

**ATTACHMENT A  
PROJECT ISSUE CHECKLIST**

<b>PROJECT NAME: ESJ US GEN-TIE: MUP 09-008: ER 09-22-001</b>					
<b>DPLU (Department of Planning and Land Use) Planning and CEQA Comments</b>					
Item #	Subject Area	Issue, Revision or Information Required	Issue Resolution Summary (Include Conditions)	Date Identified	Date Resolved
MI-1	<b>MAJOR ISSUE - Different Property Owners</b>	The project application includes APNs 661-050-04, 661-041-04, and 661-090-04; however the three parcels have different property owners and currently cannot be made part of the same application, unless title of property is changed to be under the same name. <b>12-24-09:</b> All Project, Access, and ECO-Sub Parcels need to be a part of the discretionary project application by signing the DPLU Form #305.	<b>RESOLVED:</b> This issue has been resolved because the northern power pole located on the SDG&E properties will be permitted by the CPUC. The project parcels are as follows: 661-090-04, portions of 661-090-05 and 661-090-06.	7/15/09	<b>3/1/10</b>
MI-2	<b>MAJOR ISSUE - Access Rights</b>	<b>12-24-09: 2nd Request:</b> Existing access to the project site is through APNs 661-080-10, and 661-041-02, 03, 04, and 661-080-08. However, recorded documentation needs to be provided showing that the subject properties as stated in the project application (APNs 661-050-04, 661-041-04 and 05, and 661-090-04, 05 and 06, 661-080-10, 661-041-03 and 02, and 661-080-08) are connected to Old Highway 80 (SC 1883), a publicly maintained road, by an easement for road purposes, and for benefit and use of the subject properties. Recordation data for said easement shall be shown on the Plot Plan. The Title Reports submitted on November 6 cover only APNs 661-090-04 thru 06, and APN 661-080-10. APNs 661-041-03, 661-041-02, and 661-080-08 were not included and are proposing work on the access road. <b>3-01-2010:</b> <u>A new Driveway location is proposed to comply with MI-3 below. Provide the new easement document to show that legal access has been granted.</u>	<b>A preliminary agreement has been reached with the landowner; however, the final title paper work has not been completed and will be provided to the County when it is completed.</b>	7/15/09	
MI-3	<b>MAJOR ISSUE - Sight Distance</b>	Based on a field inspection, due to existing topography and road alignment with vertical curves, the location of the proposed project driveway along Old Highway 80 (SC 1883) may not meet the Sight Distance requirements per the County of San Diego Public Road Standards Section 6.1.E. in both the eastbound and westbound directions. Therefore, a Sight Distance Study is required as described in Condition B.2. If the Sight Distance requirements are not met, a new driveway location may be required. <b>12-24-09:</b> A Sight Distance Study and Modification Request to a Road Standard were submitted by Dokken Engineering on November 6 and additional information on November 18, but the request to reduce the sight distance requirements was not approved by DPW. Other alternatives need to be pursued. See response letter by DPW dated November 23, 2009. <b>3-01-2010:</b> <u>The applicant has indicated that they are in negotiations with the land owner to obtain a new legal access that would relocate the driveway in a location that would comply with the sight distance requirement. This issue will remain unresolved until the easement documents are provided.</u>	<b>Same response as above. ESJ has provided documentation from Dokken Engineering that demonstrates the proposed new easement will satisfy the sight distance requirements.</b>	7/15/09	

**ATTACHMENT A  
PROJECT ISSUE CHECKLIST**

<b>PROJECT NAME: ESJ US GEN-TIE: MUP 09-008: ER 09-22-001</b>					
<b>DPLU (Department of Planning and Land Use) Planning and CEQA Comments</b>					
<b>Item #</b>	<b>Subject Area</b>	<b>Issue, Revision or Information Required</b>	<b>Issue Resolution Summary (Include Conditions)</b>	<b>Date Identified</b>	<b>Date Resolved</b>
1-1	JACUMBA SPONSOR GROUP	Comments from the Jacumba Community Sponsor Group have not yet been received. Comments will be forwarded to you if any issues are identified. They are scheduled to hear your item on July 28, 2009. This statement has been provided for formational purposes only. No action is required.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
2-1	PLANNING	A new Project Application (DPLU Form #346) is needed because the project parcels are not accurately indicated.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
2-2	PLANNING	A title report is needed with the schedule "B" attachments for the project and substation parcels listed above.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
2-3	PLANNING	Correct all reports and project paperwork to indicate the true project number "MUP 09-008." The MPA 09-009 number is no longer valid because it was for the Major Preapplication meeting.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
2-4	PLANNING	Correct all reports and project paperwork to indicate the true project number "MUP 09-008." The MPA 09-009 number is no longer valid because it was for the Major Preapplication meeting.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
2-5	PLANNING	Provide draft responses to the CPUC PEA Checklist for Transmission Line Projects including focusing on chapter Five (5) which emphasizes the Environmental Assessment Summary. Omit any questions that refer to the substation. All subject area studies shall be prepared to satisfy the minimum standards set out in the PEA. The County Standards shall still be complied completed. <b>12-24-09</b> Will need final version after all changes are made to all reports.	<b>Keep Updated as needed</b>	7/15/09	
7-1	PLANNING Title Report	12-24-09: The project proposes work on APNs 661-050-04, 661-041-04, 661-041-03, and 661-041-02. These parcels did not include a Title Report. APNs 661-050-04 and 661-041-04 are proposed to connect to the substation; APNs 661-041-03 and 661-041-02 are proposing an access road.	<b>Resolved</b>	12/24/09	<b>3/1/10</b>
7-2	PLANNING Title Report	12-24-09: Report submitted prepared by Stewart Title of California under Order Number 190971 references APNs that are no longer in the system. APNs 661-090-01 and 02 are now APNs 661-090-04 thru 06. Report should be updated to reflect current County Assessors Records.	<b>Resolved</b>	12/24/09	<b>3/1/10</b>

**ATTACHMENT A  
PROJECT ISSUE CHECKLIST**

<b>PROJECT NAME: ESJ US GEN-TIE: MUP 09-008: ER 09-22-001</b>					
<b>DPLU (Department of Planning and Land Use) Planning and CEQA Comments</b>					
<b>Item #</b>	<b>Subject Area</b>	<b>Issue, Revision or Information Required</b>	<b>Issue Resolution Summary (Include Conditions)</b>	<b>Date Identified</b>	<b>Date Resolved</b>
7 - 3	PLANNING Title Report	12-24-09: Report submitted prepared by Stewart Title of California under Order Number 190970 references APNs that are no longer in the system. APNs 661-080-03 and 07 are now APNs 661-080-10. Report should be updated to reflect current County Assessors Records.	<b>Resolved</b>	12/24/09	<b>3/1/10</b>
7 - 4	PLANNING Title Report	12-24-09: The ALTA Survey at the end of the title report under Order Number 190971 (Stewart Title) is not readable and needs to be printed at a larger size, possibly 24"x36".	<b>Resolved</b>	12/24/09	<b>3/1/10</b>
3- 1	VISUAL	The Visual Resources Report shall be prepared in the County's Report Format and Content Requirements for Visual Resources. The report must evaluate potentially adverse impacts to the environment according to the County's Guidelines for Determining Significance for Visual Resources	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
3- 2	VISUAL	Additionally, Provide more pictures that show the existing conditions verses the proposed project as built as indicated in figure 7 (cKop 4). Provide additional simulations of the affected private view locations as indicated by chapter 5.1 (Aesthetics) of the CPUC PEA Environmental Assessment Summary	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
3- 3	VISUAL	Overall, the report presents good technical analyses of the project in relation to our County Guidelines.	<b>Resolved</b>	12/24/09	<b><u>12/24/09</u></b>
3- 4	VISUAL	Chapter 5.4: The stated guidelines should be expanded to reflect more completely the County Guidelines.	<b>Resolved</b>	12/24/09	<b><u>3/1/10</u></b>
3- 5	VISUAL	Page 41, Guideline 2: Additional analysis or rationale should be provided concerning this guideline. <b>3-1-10 FOLLOW-ON COMMENT:</b> Page 43, Guideline 2: <u>The analysis does not seem to address the aspect of the guideline concerning whether the project would result in substantial adverse change of one or more features that contribute to the visual character. For example, would the introduction of the proposed towers to the planar pediment that contains only low profile vegetation result in substantial adverse change?</u>		12/24/09	

**ATTACHMENT A  
PROJECT ISSUE CHECKLIST**

<b>PROJECT NAME: ESJ US GEN-TIE: MUP 09-008: ER 09-22-001</b>					
<b>DPLU (Department of Planning and Land Use) Planning and CEQA Comments</b>					
Item #	Subject Area	Issue, Revision or Information Required	Issue Resolution Summary (Include Conditions)	Date Identified	Date Resolved
3-6	VISUAL	Page 42, Guideline 4: Additional explanation should be given concerning conformance with Goals 1-3, and 4-6. The statement is made that the project "aims to develop renewable energy facilities." This should be restated as a transmission line. Staff is not sure that Goal 6 applies to the project. Rationale does not need to be provided to find compliance with all Goals. Please explain further how the project "protects visual features of the site" as stated in the last paragraph on this page. <b>3-1-10 FOLLOW-ON COMMENT, Page 44, Guideline 4:</b> <u>Please make the following edits: "As <b>listed</b> in Section 3.4..." Begin a new paragraph with "The project would comply with Goals 1-3..." Delete the phrase "and charm". Begin new paragraph with "The project would be in substantial conformance with Goal 4.." Delete teh word "Finally" and begin new paragraph with "The project would comply with Goals 5 and 7..."</u>		12/24/09	
3-7	VISUAL	Chapter 5.5: It would be helpful if photosims of all the cumulative projects could be provided.	<b>Resolved</b>	12/24/09	<b><u>3/1/10</u></b>
3-8	VISUAL	Chapter 5.5 SRPL towers should be described (height, type of construction) where they will be located near the ECO Sub, SWPL and proposed project towers. <b>3-1-10 FOLLOW-ON COMMENT, Page 47, SDG&amp;E Sunrise Powerlink Transmission Line, last sentence:</b> <u>As a comparison is made to the SWPL towers, please state the height of the SWPL towers for comparison.</u>		12/24/09	
3-9	VISUAL	Page 46, second paragraph: Conflicting statements are made as to the significance of the cumulative impact. Please be more concise.	<b>Resolved</b>	12/24/09	<b><u>3/1/10</u></b>
3-10	VISUAL	Chapter 5.6: Provide a reference to the "following design considerations". Is Chapter 6 or 7?	<b>Resolved</b>	12/24/09	<b><u>3/1/10</u></b>

**ATTACHMENT A  
PROJECT ISSUE CHECKLIST**

<b>PROJECT NAME: ESJ US GEN-TIE: MUP 09-008: ER 09-22-001</b>					
<b>DPLU (Department of Planning and Land Use) Planning and CEQA Comments</b>					
Item #	Subject Area	Issue, Revision or Information Required	Issue Resolution Summary (Include Conditions)	Date Identified	Date Resolved
3-11	VISUAL	Page 48: Additional analyses of steel monopoles should be provided throughout the report (including photo simulations) rather than discounting their use at the end of the report based on what appear to be fairly subjective statements. <b>3-1-10 FOLLOW-ON COMMENTS.</b> CHAPTER 6: Alternative Tower Design - Delete first and third sentences. Delete discussion of lattice towers at "Steel lattice towers...earth-tone hue" and "When viewed in...as intriguing engineering." or move to an appropriate location elsewhere in the report concerning lattice towers. Page 51, first paragraph: The last sentence would seem to be more appropriately placed in a summary or conclusion following the analysis. Page 58, last paragraph, first sentence: <u>Provide more definition as to how it was determined neither alternative would have a significant impact (e.g. what constitutes a significant impact?).</u>		12/24/09	
3-12	VISUAL	Add an executive summary or conclusion summary to the front of the study.	<b>Resolved</b>	12/24/09	<b><u>2/18/10</u></b>
3-13	VISUAL	Page 40-41 change the word "Adveres," to another word, such as "Moderate change in aesthetics."	<b>Resolved</b>	12/24/09	<b><u>2/18/10</u></b>
3-14	VISUAL	Remove preliminary study from appendix	<b>Resolved</b>	12/24/09	<b><u>2/18/10</u></b>
3-15	VISUAL	Chapter 2, 1st paragraph: Please revise as follows: "...1,250 megawatts (MWs) of future renewable <b>wind</b> energy generation..."		<u>3/1/10</u>	
3-16	VISUAL	Chapter 5.2, 3rd bullet item: Please revise as follows: "...The project <b>would</b> substantially obstruct..."		<u>3/1/10</u>	
3-17	VISUAL	Page 40, Summary of Visual Impacts, third paragraph: Please explain how a point total of greater than 9.5 was determined to be significant.		<u>3/1/10</u>	
3-18	VISUAL	Page 43, first paragraph, last sentence: Revise to state Chapter <b>7</b> instead of Chapter 6.		<u>3/1/10</u>	
3-19	VISUAL	Chapter 5.6, last paragraph: Add a statement as to whether the cumulative impact is mitigated.		<u>3/1/10</u>	

**ATTACHMENT A  
PROJECT ISSUE CHECKLIST**

<b>PROJECT NAME: ESJ US GEN-TIE: MUP 09-008: ER 09-22-001</b>					
<b>DPLU (Department of Planning and Land Use) Planning and CEQA Comments</b>					
<b>Item #</b>	<b>Subject Area</b>	<b>Issue, Revision or Information Required</b>	<b>Issue Resolution Summary (Include Conditions)</b>	<b>Date Identified</b>	<b>Date Resolved</b>
4-1	GROUNDWATER	The department recommends that you start making provisions to install a water well on the project parcels, or locate alternative watersources. <b>3-01-2010:</b> <u>The proposed purchasing of groundwater offsite from a well (known as JCSD Well #6) owned by the Jacumba Community Services District (JCSD) was analyzed by the County Groundwater Geologist. Purchasing groundwater from water districts or private individual well owners outside of the County Water Authority (CWA) would be considered a "groundwater extraction operation" as defined within the County Zoning Ordinance (Definition G., Section 1810, 6552, and 6654) and would require obtaining a Major Use Permit (MUP) from the County for the operation. If groundwater is proposed from an on-site well rather than obtaining groundwater from the JCSD, there would be no groundwater investigation requirements. The basin is located in a completely undeveloped region of the County. Therefore, the pumping of approximately 2.4 acre-feet of water needed for the project in a basin with no other known groundwater users would have a less than significant impact on groundwater resources.</u>	<b>ESJ U.S. has approval from the Jacumba Community Service District (JCSD) to use water from Well #6 and has prepared an MUP on behalf of JCSD.ESJ U.S. has retained an option for a water well in the project description in the event that the JCSD MUP is not approved.A prelim</b>	7/15/09	
5-1	FAA	You are required to fill out and submit FAA Form 7460-1 Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration to the previously submitted FAA form if the project proposes to build the 170' lattice towers.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
6-1	AIR QUALITY	Indicate the total amount of earthwork and calculate the fugitive dust emissions. The report provides some emission calculations, but does not indicate how they were calculated. There should be some reference to how the numbers were calculated. <u>12-24-09 Need to add air quality notes to grading plan before finalizing Plan. The notes will be provided at a later date.</u>	<b>Needs Grading Plan Notes</b>	7/15/09	
6-2	AIR QUALITY	Provide any potential Green House Gas emissions as discussed in the chapter 5.3 (Air Quality) of the CPUC PEA Environmental Assessment Summary.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
7-1	PLOT PLAN	It appears that the plot plan was part of a bigger plan set that includes the preliminary grading plan drawings. Provide an individual plot plan with two to three sheets that include an overall front sheet with relevant project information, vicinity map, large scale view of entire project, a second and third sheet(s) that has the specifics, elevations, diagram of the footing area of each tower, including tower one next to the US Mexico Border.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>

**ATTACHMENT A  
PROJECT ISSUE CHECKLIST**

<b>PROJECT NAME: ESJ US GEN-TIE: MUP 09-008: ER 09-22-001</b>					
<b>DPLU (Department of Planning and Land Use) Planning and CEQA Comments</b>					
<b>Item #</b>	<b>Subject Area</b>	<b>Issue, Revision or Information Required</b>	<b>Issue Resolution Summary (Include Conditions)</b>	<b>Date Identified</b>	<b>Date Resolved</b>
7-2	PLOT PLAN	Indicate any fire clearing that will be maintained. Indicate all permanent and temporary; such as, stringing areas and temporary pads, storage yards and equipment lay down areas. Indicate and label permanent Right of Way (ROW). Provide larger scale blow up of location of the first tower next to the US/Mexico Border. Do not include any color graphics. Either submit all 16 plans in color, or remove all color from the plans.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
7-3	PLOT PLAN	The project shall provide an access road with a twenty-eight (28') graded width, which shall be improved to twenty-four (24') with decomposed granite (DG) per County of San Diego and Rural Fire Protection District Standards. The road shall be from Old Highway 80 to the tie-in to the proposed substation. A turnaround will be required, as per code, within 150' of the termination of the road at the substation	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
7-4	PLOT PLAN (Sheets C10 thru C13)	Add match lines referencing adjacent sheet numbers	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
7-5	PLOT PLAN (Sheets C10 thru C13)	Add small Sheet Index map and remove the vicinity map	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
7-6	PLOT PLAN (Sheet P06)	12-24-09: Cloud the area that is within the US ROW and add note that states "Presidential Permit #(XXXXXXX) next to the connection that is adjacent to the US Mexico Border."	<b>Resolved</b>	12/24/09	<b>3/1/10</b>
7-7	PLOT PLAN	12-24-09: On a plan detail, please specifies that a 2-conductor 2156 kcmil Bluebird configuration, Line Configuration A and 3-conductor bundle 795 kcmil ACSR Drake Line Configuration D is what is proposed for the project line configuration design.	<b>Resolved</b>	12/24/09	<b>3/1/10</b>
7-8	PLOT PLAN	<b>Sheets 1 through 10:</b> Indicate the MUP Boundary or "Project Area." The MUP Boundry <u>should be from the US Government easement to the SDGE parcel as wide as the ESJ ROW.</u> See Graphic Emailed 3-01-2010.		<b>3/1/10</b>	
7-9	PLOT PLAN	<b>Sheets 1 through 10:</b> Indicate the portion of the project that would be permitted by the CPUC. <u>Place a boundary around this portion of the plan and indicate, "To Be constructed by ESJ, Permitted by the CPUC PTC: A.09-08-003."</u> See Graphic Emailed 3-01-2010.		<b>3/1/10</b>	

**ATTACHMENT A  
PROJECT ISSUE CHECKLIST**

<b>PROJECT NAME: ESJ US GEN-TIE: MUP 09-008: ER 09-22-001</b>					
<b>DPLU (Department of Planning and Land Use) Planning and CEQA Comments</b>					
<b>Item #</b>	<b>Subject Area</b>	<b>Issue, Revision or Information Required</b>	<b>Issue Resolution Summary (Include Conditions)</b>	<b>Date Identified</b>	<b>Date Resolved</b>
7-10	PLOT PLAN	<u>Sheet 3: 230 Kv Lattice Tower profile is indicated incorrectly. Changes from Typical 500 Kv Lattice Tower to read as follows, " Typical 230 Kv Lattice Tower."</u>		3/2/10	
8-1	PRELIM GRADING PLAN	12-24-09: The Preliminary Grading Plans are Accepted for content, but they are subject to any changes made during the CEQA Review Process, such as grading plan notes that will be provided. 3-01-2010: Make changes to the grading plan as indicated above in comments 7.8 and 7.9.		12/24/09	
8-2	PRELIM GRADING PLAN	Indicate an appropriate grading summary of all cut, fill, and total excavation. Remove Paleontological note off front page. Specific language will be provided upon completion of environmental analysis. Do not include any color graphics. Either submit all 16 plans in color, or remove all color from the plans.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
8-3	Preliminary Grading Plan (Sheet C1)	Label this sheet "C01".	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
8-4	Preliminary Grading Plan (Sheet C1)	Show a vicinity sketch or other data adequately indicating the site location.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
8-5	Preliminary Grading Plan (Sheet C1)	Show a list of all Assessor Parcel Numbers that are part of this project.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
8-6	Preliminary Grading Plan (Sheet C1)	Include an overall layout showing the entire parcels with property lines of the site on which the work is to be performed.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
8-7	Preliminary Grading Plan (Sheet C2)	Show estimates of the amount of excavation, fill, import, and export. The amounts should include the 20' project access road, the 12' dirt road, and the pads for all steel lattice towers. Include a table for each alternative.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
8-8	Preliminary Grading Plan (Sheets C2 thru C8)	Add match lines referencing adjacent sheet numbers	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>

**ATTACHMENT A  
PROJECT ISSUE CHECKLIST**

<b>PROJECT NAME: ESJ US GEN-TIE: MUP 09-008: ER 09-22-001</b>					
<b>DPLU (Department of Planning and Land Use) Planning and CEQA Comments</b>					
<b>Item #</b>	<b>Subject Area</b>	<b>Issue, Revision or Information Required</b>	<b>Issue Resolution Summary (Include Conditions)</b>	<b>Date Identified</b>	<b>Date Resolved</b>
8-9	Preliminary Grading Plan (Sheets C2 thru C8)	Add a small Sheet Index map	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
8-10	Preliminary Grading Plan (Sheets C2 thru C8)	Show any known easements greater than twenty feet (20') in width	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
9-1	COMMUNITY CHARACTER	Page 9, section 3 add the word "Federally," to the sentence on the second line, "located within a federally designated transmission corridor..."	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
9-2	COMMUNITY CHARACTER	Update all noise information from updated noise analysis letter.	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
9-3	COMMUNITY CHARACTER	Page 10, section 3, remove last sentence starting with, "Any future similar requests also would require County approval of a MUP." Also, remove entire next paragraph. Future projects have no influence on this project.	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
9-4	COMMUNITY CHARACTER	Page 11, delete last paragraph references to the Sunrise Powerlink. The current project is no part of the SRPL. It is being reviewed separately for CEQA and NEPA.	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
10-1	LANDSCAPE PLAN	Describe how each of the items listed within Sections 3.7.1.6 (Erosion and Sediment Control and Pollution Prevention during Construction) and 3.7.1.7 (Cleanup and Post-Construction Restoration) of the Proponent's Environmental Assessment (PEA) checklist will be addressed.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
10-2	LANDSCAPE PLAN	Show the locations of all proposed haul roads, maintenance roads between towers, source of irrigation water, vegetated stormwater bmp's, construction staging areas, stockpile locations, and temporary parking for workers.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>

**ATTACHMENT A  
PROJECT ISSUE CHECKLIST**

<b>PROJECT NAME: ESJ US GEN-TIE: MUP 09-008: ER 09-22-001</b>					
<b>DPLU (Department of Planning and Land Use) Planning and CEQA Comments</b>					
<b>Item #</b>	<b>Subject Area</b>	<b>Issue, Revision or Information Required</b>	<b>Issue Resolution Summary (Include Conditions)</b>	<b>Date Identified</b>	<b>Date Resolved</b>
10-3	LANDSCAPE PLAN	Any slopes created 3 feet in vertical height and above shall be planted with native vegetation typical to the surrounding area that will provide erosion control (both wind and rain), including the tower pads and the access road from interstate 80 to the project site.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
11-1	FIRE PROTECTION	<u>A Fire Service Availability form (DPLU Form 399F) shall be completed and provided with the next submittal. 3-01-2010: Project cannot go to hearing without proof of fire service availability.</u>	<b>ESJ U.S. continues to try and diligently work with the San Diego Rural Fire Protection District (Rural Fire); however, Rural Fire has indicated they will not sign the form until the issues below are resolved.</b>	7/15/09	
11-2	FIRE PROTECTION	Comments from the San Diego Rural Fire Protection District have not been received. Any comments or corrections received from the District should be addressed prior to resubmital of the fire protection plan. <u>Also, the Fire Protection Plan needs to be approved by the local Fire Authority.</u>	<b>It is understood that Rural Fire agrees in principal with the Fire Protection Plan. However, they have indicated they will not sign off on the form until an Agreement for Provision of Fire Protection is completed, an escrow is set up for the first year of compensation and Rural fire is named on the access road easement.</b>	7/15/09	
11-3	FIRE PROTECTION	In the "Emergency Response" section of the introduction, change the station number of the Jacumba station to 43.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
11-4	FIRE PROTECTION	In both the "geology" section on page 2 and "access roads" on page 3, change the access road width to 24'. Also, remove the statement regarding section 503 of the County Fire Code. Access roads are required for these facilities.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
11-5	FIRE PROTECTION	In the "defensible space" section on page 4, remove references to County policy FP-2 and the International WUI Code.	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>

**ATTACHMENT A  
PROJECT ISSUE CHECKLIST**

<b>PROJECT NAME: ESJ US GEN-TIE: MUP 09-008: ER 09-22-001</b>					
<b>DPLU (Department of Planning and Land Use) Planning and CEQA Comments</b>					
<b>Item #</b>	<b>Subject Area</b>	<b>Issue, Revision or Information Required</b>	<b>Issue Resolution Summary (Include Conditions)</b>	<b>Date Identified</b>	<b>Date Resolved</b>
11-6	FIRE PROTECTION PLAN	The project shall provide an access road with a twenty-eight (28') graded width, which shall be improved to twenty-four (24') with decomposed granite (DG) per County of San Diego and Rural Fire Protection District Standards. The road shall be from Old Highway 80 to the tie-in to the proposed substation. A turnaround will be required, as per code, within 150' of the termination of the road at the substation	<b>Resolved</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
12-1	NOISE LETTER	Include the County noise standards within this document. Please specify the that the project is zoned S92 and is subject to the a one-hour average daytime sound level limit of 50 dBA and nighttime sound level limit of 45 dBA at the project property line pursuant to the County Noise Ordinance, Section 36.404. Please note that regardless of whether transmission lines are located in open country, away from residences, and businesses, the County Noise Ordinance specifically states that the one-hour average sound level is measured on the property line.	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
12-2	NOISE LETTER	Include a noise analysis evaluating the noise levels generated by the proposed transmission lines and determine whether the project complies with the most restrictive 45 dBA at the project property line pursuant to the County Noise Ordinance, Section 36.404.	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
12-3	NOISE LETTER	Provide a figure illustration showing the location of the proposed transmission lines in relation to the project property lines. The transmission lines are considered the noise sources generated by the project. Please identify and show the noise source locations including their associated distances from the project property line and noise receptor locations.	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
12-4	NOISE LETTER	Provide a new table similar to Table 1. The new table shall show the Line Configurations, Conductor Configuration, sound level at the nearest property line, and property line reference. Please include a numbering scheme of the locations of the noise receptors within this new table and show these receptor location on the new figure illustration requested on Comment 3 above.	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
13-1	CULTURAL	Make revisions to report text as indicated in the letter.	<b>Report Accepted, with Minor Comments</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>

**ATTACHMENT A  
PROJECT ISSUE CHECKLIST**

<b>PROJECT NAME: ESJ US GEN-TIE: MUP 09-008: ER 09-22-001</b>					
<b>DPLU (Department of Planning and Land Use) Planning and CEQA Comments</b>					
<b>Item #</b>	<b>Subject Area</b>	<b>Issue, Revision or Information Required</b>	<b>Issue Resolution Summary (Include Conditions)</b>	<b>Date Identified</b>	<b>Date Resolved</b>
13-2	CULTURAL	Depending on the alternative chosen by the applicant, archaeological significance testing of impacted sites to include a Native American monitor will be required to determine impact significance. Prior to testing the sites, submit to the County of San Diego, a testing proposal for approval.	<b>Report Accepted, with Minor Comments</b>	7/15/09	<b>3/1/10</b>
13-3	CULTURAL	After testing is complete, have the Native American Monitor, submit a letter documenting their involvement and any comments they may have concerning the project.	<b>Report Accepted, with Minor Comments</b>	7/15/09	<b>3/2/10</b>
13-4	CULTURAL	Need final version of report after all site testing has been completed in conjunction with advise from County Staff. See letter to Stacey Jordan Dated 11-29-09.	<b>Report Accepted, with Minor Comments</b>	12/24/09	<b>3/3/10</b>
14-1	BIOLOGY	The Biological Resources Report is currently analyzing two possible routes (A1 and A2) for the proposed project. Once the final route is decided upon, please revise the Biological Resources Report and Biological Resources Map to include only the information on that route.	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
14-2	BIOLOGY	Add the following species to appendices D and E of the Biological Resources Report and address their potential to occur on the site, See Letter Matrix	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
14-3	BIOLOGY	The Fire Protection Plan for this project indicates that fire clearing shall be required around each of the proposed towers as well as on both sides of the proposed access road. Please include all fire clearing impacts as described in the Fire Protection Plan to the permanent impacts calculations as well as the proposed habitat based mitigation requirements	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
14-4	BIOLOGY	Please submit a Conceptual Revegetation Plan, which addresses all temporary impact areas, which are to be revegetated. Guidance on preparing the Conceptual Please note that the project will be conditioned to submit a Revegetation Plan, which must be prepared by a consultant on the County CEQA Consultant List for Revegetation Planning.	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
14-5	BIOLOGY	The Biological Resources Report discusses the preparation and implementation of the "ESJ Gen-Tie Biological Resource Mitigation Plan." The report does not specify where the comprehensive off site mitigation will be obtained or how it will be managed for the permanent impacts.	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>

**ATTACHMENT A  
PROJECT ISSUE CHECKLIST**

<b>PROJECT NAME: ESJ US GEN-TIE: MUP 09-008: ER 09-22-001</b>					
<b>DPLU (Department of Planning and Land Use) Planning and CEQA Comments</b>					
Item #	Subject Area	Issue, Revision or Information Required	Issue Resolution Summary (Include Conditions)	Date Identified	Date Resolved
14-6	BIOLOGY	In the report, it was indicated that updated surveys for the Quino checkerspot butterfly are being performed. The report as well as Appendix F (2008 Quino Checkerspot Butterfly Survey Report) should be updated accordingly once the surveys have been completed. <b>3-01-2010: Updated Quino checkerspot butterfly surveys are required to be conducted during the 2010 season. Updated survey results should be included in the final Biological Resources Report. Collinsia concolor (Chinese houses) has been addressed by the USFWS as a host plant for the Quino checkerspot butterfly and has been documented in the vicinity of the project site. Please ensure that this species is looked for and documented if encountered during the 2010 quino surveys. Please also add this species to Appendix D of the report.</b>		12/24/09	
14-7	BIOLOGY	Although more information is needed, the proposed location of onsite open space appears to provide feasible mitigation for habitat impacts from the proposed project. Please provide further detail on the habitat within the proposed open space and how it is like functioning to the sonoran mixed woody scrub and peninsular juniper woodland and scrub which will be impacted by the project. In addition, please indicate how the proposed open space will be managed. If the proposed open space will be managed by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), please provide documentation of this agreement. If a viable management method cannot be achieved for the proposed onsite open space, it is recommended that the applicant look into lands adjacent to In-Ko-Pah and Mountain Springs County Parks as options for mitigation. Management of these lands should be discussed with the County of San Diego Department of Parks and Recreation.		12/24/09	
14-7	BIOLOGY	<b>3-01-2010:</b> further information is needed in the report regarding how the compensatory mitigation land is "like functioning" to the impacts on the project site. In the Conceptual RMP it was stated that the mitigation site contains "sparse" sonoran mixed woody scrub and rocky slopes. Please detail whether species detected and expected to occur on the project site are also expected to inhabit the mitigation site. A vegetation map of the mitigation site should also be included as an attachment to both the CRMP and the Biological Resources Report.		3/1/10	
14-8	BIOLOGY	Editorial Comment: Page 64- 3.2.1- Revise the last sentence to read "Therefore, it is assumed that the species does not occur within the project area <i>and no impacts would occur</i> ".	<b>Resolved</b>	12/24/09	

**ATTACHMENT A  
PROJECT ISSUE CHECKLIST**

<b>PROJECT NAME: ESJ US GEN-TIE: MUP 09-008: ER 09-22-001</b>					
<b>DPLU (Department of Planning and Land Use) Planning and CEQA Comments</b>					
Item #	Subject Area	Issue, Revision or Information Required	Issue Resolution Summary (Include Conditions)	Date Identified	Date Resolved
14-9	BIOLOGY	Editorial Comment: Please include the project numbers on the cover page of the Biological Resources Report (P09-008, ER 09-22-001) and include the signature of the preparer on the cover page of the report. All changes to the document must be in strikeout/underline format. Please see the revisions made within the report that has been provided. <b>3-01-2010:</b> <u>The project numbers on the cover of the report should read "P09-008, ER 09-22-001". Please remove "P90-008".</u>		12/24/09	
14-10	BIOLOGY	<b>3-01-2010:</b> <u>It has been indicated that the route of the access road will be modified from the project site to where it connects with Old Highway 80. All figures will need to be updated to show the current access route. Should the revised access route change the amount and/or types of habitat impacts, this must be revised in the report as well.</u>		3/1/10	
14-11	BIOLOGY	<b>3-01-2010:</b> <u>The Conceptual Resource Management Plan must include Table 1 as indicated in D of the Report Format and Content Requirements for Biological Resources. Please provide a completed copy of Table 1 in the CRMP.4. As indicated in Attachment D of the Report Format and Content Requirements for Biological Resources, all bold text must be included verbatim in the Conceptual Resource Management Plan. Please ensure that all bold text is included.</u>		3/1/10	
15-1	STORMWATER	DPW staff reviewed the Stormwater Management Plan prepared by Burns & McDonnell, dated June 2009. The following are our comments:  • Section 2.0: Include a brief paragraph stating that there are not any High Risk Areas within the project limits	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	12/24/09
15-2	STORMWATER	Include a brief paragraph stating that there are no special Regional Board requirements	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	12/24/09
15-3	STORMWATER	• Item F: Certification Sheet: This certification needs to be signed and dated by a registered engineer	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	12/24/09
15-4	STORMWATER	• SWMP Sheet 1: Include the following items: Permit Number: "MUP 09-008"	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	12/24/09

**ATTACHMENT A  
PROJECT ISSUE CHECKLIST**

<b>PROJECT NAME: ESJ US GEN-TIE: MUP 09-008: ER 09-22-001</b>					
<b>DPLU (Department of Planning and Land Use) Planning and CEQA Comments</b>					
<b>Item #</b>	<b>Subject Area</b>	<b>Issue, Revision or Information Required</b>	<b>Issue Resolution Summary (Include Conditions)</b>	<b>Date Identified</b>	<b>Date Resolved</b>
15-5	STORMWATER	Applicant's address: "101 Ash Street HQ #14, San Diego, CA 92101"	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
15-6	STORMWATER	• SWMP Sheet 6, Surface Waters table, Inland Surface Waters: List "Carrizo Creek"	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
15-7	STORMWATER	Mark "X" as a beneficial use on the following items: AGR; GWR; REC I; REC II; WARM; WILD; RARE.	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
15-8	STORMWATER	• SWMP Sheet 6, Surface Waters table, Ground Waters: List "Anza-Borrego hydrologic unit"	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
15-9	STORMWATER	List hydrologic unit basin number "722.00"	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
15-10	STORMWATER	Mark "X" as a beneficial use on the following items: MUN; AGR; IND	<b>Report Accepted</b>	7/15/09	<b>12/24/09</b>
15-11	STORMWATER	<u>DPW staff reviewed the Storm Water Management Plan prepared by Burns &amp; McDonnell, dated November 2009. The document is substantially complete and is adequate for CEQA purposes. Please include pages 20 and 21 of the Major SWMP in future copies of this document.</u>		12/24/09	