

From: pamela05n <pamela05n@peoplepc.com>
To: sunrise@aspenerg.com
Sent: Sun Jul 13 13:05
Subject: Fwd: comments on RDEIR/SDEIS

CPUC/BLM

Dear Sir or Madam,

Please stick with the five alternatives that you have suggested. The more “wireless”, the better. We have testified at many of the public hearings because we live a modern life in our remotely-located home using a small electric wind generator and 24 PV Panels. As we drive throughout the county, it is difficult to see any progress in this easy manner of producing energy at the “user” source. Using our resources to convert energy production towards the user is the best solution to satisfy our energy needs. There are many reasons:

- the user is responsible for the production and the use, therefore can conserve and be educated about energy in general.
- new jobs can be created for production, installation and maintenance of alternative energy equipment, packages and innovations
- national security is greater because a terrorist act would not “put the lights out” on a whole region, if there was a single attack.
- existing transmission lines would likely suffice for a very long time because energy would not be needed to transport to San Diego. As existing users go off their dependence and begin to produce, the now unused energy could be used in the new areas where high users need to be supplemented.
- environmental damage from new transmission lines as well as fire-causing situations created by the Sunrise Powerlink would not occur.

Thank you,
Pam and Greg Nelson
38723 Hwy 79
Warner Springs
CA 92086