

Proposed Viejo System Project Proponent's Environmental Assessment

Prepared for:

**California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3298**

Prepared by:

**Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Rosemead, CA 91770**

and

**AMEC Earth & Environmental, Inc.
5510 Morehouse Drive
San Diego, CA 92121**

Project No. 325550000

March 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1. CHAPTER 1 – EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2. CHAPTER 2 – PURPOSE AND NEED.....	3
2.1 Project Purpose	3
2.2 Project Need	3
2.2.1 Alternatives	7
3. CHAPTER 3 – PROJECT DESCRIPTION	16
3.1 SCE Project Development Process	16
3.1.1 Initial Considerations	16
3.1.2 Substation Site Selection.....	16
3.1.3 Subtransmission Line Route Selection	18
3.2 Regional Location and Project Area.....	18
3.2.1 Existing 220 kV Transmission and 66 kV Subtransmission Line Infrastructure.....	18
3.3 Project Overview.....	23
3.3.1 Site Preparations	23
3.3.1.1 Grading:	23
3.3.1.2 Drainage:.....	23
3.3.2 Proposed Viejo System Project Facilities	24
3.3.2.1 Viejo Substation Site Facilities.....	24
3.3.2.2 220 kV Transmission Line Minor Modifications	27
3.3.2.3 Construction of Additional Proposed 66 kV Subtransmission Line	29
3.3.2.3.1 Alternative 1A - Proposed 66 kV Overhead Subtransmission Line	30
3.3.2.3.2 Alternative 1B - 66 kV Overhead/Underground Subtransmission Line.....	30
3.3.2.3.3 Alternative 1C - 66 kV Underground Subtransmission Line.....	33
3.3.2.4 Proposed Improvements at Chiquita Substation	36
3.3.2.5 Telecommunication Improvements	36
3.3.3 Proposed Viejo System Project Construction	39
3.3.3.1 Viejo Substation Construction and Schedule.....	39
3.3.3.2 220 kV Transmission Modification Construction Methods.....	40
3.3.3.3 Alternative 1A - 66 kV Subtransmission Line Construction Methods	42
3.3.3.4 Alternative 1B - 66 kV Subtransmission Line Construction Methods	43
3.3.3.5 Alternative 1C - 66 kV Subtransmission Line Construction Methods	46
3.4 Alternatives Considered But Not Advanced.....	46
3.4.1 No Project Alternative	46
3.4.2 Underground 66 kV Subtransmission Line in the 220 kV Corridor.....	47
3.4.3 Santiago Substation Upgrade - Alternative 2.....	47

4. CHAPTER 4 – ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	48
4.1 Introduction.....	48
4.2 Aesthetics/Light and Glare.....	48
4.2.1 Proposed Substation Site.....	48
4.2.2 Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	48
4.2.3 Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	48
4.2.4 Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	51
4.3 Agriculture Resources	51
4.4 Air Quality.....	51
4.4.1 Proposed Substation Site.....	51
4.4.2 Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	52
4.4.3 Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	53
4.4.4 Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	53
4.5 Biological Resources	53
4.5.1 Methodology	56
4.5.1.1 Vegetation Mapping.....	56
4.5.1.2 Sensitive Plant Surveys.....	60
4.5.1.3 Sensitive Wildlife Surveys.....	60
4.5.1.4 Focused Wildlife Surveys.....	60
4.5.2 Existing Biological Conditions.....	68
4.5.2.1 Vegetation and Habitats	68
4.5.2.2 Sensitive Plants	71
4.5.2.3 Wildlife	73
4.5.2.4 Sensitive Wildlife.....	74
4.6 Cultural Resources.....	75
4.6.1 Proposed Substation Site.....	75
4.6.1.1 Ethnographic Resources.....	75
4.6.1.2 Archaeological Resources.....	78
4.6.1.3 Historic Resources	78
4.6.1.4 Paleontological Resources	79
4.6.1.5 Record Search Results	83
4.6.1.6 Field Survey	83
4.6.2 Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	84
4.6.3 Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	84
4.6.4 Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	84
4.7 Geologic Conditions.....	84
4.7.1 Proposed Substation Site.....	84
4.7.2 Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	85
4.7.3 Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	85
4.7.4 Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	85

4.8	Hazards and Hazardous Materials.....	87
4.8.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	87
4.8.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	87
4.8.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	87
4.8.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	87
4.9	Hydrology and Water Quality	87
4.9.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	87
4.9.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	88
4.9.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	88
4.9.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	88
4.10	Land Use and Planning	88
4.10.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	90
4.10.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	93
4.10.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	93
4.10.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	96
4.11	Mineral Resources/Energy	96
4.11.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	96
4.11.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	96
4.11.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	96
4.11.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	96
4.12	Noise	97
4.12.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	97
4.12.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	98
4.12.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	98
4.12.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	98
4.13	Population and Housing	99
4.13.1	Proposed Substation Site and 66 kV Subtransmission Line Route Alternatives 1A, 1B, and 1C	100
4.13.1.1	Population	100
4.13.1.2	Housing.....	101
4.14	Public Services/Utilities	101
4.14.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	101
4.14.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	102
4.14.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	102
4.14.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	102
4.15	Recreation.....	103
4.15.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	103
4.15.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	103
4.15.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	103
4.15.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	105

4.16	Transportation and Circulation	105
4.16.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	105
4.16.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	106
4.16.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	106
4.16.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	106
5.	CHAPTER 5.0 – ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION.....	107
5.1	Introduction.....	107
5.2	Aesthetics/Light and Glare.....	107
5.2.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	107
5.2.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	111
5.2.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	117
5.2.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	117
5.2.5	Mitigation.....	117
5.3	Agriculture Resources	118
5.3.1	Mitigation.....	118
5.4	Air Quality.....	118
5.4.1	Proposed Viejo Substation.....	118
5.4.1.1	Fugitive Dust Emissions	119
5.4.1.2	Combustion Emissions.....	120
5.4.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	121
5.4.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	122
5.4.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	122
5.4.5	Mitigation.....	123
5.5	Biological Resources	123
5.5.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	124
5.5.1.1	Vegetation and Habitat	125
5.5.1.2	Sensitive Plant Species	129
5.5.1.3	Wildlife	129
5.5.1.4	Sensitive Wildlife.....	130
5.5.1.5	Indirect Impacts	130
5.5.2	Alternative 1A – Proposed Subtransmission Line	130
5.5.2.1	Vegetation and Habitat	130
5.5.2.2	Sensitive Plant Species	134
5.5.2.3	Wildlife	135
5.5.2.4	Sensitive Wildlife.....	135
5.5.2.5	Indirect Impacts	135
5.5.3	Alternative 1B – Subtransmission Line	136
5.5.3.1	Vegetation and Habitat	136
5.5.3.2	Sensitive Plant Species	137
5.5.3.3	Wildlife	137
5.5.3.4	Sensitive Wildlife.....	138
5.5.3.5	Indirect Impacts	138

5.5.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	138
5.5.4.1	Vegetation and Habitats.....	138
5.5.4.2	Sensitive Plants.....	138
5.5.4.3	Wildlife.....	138
5.5.4.4	Sensitive Wildlife.....	138
5.5.4.5	Indirect Impacts.....	139
5.5.5	Mitigation.....	139
5.5.5.1	Proposed Viejo Substation Site.....	143
5.5.5.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	143
5.5.5.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	144
5.5.5.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	144
5.6	Cultural Resources.....	144
5.6.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	146
5.6.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	147
5.6.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	147
5.6.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	148
5.6.5	Mitigation.....	149
5.6.5.1	Proposed Viejo Substation Site.....	149
5.6.5.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	150
5.6.5.3	Alternative 1B - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	150
5.6.5.4	Alternative 1C - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	150
5.7	Geologic Conditions.....	151
5.7.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	151
5.7.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	152
5.7.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	152
5.7.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	152
5.7.5	Mitigation.....	153
5.8	Hazards and Hazardous Materials.....	153
5.8.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	153
5.8.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	154
5.8.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	154
5.8.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	155
5.8.5	Mitigation.....	155
5.9	Hydrology and Water Quality.....	155
5.9.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	155
5.9.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	156
5.9.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	157
5.9.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	157
5.9.5	Mitigation.....	157
5.10	Land Use and Planning.....	157
5.10.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	158
5.10.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	158

5.10.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	158
5.10.4	Alternative 1C- Subtransmission Line.....	159
5.10.5	Mitigation.....	159
5.11	Mineral Resources	159
5.11.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	159
5.11.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	159
5.11.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	159
5.11.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	160
5.11.5	Mitigation.....	160
5.12	Noise	160
5.12.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	160
5.12.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	161
5.12.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	162
5.12.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	162
5.12.5	Mitigation.....	162
5.13	Population and Housing	162
5.13.1	Proposed Substation.....	163
5.13.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	163
5.13.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	163
5.13.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	163
5.13.5	Mitigation.....	163
5.14	Public Services/Utilities	164
5.14.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	164
5.14.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	164
5.14.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	165
5.14.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	165
5.14.5	Mitigation.....	165
5.15	Recreation.....	165
5.15.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	166
5.15.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	166
5.15.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	166
5.15.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	167
5.15.5	Mitigation.....	167
5.16	Transportation and Circulation	167
5.16.1	Proposed Substation Site.....	167
5.16.2	Alternative 1A - Proposed Subtransmission Line.....	168
5.16.3	Alternative 1B - Subtransmission Line.....	168
5.16.4	Alternative 1C - Subtransmission Line.....	168
5.16.5	Mitigation.....	168
5.17	Mandatory Findings of Significance	169

6.	CHAPTER 6.0 – SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES	171
6.1	Significant or Potentially Significant Environmental Impacts.....	171
6.2	Comparison of Alternatives	171
7.	CHAPTER 7.0 – CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	176
7.1	CEQA and CPUC Rule 17.1 Require a Discussion of the Cumulative Impacts of a Project	176
8.	CHAPTER 8.0 – GROWTH INDUCING EFFECTS.....	177
9.	CHAPTER 9.0 – INDIRECT EFFECTS.....	179
10.	CHAPTER 10 – REFERENCES	180
11.	CHAPTER 11 – LIST OF PREPARERS – SCE AND AMEC	182
11.1	SCE.....	182
11.2	AMEC	183

List of Figures

Figure 2-1	Santiago System	4
Figure 2-2	Santiago System Capacity and Peak Demand	6
Figure 2-3	Alternative 1, Create Viejo System.....	9
Figure 2-4	Existing Santiago System.....	12
Figure 2-5	Alternative 2 – Upgrade Santiago System	13
Figure 3-1	Regional Vicinity Map.....	20
Figure 3-2	Proposed Substation Site and 66 kV Subtransmission Line Route.....	21
Figure 3-3	Existing Transmission and Subtransmission Line Configuration.....	22
Figure 3-4	Proposed Viejo Substation Site Plan.....	25
Figure 3-5	Typical 220 kV LST and Tubular Steel Pole.....	28
Figure 3-6	Proposed Alternative 1A Transmission/subtransmission line configuration/ H-Frame Locations	31
Figure 3-7	Typical 66 kV H-frame structure.....	32
Figure 3-8	Alternative 1B Overhead/Underground Subtransmission Line.....	34
Figure 3-9	Alternative 1C Underground Subtransmission Line	35
Figure 3-10	Chiquita Substation Site Plan.....	37
Figure 3-11	Proposed Telecommunications Line Route.....	38

Figure 4-1	Existing Project Site	49
Figure 4-2	View of Existing Transmission/Subtransmission Line Corridor	50
Figure 4-3	Central and Coastal NCCP and Proposed Southern NCCP Boundary	54
Figure 4-4a	Vegetation Mapping and Biological Resources	57
Figure 4-4b	Vegetation Mapping and Biological Resources	58
Figure 4-4c	Vegetation Mapping and Biological Resources	59
Figure 4-5	Cultural Resources in Viejo System Project Vicinity	77
Figure 4-6	Regional Fault Map	86
Figure 4-7	Water Resources	91
Figure 4-8a	Study Area Land Use City of Lake Forest	92
Figure 4-8b	Study Area Land Use City of Mission Viejo	94
Figure 4-8c	Study Area Land Use City of Mission Viejo	95
Figure 4-9	Existing Recreational Facilities	104
Figure 5-1a	Simulated View of Proposed Viejo Substation with Screening Wall (looking north over SR 241)	109
Figure 5-1b	Simulated View of Viejo Substation with Proposed Block Wall and Landscaping	110
Figure 5-2a	Visual Simulation from Painted Trails	112
Figure 5-2b	Visual Simulation from Palmia	113
Figure 5-2c	Visual Simulation from Pine Crest Park	114
Figure 5-2d	Visual Simulation from Canyon Crest (looking North)	115
Figure 5-2e	Visual Simulation from Canyon Crest (looking South)	116
Figure 5-3a	Impacts to Biological Resources	127
Figure 5-3b	Impacts to Biological Resources	132
Figure 5-3c	Impacts to Biological Resources	133

List of Tables

Table 2-1	Santiago System – Weather Adjusted Peak Demand	6
Table 2-2	Estimated Costs for Each Alternative	15
Table 3-1	Substation Facility Summary	26
Table 3-2	Proposed Substation Construction Schedule	39
Table 3-3	Construction Personnel and Equipment Summary	40
Table 3-4	Proposed Transmission Construction Schedule	41
Table 3-5	Equipment Used for Underground Construction	45
Table 3-6	Equipment Used for Overhead Construction	46
Table 4-1	Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards	52
Table 4-2	NCCP Identified Species Including Conditionally Covered Species	55
Table 4-3	Sensitive Plant Species and NCCP Identified Species (IS) That Have the Potential to Occur in the Study Area, Protective Status and Habitat	62
Table 4-4	Federal and State Endangered, Threatened and Sensitive Wildlife Species and NCCP Identified Species (IS) That Have the Potential to Occur in the Study Area, Protective Status, and Habitat	65

Table 4-5	Coastal California Gnatcatcher Surveys in the Study Area within Proposed Southern NCCP Sub-region	68
Table 4-6	Archaeological Chronologies.....	79
Table 4-7	Weighted Sound Levels and Human Response.....	99
Table 4-8	2002 Population and Demographics for the City of Lake Forest.....	100
Table 4-9	2007 Population and Demographics for the City of Lake Forest and Foothill Ranch CDP	100
Table 4-10	City of Mission Viejo	101
Table 4-11	Housing Data.....	101
Table 4-12	Current Traffic Counts	105
Table 5-1	Emission Thresholds of Significance.....	119
Table 5-2	Estimated Daily Exhaust Emissions for Viejo Substation and Adjacent 220 kV Modifications	120
Table 5-3	Estimated Daily Exhaust Emissions for Overhead Subtransmission Line Construction	121
Table 5-4	Estimated Daily Exhaust Emissions for Underground Subtransmission Line Construction	123
Table 5-5	Vegetation Associations Acreage Impacts for Substation Site and Facilities in the Central and Coastal NCCP	129
Table 5-6	Vegetation Associations Acreage Impacts for Alternative 1A in the Central and Coastal NCCP and Proposed Southern NCCP	134
Table 5-7	Vegetation Associations Acreage Impacts for Alternative 1B in the Central and Coastal NCCP and Proposed Southern NCCP.....	137
Table 6-1	Alternative Comparison	172

List of Appendices

Appendix A	California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Initial Study Checklist
Appendix B	Public Involvement
Appendix C	Plant Species List
Appendix D	Wildlife Species
Appendix E	Environmental Site Assessment for Proposed Viejo Substation Site
Appendix F	Proposed Viejo Substation Noise Survey
Appendix G	Field Management Plan for Viejo System Project
Appendix H	Paleontological Report
Appendix I	City/County/Agency Communication
Appendix J	Property Owner and Agency Listing

