

**Energy Division Data Request 03-SDGE Response**  
**SDG&E Tie Line 674A Reconfig. TL 666D Removal Project (A.17-06-029)**

**Date Sent: May 21, 2018**

**Date Responded: May 29, 2018**

<b>Section/Q#</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>SDG&amp;E Response</b>
1A	The RECON jurisdictional waters assessment references 2013 data in the BTR, updated in 2014, but refers exclusively to the 2013 survey (i.e., it appears no additional assessment was conducted in 2014). Please verify the accuracy of the reference and provide any additional assessment data conducted after 2013, if available.	The RECON jurisdictional waters assessment was conducted entirely in 2013. The references to 2014 were made in error and should be changed to 2013.
1B	Please provide associated data (maps, GIS, etc.) from the AECOM 2016-2017 jurisdictional waters assessment described in the BTR.	Separate shapefiles from RECON's 2013 assessment and AECOM's 2016 to 2017 assessment have been included as a separate attachment, Jurisdictional_Waters_Assessment_GIS.zip

Section/Q#	Question	SDG&E Response
1C	Please clarify whether the source of previously submitted jurisdictional waters assessment GIS data is associated with the RECON aquatic survey or the AECOM aquatic survey and submit updated 2016-2017 survey data if available.	<p>The previously submitted jurisdictional waters assessment geographic information system (GIS) data is a composite of the original RECON data from 2013 and the additional assessment conducted by AECOM in 2016 and 2017. In 2016 and 2017, AECOM conducted the following during their assessment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Field-verified the 2013 RECON data, confirming the boundaries and jurisdictional determination for each of the previously mapped polygons</li> <li>• Adjusted the boundaries of previously mapped polygons from the 2013 RECON data to more closely match conditions in the field</li> <li>• Mapped approximately six acres of waters that were located outside of RECON’s original survey area but within the Biological Survey Area included in the Proponent’s Environmental Assessment</li> </ul> <p>As described in response to Question 1B, shapefiles from RECON’s 2013 assessment and AECOM’s 2016 to 2017 assessment have been included as a separate attachment.</p>
2A	PEA page 4.4-8 states additional wandering skipper protocol surveys were conducted in 2017. BTR pp. 2-3 and 2-7 state SDG&E will submit a letter report detailing additional wandering skipper survey efforts upon completion of 2017 surveys. Appendix E contains a survey report and figures based solely on wandering skipper surveys conducted by RECON in 2014.	AECOM has completed the 2017 wandering skipper surveys. The associated report and resulting GIS data have been included as a separate attachment, 2017_Wandering_Skipper_Survey_Results.zip
2B	BTR Figures 3-9a through 3-9m appear to be composite figures that feature RECON 2014 survey results as well as results from protocol-level wandering skipper surveys conducted in	Figures 3-9a through 3-9m include the results from the RECON 2014 survey and the proposed survey area for AECOM’s 2017 survey. Results from AECOM’s 2017 survey were not available when the Biological Resources

Section/Q#	Question	SDG&E Response
	2017, though no 2017 survey letter is attached, nor is 2017 survey data described in the BTR beyond its references in these figures.	Technical Report was completed; therefore this data was not included on Figures 3-9a through 3-9m.
2C	Please verify whether the 2017 survey of wandering skipper is complete, and provide survey results and associated GIS data to E&E.	As described in response to Question 2A, the 2017 wandering skipper surveys have been completed. The results, represented as a GIS shapefile of the suitable habitat and of the observations, have been included as a separate attachment, 2017_Wandering_Skipper_Survey_Results.zip
3A	Data submittal should reflect the PEA-defined buffer dimensions of (i) 150-feet on both sides of centerline for linear features and (ii) 100-feet around nonlinear features, as determined during the 2016-2017 Natural Community Mapping efforts.	A GIS shapefile of the natural communities that were mapped for the Proposed Project has been included as a separate attachment, Natural_Communities_GIS.zip.