

CLEVELAND NATIONAL FOREST POWER LINE REPLACEMENT PROJECTS



A Sempra Energy utility MINOR PROJECT REFINEMENT REQUEST FORM

Date Submitted:	05-06-19		Request #:		032		
Date Approval Required:	06-14-19		Landowner: XXX		XXX	XXX	
APN:	XXX-XXX-XX				ı		
Refinement from (check all	that apply):						
☐ Mitigation Measure	□ APM	⊠ Pro	ject Description		Drawing		Other
Identify source (mitigation	measure, project desc	ription,	etc.):				
Table B-2: Summary of App. Report/Environmental Impact information in this Minor Pro Overhead of C440 that is about justification of the refinement	t Statement (EIR/EIS) l bject Refinement (MPR) ove the maximum pole l	lists the r) request neight de	naximum height for discusses the heigh scribed in the Final	poles	on Circuit ase for one	(C) 440. pole alon	The g Phase I
Attachments (check all that	apply):						
 ☒ Refinement Request Screening Form (see Attachment A: Minor Project Refinement Request Screening Form) 			■ Maps (See Attachment B: Pol Exceeding the Maximum Height			□ Other	
Under Order 2 of the Decis Line Replacement Projects circumstances. In accordan (a) through (d).	(D.16-05-038), the CP	UC may	approve minor pi	oject	refinemen	ts under c	ertain
(a) Is the proposed refinement area? The requested refinement is larea, which is depicted in Figaddition, the refinement area survey areas.	ocated within the geographic control occurs.	raphic bo erview M	oundary of the Final ap in the Final EIR	EIR/E /EIS.]	EIS study In	□ Yes	⊠ No
(b) Will the proposed refinement result in a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified significant impact based on the criteria used in the EIR/EIS? Discussion of changes in significant impacts due to the requested refinement is based on criteria used in the Final EIR/EIS and is resource area-specific. The changes to each resource area are fully analyzed in Attachment A: Minor Project Refinement Request Screening Form.				□ Yes	⊠ No		
(c) Does the proposed refinement conflict with any mitigation measure or applicable law or policy?					□ Yes	⊠ No	
or policy.							

Describe refinement being requested (attach drawings and photos as needed):

Pole P40001 will be approximately 65.5 feet above ground level, which exceeds the 62-foot maximum height described in the Final EIR/EIS for poles on C440 by approximately 3.5 feet. Because just the height of the pole is changing, the pole is only analyzed in the Visual Resources section of Attachment A: Minor Project Refinement Request Screening Form. The location of Pole P40001 is displayed in Attachment B: Pole Exceeding the Maximum Height.

Provide need for refinement (attach drawings and photos as needed):

The height of Pole P40001 was slightly increased in order to maintain power line height clearances for a long span over Interstate 8.

over inversione or	
Date refinement is expected to be implemented:	06-17-19

Resource Agency Coordination

Resource Agency	Name	Action Required	Date		entation hed if yes)
Not Applicable (N/A)	N/A	N/A	N/A	□ Yes	⊠ No

ATTACHMENT A: MINOR	R PROJECT REFINEMEN	T REQUEST SCREENING FOR	М

MINOR PROJECT REFINEMENT REQUEST SCREENING FORM

RESOURCE EVALUATION

The requested refinement was evaluated to verify that it will not result in a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified significant impact based on the criteria used in the Final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS) for the San Diego Gas & Electric Company Cleveland National Forest Power Line Replacement Projects (Project). The following Final EIR/EIS Consistency Checklist answers the consistency questions for each resource category and includes a description and justification below each resource category, as necessary. The consistency questions were developed using the California Environmental Quality Act Checklist provided in the Final EIR/EIS. Refer to the Final EIR/EIS for details on the Project's impact evaluation.

Final EIR/EIS Consistency Checklist						
Would the proposed action(s) result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact to:	No Change	Potentially Significant Change	N/A			
Visual Resources (e.g., adversely affect scenic vistas, damage scenic resources within a state scenic highway, degrade the existing visual character of the site and its surroundings, create sources of light or glare, or result in an inconsistency with applicable scenic integrity objectives)? Final EIR/EIS evaluation¹: Significant and unavoidable (Class I)/Adverse and unavoidable						

Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts on Visual Resources:

The requested refinement will exceed the maximum height described in Table B-2 of the Final EIR/EIS by approximately 3.5 feet. As described in the Final EIR/EIS, the existing wood poles on the Project already create noticeable obstructions to views of the background sky, ridgelines, and surrounding terrain and vegetation; thus, the installation of wider and taller replacement poles will not substantially block features that are not already blocked by existing poles. In addition, the wood-to-steel replacement of existing distribution circuits will produce weak visual contrast in the landscape as the form, line, and color of replacement poles will appear visually similar to existing wood poles. Thus, replacement poles will not substantially affect the existing visual character or quality of the sites and surroundings. Further, Pole P40001 is not located within a sensitive viewshed per the Project's Scenery Conservation Plan and the Final EIR/EIS. Therefore, because the increase in the pole height is relatively small compared to the difference in height between the existing wood poles and new steel poles along Circuit 440, it will not create additional impacts to visual resources beyond what was already analyzed in the Final EIR/EIS.

The requested refinement will be consistent with the visual resource analysis as defined in the Final EIR/EIS and will not impact scenic vistas or substantially affect existing views from an eligible State Scenic Highway (i.e., Interstate 8) or a County of San Diego Scenic Route (i.e., Old Highway 80). Therefore, the requested refinement will not result in a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously analyzed impact to visual resources as identified in the Final EIR/EIS.

A-1

¹ The Final EIR/EIS evaluations of impact significance are provided for each resource; this table notes the most significant determination within each resource section identified in the Final EIR/EIS.

Would the proposed action(s) result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact to:	No Change	Potentially Significant Change	N/A
Air Quality (e.g. produce additional emissions, conflict with applicable local air quality plans, or expose sensitive receptors to additional pollutants)? Final EIR/EIS evaluation: Significant and unavoidable (Class			
I)/Adverse and unavoidable			
Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts or As the only change that will occur to the requested refinement is impacts to air quality is not applicable.		nt, additional ana	lysis of
Biological Resources (e.g., result in temporary or permanent loss of native vegetation, preserve areas, native wildlife and/or their habitats; cause an adverse effect to jurisdictional waters or sensitive or special-status species; result in the introduction of invasive, non-native, or noxious plant species; conflict with local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan; or interfere with the movement of any resident or migratory wildlife)? Final EIR/EIS evaluation: Less than significant with mitigation			×
(Class II)/Adverse			
Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts or As the only change that will occur to the requested refinement is impacts to biological resources is not applicable.	_		lysis of
Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts or As the only change that will occur to the requested refinement is impacts to biological resources is not applicable. Cultural and Paleontological Resources (e.g., cause an adverse change to Traditional Cultural Properties or historical, archeological, or paleontological resources; or disturb any human remains)?	_		lysis of
Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts or As the only change that will occur to the requested refinement is impacts to biological resources is not applicable. Cultural and Paleontological Resources (e.g., cause an adverse change to Traditional Cultural Properties or historical, archeological, or paleontological resources; or disturb any	a change in heigh	nt, additional ana	
Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts or As the only change that will occur to the requested refinement is impacts to biological resources is not applicable. Cultural and Paleontological Resources (e.g., cause an adverse change to Traditional Cultural Properties or historical, archeological, or paleontological resources; or disturb any human remains)? Final EIR/EIS evaluation: Less than significant with mitigation	a change in heigh	nt, additional ana	⊠ Resources:
Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts or As the only change that will occur to the requested refinement is impacts to biological resources is not applicable. Cultural and Paleontological Resources (e.g., cause an adverse change to Traditional Cultural Properties or historical, archeological, or paleontological resources; or disturb any human remains)? Final EIR/EIS evaluation: Less than significant with mitigation (Class II)/Adverse Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts or As the only change that will occur to the requested refinement is impacts to cultural and paleontological resources is not applicable Greenhouse Gases (e.g., result in a net increase of greenhouse gas emissions, or conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulation that reduces greenhouse gas emissions)?	a change in heigh	nt, additional ana	⊠ Resources:
Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts or As the only change that will occur to the requested refinement is impacts to biological resources is not applicable. Cultural and Paleontological Resources (e.g., cause an adverse change to Traditional Cultural Properties or historical, archeological, or paleontological resources; or disturb any human remains)? Final EIR/EIS evaluation: Less than significant with mitigation (Class II)/Adverse Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts or As the only change that will occur to the requested refinement is impacts to cultural and paleontological resources is not applicable Greenhouse Gases (e.g., result in a net increase of greenhouse gas emissions, or conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or	a change in height a Cultural and Pa a change in heighte.	aleontological R	Resources:
Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts or As the only change that will occur to the requested refinement is impacts to biological resources is not applicable. Cultural and Paleontological Resources (e.g., cause an adverse change to Traditional Cultural Properties or historical, archeological, or paleontological resources; or disturb any human remains)? Final EIR/EIS evaluation: Less than significant with mitigation (Class II)/Adverse Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts or As the only change that will occur to the requested refinement is impacts to cultural and paleontological resources is not applicable Greenhouse Gases (e.g., result in a net increase of greenhouse gas emissions, or conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulation that reduces greenhouse gas emissions)? Final EIR/EIS evaluation: Less than significant (Class III)/Not	a change in height a Cultural and Pa a change in heighte.	aleontological R	Resources:

A-2

Would the proposed action(s) result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact to:	No Change	Potentially Significant Change	N/A
Public Health and Safety (e.g., result in a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials; emit hazardous waste within one-quarter mile of a school; be located on a hazardous materials site; result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the Project area; interfere with an adopted emergency plan; or create safety hazards due to structural failure)? Final EIR/EIS evaluation: Less than significant with mitigation (Class II)/Adverse			×
Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts or	Public Health a	nd Safety:	
As the only change that will occur to the requested refinement is impacts to public health and safety is not applicable.	a change in heigh	it, additional ana	lysis of
Fire and Fuels Management (e.g., increase the probability of a wildfire, reduce the effectiveness of firefighting, or introduce non-native plants that would contribute to ignition potential)? <i>Final EIR/EIS evaluation: Less than significant with mitigation</i>			\boxtimes
(Class II)/Adverse			
Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts on As the only change that will occur to the requested refinement is a fire and fuels management is not applicable.		_	sis of impacts to
Hydrology and Water Quality (e.g., result in increased levels of turbidity, introduce contaminants, deplete groundwater supplies, or degrade water quality)? Final EIR/EIS evaluation: Significant and unavoidable (Class			
I)/Adverse and unavoidable			
Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts on As the only change that will occur to the requested refinement is impacts to hydrology and air quality is not applicable.		- •	
Land Use (e.g., disturb land uses at or near the Project components, divide an established community, or conflict with a land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the Project)?			×
Final EIR/EIS evaluation: Significant and unavoidable (Class I)/Adverse and unavoidable			
Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts or	Land Use:	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
As the only change that will occur to the requested refinement is		at additional and	lycic of

Final EIR/EIS Consistency Checklist			
Would the proposed action(s) result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact to:	No Change	Potentially Significant Change	N/A
Noise (e.g., disturb sensitive receptors and violate local rules, standards, and/or ordinances; or cause ground borne vibration)? <i>Final EIR/EIS evaluation: Less than significant with mitigation (Class II)/Adverse</i>			
Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts or	n Noise:		
As the only change that will occur to the requested refinement is impacts to noise is not applicable.		ıt, additional ana	lysis of
Public Services and Utilities (e.g., result in construction of new, or expansion of existing, facilities for fire protection, municipal water supplies, telecommunications, and solid waste; or disrupt electric service)? Final EIR/EIS evaluation: Less than significant with mitigation			×
(Class II)/Adverse			
Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts or	ı Public Services	and Utilities:	
As the only change that will occur to the requested refinement is impacts to public services and utilities is not applicable.	a change in heigh	ıt, additional ana	lysis of
Recreation (e.g., reduce access and visitation to recreation areas, preclude recreational activities, or result in increased, unauthorized access to specially designated or restricted areas)?			×
Final EIR/EIS evaluation: Less than significant with mitigation (Class II)/Adverse			
Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts or	Recreation:		
As the only change that will occur to the requested refinement is impacts to recreation is not applicable.	a change in heigh	ıt, additional ana	lysis of
Transportation and Traffic (e.g., conflict with an applicable congestion management program or a plan, ordinance, or policy associated with the circulation system or alternative transportation; increase hazards due to a design feature; or result in inadequate emergency access)? Final EIR/EIS evaluation: Less than significant with mitigation (Class II)/Adverse			×
Summary of Proposed Minor Project Refinement Impacts or	Transportation	and Traffic:	
As the only change that will occur to the requested refinement is impacts to transportation and traffic is not applicable.	-		lysis of

A-4

ATTACHMENT B: POLE EXCEEDING THE MAXIMUM HEIGHT

