



January 12, 2017

Andrew Barnsdale  
Project Manager  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102

**Re: Monthly Report Summary #32 for Aliso Canyon Turbine Replacement Project**

Dear Mr. Barnsdale:

This monthly report provides a summary of the compliance monitoring activities that occurred during the period from **November 1 to 30, 2016**, for the Aliso Canyon Turbine Replacement (ACTR) Project (Aliso) in California. Compliance monitoring was performed to ensure that all project-related activities conducted by Southern California Gas Company (SCG), Southern California Edison (SCE), and their contractors are in compliance with the requirements of the Final Environmental Impact Report (Final EIR) for Aliso, as adopted by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) on November 14, 2013, and as further modified in the Addendum to the Final EIR, as approved by the CPUC on December 18, 2014.

The CPUC has issued the following Notices to Proceed (NTPs) for the project to SCG and SCE:

- NTP #1 (February 25, 2014): The Guard House and road widening component.
- NTP #2 (May 27, 2014): Construction of new administrative buildings, removal of old buildings, and development of Fill Sites P-41 and P-43.
- NTP #3 (July 18, 2014): Construction of the Central Compressor Station (CCS), grading for the Natural Substation, and installation of five tubular steel poles (TSPs) and string conductor.
- NTP-A (October 28, 2014): Work along Natural-Newhall-San Fernando and MacNeil-Newhall-San Fernando 66-kilovolt (kV) subtransmission lines and at the San Fernando, Newhall, Chatsworth, Sunshine, and MacNeil substations.
- NTP-B (February 24, 2015): Construction of a portion of Telecommunications Route 3 from the San Fernando Substation to the temporary San Fernando Substation Tap.
- NTP-C (April 14, 2015): Construction and telecommunication installation associated with the MacNeil-Newhall-San Fernando and Natural-Newhall-San Fernando 66-kV subtransmission lines.
- NTP-D (June 8, 2015): Additional construction and telecommunication installation associated with the MacNeil-Newhall-San Fernando and Natural-Newhall-San Fernando 66-kV subtransmission lines, and construction of the Natural Substation.
- NTP-E (September 21, 2015): Additional construction and telecommunication installation on Telecommunications Routes 1, 2, and 3.

Onsite compliance monitoring by the Ecology and Environment, Inc. (E & E) compliance team during this reporting period focused on weekly spot-checks of ongoing construction activities. Compliance Monitor Vince Semonsen visited the Aliso construction site on November 11 and 16, 2016. Site inspection reports that summarize observed construction activities and compliance events and verify mitigation measures (MMs)/ applicant proposed measures (APMs) were completed for all site visits. Reports are attached below (Attachment 1).

Overall, the ACTR Project has maintained compliance with the Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program’s (MMCRP) Compliance Plan. Communication between the CPUC/E & E compliance team and SCG and SCE has been regular and generally effective, with approximately daily correspondence to discuss and document compliance events, upcoming compliance-related surveys and deliverables, and the construction schedule. Regular agency calls between CPUC/E & E, SCG, and SCE, along with weekly email updates from SCG and SCE, provided additional compliance information and construction summaries. Furthermore, SCG’s and SCE’s monthly compliance status reports for November 2016 provided compliance summaries and included: a description of construction activities from November 1 to 30, 2016; a detailed look-ahead construction schedule; a summary of compliance with project commitments (MMs/APMs) for air quality, biological resources, and cultural and paleontological resources; Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) measures; noise measures; the Worker Environmental Awareness Training Program (WEAP); a summary of non-compliance incidents; and a list of recent ACTR Project approvals.

**Non-Compliances Issued by the CPUC**

Level 1 Non-Compliance (SCG)

During his November 11 site visit, CPUC Compliance Monitor Vince Semonsen noted several compliance concerns. BMPs in some areas (e.g., P-37 staging area, CCS, new Admin/IM Building) were insufficient and upgrades were needed, including improved sediment control, replacement of old BMPs, and clearing of sediment, debris, and trash from drainage channels. Additionally, a wildlife escape ramp was not properly maintained in the uncovered blowdown pipe trench, and a trench near the new Admin/IM Building was not fully covered as required.

On November 14, Mr. Semonsen emailed these compliance concerns to SCG. On November 16, Mr. Semonsen conducted another site visit and noted that BMPs were actively being upgraded but an exit ramp was still needed in the blowdown pipe trench.

SCG emailed the CPUC/E & E team on November 18 to detail how these compliance concerns had been addressed. SCG reported that they installed and maintained BMPs in the areas of concern, cleaned out drainage channels, and properly maintained open/covered trenches.

**Special Status Species Observations**

One live California newt, a California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW)-designated Species of Special Concern, was observed during November 2016; however, no relocation was necessary. Two dead newts were documented during November 2016. The dead newts were collected in accordance with CDFW requested protocol.

**Public Concerns**

There were no public concerns during November 2016.

**Minor Approvals**

During November 2016, one Minor Project Refinement (MPR #10) was issued (Table 1).

**Table 1: Minor Approvals for November 2016**

Description	Approval Date
MPR #10 for the installation of a new pole along the 12-kV route between the Natural Substation and CCS required for the new All-Dielectric Self-Supporting fiber optic cable needed after the fire incident. (SCG)	November 18, 2016

Please contact me if you have any questions concerning this summary report.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Lara Rachowicz".

Lara Rachowicz  
Project Manager, Ecology and Environment, Inc.

cc:  
Derek Rodgers, SCG  
Chris May, SCE

# ATTACHMENT 1

CPUC Site Inspection Reports  
November 11 and 16, 2016



## Aliso Canyon Turbine Replacement Project CPUC Site Inspection Form

Project:	Aliso Canyon Turbine Replacement	Date:	November 11, 2016
Project Proponent:	Southern California Gas Company and Southern California Edison	Report #:	VS123
Lead Agency:	California Public Utilities Commission	Monitor(s):	Vince Semonsen
CPUC PM:	Andrew Barnsdale, Energy Division	AM/PM Weather:	Partly cloudy and calm with mild temperatures
E & E CM:	Lara Rachowicz	Start/End time:	0900 to 0930 at SCE components 0930 to 1200 at the Aliso Canyon Natural Gas Storage Field (Aliso Storage Field)
Project NTP(s):	The new Admin/IM Building (NTP-2), Central Compressor Station (CCS) (NTP-3), and PS-42 Fill Site. Tubular Steel Poles (TSPs) 2 through 42 (NTPs A, C, and D).		

### SITE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

WEATP Training	Yes	No	N/A
Has WEATP training been completed by all new hires (construction and monitors)?	X		
Erosion and Dust Control (Air and Water Quality)			
Have temporary erosion and sediment control measures been installed?		X	
Are erosion and sediment control measures properly installed and functioning?		X	
Is mud tracked onto paved public roadways cleaned up in accordance with the project's SWPPP?	X		
Is dust control being implemented (i.e., access roads watered, haul trucks covered, streets cleaned on a regular basis)?	X		
Are work areas being effectively watered prior to excavation or grading?	X		
Is excessive fugitive dust leaving the work area?		X	
Equipment			
Are all vehicles observed maintaining a speed limit of 15 mph on unpaved roads?	X		
Are all vehicles/equipment observed arriving onsite clean of sediment or plant debris?	X		
Are vehicles/equipment turned off when not in use?	X		
Work Areas			
Is vegetation disturbance within work areas minimized?	X		
Is exclusionary fencing or flagging in place to protect sensitive biological or cultural resources?	X		
Are vehicles, equipment, and construction personnel staying within approved work areas and on approved roads?	X		
Are all excavations and trenches covered at the end of the day?		X	
Are ramps installed at 100-foot intervals with ramps not exceeding 2:1 slopes?		X	

Biology			
Have preconstruction surveys been completed for biological (wildlife, nesting birds, gnatcatcher, least Bell's vireo) resources as appropriate?	X		
Are biological monitors present onsite?	X		
Are appropriate measures in place to protect sensitive habitat and/or drainages (i.e., flagging, signage, exclusion fencing, biological monitor, appropriate buffer distance enacted)?	X		
Have wildlife been relocated from work areas?		X	
Have impacts occurred to adjacent habitat (sensitive or non-sensitive)?		X	
Did you observe any threatened or endangered species? List:		X	
Are there wetlands or water bodies present near construction activities?	X		
Have there been any work stoppages for biological resources?		X	
Cultural and Paleontological Resources			
Are identified cultural/paleo resources that will not be relocated/salvaged clearly marked for exclusion?			X
Are archaeological and paleontological monitors onsite if needed?	X		
Are appropriate buffers maintained around sensitive resources (e.g. cultural sites)?			X
Have there been any work stoppages for cultural/paleo resources?		X	
Hazardous Materials			
Are hazardous materials stored appropriately?		X	
Are procedures in place to prevent spills and accidental releases?	X		
Are appropriate fire prevention and control measures in place?	X		
Is contaminated soil properly handled or disposed of, if applicable?	X		
Work Hours and Noise			
Are night lighting reduction measures in place, as needed?	X		
Is construction occurring within approved hours?	X		
Are noise control measures in place within 100 feet of sensitive receptors as needed?			X

AREAS MONITORED (i.e., structure numbers, yards, or substations)

TSPs 7, 21, and 26, P-37, PS-42 Fill Site, the new Admin/IM Building, and the CCS.

DESCRIPTION OF OBSERVED ACTIVITIES (i.e., mitigation measures of particular focus or concern, construction activity, any discussions with first-party monitors or construction crews)

My first stop was at the TSP 26 site, which has a long, steep access road that terminates at the public roadway. Historically, this road has had erosion problems and I noted that there were no permanent (e.g., water bars) or temporary (e.g., gravel bags, straw wattle) erosion/sediment control best management practices (BMPs) on the roadway (Photo 1). Some new straw wattles had been installed around the pole site, but it appeared that some additional BMPs are needed on the access road to prevent mud from flowing onto the roadway.

The access road for TSPs 12 through 21 has a very steep section leading to TSP 21 from Crescent Valley Mobile Estates (Mobile Estates). This area also had some erosion problems, with debris and mud washing out onto the paved road. Currently, there are no permanent or temporary erosion control measures on this portion of the access road (Photo 2). A similar situation exists at the TSP 7 access road, with the steepest section of the access road lacking any permanent or temporary erosion control measures (Photo 3).

I drove to the Aliso Storage Field and noted that both Russian thistle and castor bean were thriving on the stream bank below the new entrance road near the Guard House (Photo 4). I spoke with SCG's biological monitor Ray Romero (AECOM) about these weeds, but it may have been too late in the growing season to try to remove the plants. The thistle was beginning to dry out, so it would be very difficult to remove the plants without spreading the seeds. I had previously noted the need for weeding this portion of the creek bank.

I made a brief stop at the P-37 staging area where numerous pieces of equipment were stored. I noted that no additional sediment control BMPs had been installed (Photo 5). Because it is the rainy season, this issue need to be addressed.

I visited the ACTR project office and checked in with Derek Rodgers (SCG).

At the PS-42 Fill Site, some of the plastic drain pipe had been replaced with metal pipe, and the soil stockpiles noted in earlier site visits have been spread out and compacted (Photo 6).

I drove to and walked around both the CCS and the new Admin/IM Building. Some areas around the CCS have been paved (Photo 7). Work is continuing within the facility (Photo 10), with a crew working on the light poles (Photo 8). Some trenching is being completed at the northwest end of the facility (Photo 12). One of the main issues for both sites is the need to prepare the sites for the rainy season, including upgrading the BMPs (Photo 13) and cleaning out the drainage channels of sediment, debris, and trash (Photo 14). I also noted the disposal of some paint and painting supplies in the trash bin (Photo 11); these materials should be properly disposed of. In addition, an exit ramp needs to be reestablished in the blowdown pipe trench (Photo 9), and some of the covered trenches around the new Admin/IM Building appeared to have gaps large enough to allow access for animals (Photo 16).

The next day, an email was sent to the SCG ACTR compliance team detailing these concerns.

MITIGATION MEASURES VERIFIED (Refer to MMCRP, e.g., MM BR-5. Report only on MMs pertinent to your observations today)

Onsite monitors were in place and overseeing the construction activities; all construction personnel appear to have gone through the training (APM HZ-6).

RECOMMENDED FOLLOW-UP (i.e., items to check on next visit, minor issues to resolve)

Russian thistle removal from Limekiln Creek near the Guard House.

COMPLIANCE SUGGESTIONS OR ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS (i.e., suggestions to improve compliance on-site, environmental observations of note)

Follow up on possible redirection of rainwater runoff coming down the Natural Substation access road. Possible energy dissipater/catch basin where the oak swale drainage meets the A2 access road.

COMPLIANCE SUMMARY

Below please describe any non-compliance issues or new biological/cultural discoveries (compliance level 0) that have occurred since your last visit. If you observe a non-compliance issue in the field, please note this on the monitoring datasheet, and for non-compliance Level 2 or 3 fill out and submit a separate Non-Compliance Report Form to E & E Compliance Manager. Inform E & E CM of any non-compliance incidents.

- Compliance Level 0: New biological or cultural discovery requiring compliance with mitigation measures, permit conditions, etc. If checked, please describe discovery and documentation/verification below.
- Non-Compliance Level 1: Violates the project's environmental requirements but does not immediately put environmental resources at risk. Applicant will need to correct the action and/or prevent repeat incidents of the same issue. If you checked this box, describe the incident below and follow-up to ensure correction.
- Non-Compliance Level 2: (Minor Incident) Level 2 should be those actions that have the potential to cause or cause immediate, minor risk to environmental resources such as activities that result in a deviation from the mitigation measure requirements that result in minor, short-term impact to resources. A non-compliance Level 2 situation may occur when Level 1 incidents are repeated, and show a trend toward placing resources at unnecessary risk. If you checked this box, please fill out a Non-Compliance Report.
- Non-Compliance Level 3: (Major Incident) Level 3 are those actions that have the potential to cause or cause immediate, major risk to environmental resources such as: major environmental incident that is not in compliance with the applicant mitigation measures, mitigation measures, permit condition, approval (e.g., variances, addendums) requirements, and/or environmental construction specifications; violation of the law; or documented repetitive occurrences of Level 2 Minor Incident events. If you checked this box, please fill out a Non-Compliance Report.
- Non-compliance issues reported by SoCalGas or SCE: Were there any new non-compliance issues reported by SoCalGas or SCE monitors since your last visit? If so, describe issues and resolution and include SoCalGas or SCE report identification number.

Date	Non-compliance issue and resolution	Relevant Mitigation Measure	NC Report #
11/11/16	BMPs not properly implemented/maintained; drainage channels contained sediment, debris, trash; exit ramp not properly established in trench; trench not properly covered	APM GE-2, MM BIO-11	

PREVIOUS NON-COMPLIANCE ITEMS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP OR RESOLVED TODAY:





REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS			
Date	Location	Photo	Description
11/11/16	TSP 26 Access Road		Photo 1 – Steep access road had no water bars or BMPs to prevent erosion or stop sediment from being deposited on the paved road.
11/11/16	TSP 21 Access Road		Photo 2 – Steep portion of the access road without any BMPs.

REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS			
Date	Location	Photo	Description
11/11/16	TSP 7 Access Road		Photo 3 – Steep portion of the access road without any BMPs.
11/11/16	Streambank below the Guard House Access Road		Photo 4 – Russian thistle and castor bean are proliferating on the creek bank.
11/11/16	P-37 Staging Area		Photo 5 – Staging area drain inlet has no sediment control BMPs.





REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS			
Date	Location	Photo	Description
11/11/16	PS-42 Fill Site		Photo 6 – Spoil piles have been leveled and compacted.
11/11/16	CCS		Photo 7 – Some of the area around the CCS has been paved.



REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Date	Location	Photo	Description
11/11/16	CCS		Photo 8 – Crew working on light poles.
11/11/16	CCS		Photo 9 – Blowdown pipe trench is still open and needs a ramped climbing exit.





REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Date	Location	Photo	Description
11/11/16	CCS		Photo 10 – Work continues within the CCS.
11/11/16	CCS		Photo 11 – Discarded painting supplies in the trash bin.

REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS			
Date	Location	Photo	Description
11/11/16	CCS		Photo 12 – Trenching work being conducted.
11/11/16	CCS		Photo 13 – BMPs in need of maintenance/ replacement.



REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS			
Date	Location	Photo	Description
11/11/16	CCS		Photo 14 – Drainage ditch with sediment, debris, and trash.
11/11/16	New Admin/IM Building		Photo 15 – Overview of the lower building.

REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Date	Location	Photo	Description
11/11/16	New Admin/IM Building		Photo 16 – Covered construction holes with openings that could allow access for animals.





## Aliso Canyon Turbine Replacement Project CPUC Site Inspection Form

Project:	Aliso Canyon Turbine Replacement	Date:	November 16, 2016
Project Proponent:	Southern California Gas Company and Southern California Edison	Report #:	VS124
Lead Agency:	California Public Utilities Commission	Monitor(s):	Vince Semonsen
CPUC PM:	Andrew Barnsdale, Energy Division	AM/PM Weather:	Hazy, cool, and calm.
E & E CM:	Lara Rachowicz	Start/End time:	0930 to 1100 at the Aliso Canyon Natural Gas Storage Field (Aliso Storage Field)
Project NTP(s):	The new Admin/IM Building (NTP-2), Central Compressor Station (CCS) (NTP-3), and PS-42 Fill Site. Tubular Steel Poles (TSPs) 2 through 42 (NTPs A, C, and D).		

### SITE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

WEATP Training	Yes	No	N/A
Has WEATP training been completed by all new hires (construction and monitors)?	X		
Erosion and Dust Control (Air and Water Quality)			
Have temporary erosion and sediment control measures been installed?	X		
Are erosion and sediment control measures properly installed and functioning?	X		
Is mud tracked onto paved public roadways cleaned up in accordance with the project's SWPPP?	X		
Is dust control being implemented (i.e., access roads watered, haul trucks covered, streets cleaned on a regular basis)?	X		
Are work areas being effectively watered prior to excavation or grading?	X		
Is excessive fugitive dust leaving the work area?		X	
Equipment			
Are all vehicles observed maintaining a speed limit of 15 mph on unpaved roads?	X		
Are all vehicles/equipment observed arriving onsite clean of sediment or plant debris?	X		
Are vehicles/equipment turned off when not in use?	X		
Work Areas			
Is vegetation disturbance within work areas minimized?	X		
Is exclusionary fencing or flagging in place to protect sensitive biological or cultural resources?	X		
Are vehicles, equipment, and construction personnel staying within approved work areas and on approved roads?	X		
Are all excavations and trenches covered at the end of the day?	X		
Are ramps installed at 100-foot intervals with ramps not exceeding 2:1 slopes?	X		

Biology			
Have preconstruction surveys been completed for biological (wildlife, nesting birds, gnatcatcher, least Bell's vireo) resources as appropriate?	X		
Are biological monitors present onsite?	X		
Are appropriate measures in place to protect sensitive habitat and/or drainages (i.e., flagging, signage, exclusion fencing, biological monitor, appropriate buffer distance enacted)?	X		
Have wildlife been relocated from work areas?		X	
Have impacts occurred to adjacent habitat (sensitive or non-sensitive)?		X	
Did you observe any threatened or endangered species? List:		X	
Are there wetlands or water bodies present near construction activities?	X		
Have there been any work stoppages for biological resources?		X	
Cultural and Paleontological Resources			
Are identified cultural/paleo resources that will not be relocated/salvaged clearly marked for exclusion?			X
Are archaeological and paleontological monitors onsite if needed?	X		
Are appropriate buffers maintained around sensitive resources (e.g. cultural sites)?			X
Have there been any work stoppages for cultural/paleo resources?		X	
Hazardous Materials			
Are hazardous materials stored appropriately?	X		
Are procedures in place to prevent spills and accidental releases?	X		
Are appropriate fire prevention and control measures in place?	X		
Is contaminated soil properly handled or disposed of, if applicable?	X		
Work Hours and Noise			
Are night lighting reduction measures in place, as needed?	X		
Is construction occurring within approved hours?	X		
Are noise control measures in place within 100 feet of sensitive receptors as needed?			X

<p>AREAS MONITORED (i.e., structure numbers, yards, or substations)</p> <p>The Guard House, the PS-42 Fill Site, the new Admin/IM Building, and the CCS.</p>
<p>DESCRIPTION OF OBSERVED ACTIVITIES (i.e., mitigation measures of particular focus or concern, construction activity, any discussions with first-party monitors or construction crews)</p> <p>I arrived at the Aliso Storage Field at 0930 and went to the PS-42 Fill Site. The PS-42 Fill Site appeared to be completed and maintained, with some recent hydroseeding noted along the last "V" ditch (Photo 1). New best management practices (BMPs) had been added around some of the drain inlets on the PS-42 Well Pad (Photo 2).</p> <p>During a conversation, Able (Quality Ag foreman) said that the crew had removed the Russian thistle and castor bean along the Limekiln Creek streambank near the Guard House as part of the previous day's work effort. We discussed whether the Russian thistle was "too dried out" to be effectively removed (once Russian thistle dries out, it becomes very brittle, breaks, and spreads seeds). Able stated that the eradication effort went well (the plants were not excessively breaking) (Photo 4).</p> <p>The upper sedimentation basin/newt pond below the CCS had some ponded water, and the BMPs had been upgraded (Photo 3).</p> <p>A crew was also working on the BMPs around the CCS, including replacing straw wattles (Photo 5) and cleaning out some of the drainage ditches. Gravel was being spread around the edges of the newly paved areas and work continued within both the CCS and the new Admin/IM Building.</p> <p>An exit ramp is still needed in the blowdown pipe trench.</p>
<p>MITIGATION MEASURES VERIFIED (Refer to MMCRP, e.g., MM BR-5. Report only on MMs pertinent to your observations today)</p> <p>Onsite monitors were in place and overseeing the construction activities; all construction personnel appear to have gone through the training (APM HZ-6).</p>
<p>RECOMMENDED FOLLOW-UP (i.e., items to check on next visit, minor issues to resolve)</p> <p>Check on exit ramps and covered holes around the CCS and new Admin/IM Building.</p>
<p>COMPLIANCE SUGGESTIONS OR ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS (i.e., suggestions to improve compliance on-site, environmental observations of note)</p> <p>An evaluation of the rainwater runoff draining through the CCS facility is recommended.  Follow up on possible redirection of rainwater runoff coming down the Natural Substation access road.  Possible energy dissipater/catch basin where the oak swale drainage meets the A2 access road.</p>
<p>COMPLIANCE SUMMARY</p> <p>Below please describe any non-compliance issues or new biological/cultural discoveries (compliance level 0) that have occurred since your last visit. If you observe a non-compliance issue in the field, please note this on the monitoring datasheet, and for non-compliance Level 2 or 3 fill out and submit a separate Non-Compliance Report Form to E &amp; E Compliance Manager. Inform E &amp; E CM of any non-compliance incidents.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Compliance Level 0: New biological or cultural discovery requiring compliance with mitigation measures, permit conditions, etc. If checked, please describe discovery and documentation/verification below.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Non-Compliance Level 1: Violates the project's environmental requirements but does not immediately put</p>




environmental resources at risk. Applicant will need to correct the action and/or prevent repeat incidents of the same issue. If you checked this box, describe the incident below and follow-up to ensure correction.

- Non-Compliance Level 2: (Minor Incident) Level 2 should be those actions that have the potential to cause or cause immediate, minor risk to environmental resources such as activities that result in a deviation from the mitigation measure requirements that result in minor, short-term impact to resources. A non-compliance Level 2 situation may occur when Level 1 incidents are repeated, and show a trend toward placing resources at unnecessary risk. If you checked this box, please fill out a Non-Compliance Report.
- Non-Compliance Level 3: (Major Incident) Level 3 are those actions that have the potential to cause or cause immediate, major risk to environmental resources such as: major environmental incident that is not in compliance with the applicant mitigation measures, mitigation measures, permit condition, approval (e.g., variances, addendums) requirements, and/or environmental construction specifications; violation of the law; or documented repetitive occurrences of Level 2 Minor Incident events. If you checked this box, please fill out a Non-Compliance Report.
- Non-compliance issues reported by SoCalGas or SCE: Were there any new non-compliance issues reported by SoCalGas or SCE monitors since your last visit? If so, describe issues and resolution and include SoCalGas or SCE report identification number.


Date	Non-compliance issue and resolution	Relevant Mitigation Measure	NC Report #

**PREVIOUS NON-COMPLIANCE ITEMS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP OR RESOLVED TODAY:**

Crews are actively working on the required BMPs as the project enters the rainy season.

REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS			
Date	Location	Photo	Description
11/16/16	PS-42 Fill Site		Photo 1 – Hydroseeding of the last “V” ditch at the PS-42 Fill Site.
11/16/16	PS-42 Well Pad		Photo 2 – New BMPs have been installed around the drain inlets.
11/16/16	Limekiln Creek (Upper Sedimentation Basin/Newt Pond)		Photo 3 – Some water has now ponded in the lower sedimentation basin/new pond; new BMPs have been installed.



REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS			
Date	Location	Photo	Description
11/16/16	Streambank Below the Guard House Access Road		Photo 4 – Russian thistle and castor bean have been removed.
11/16/16	CCS		Photo 5 – Crews are installing new BMPs around the facility.