggests that Class I cumulative impacts were found. But, no Class I cumulative impacts were identified except WR.	E0003-235