

June 1, 2020

Mr. Michael Rosauer
Project Manager
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Monthly Report Summary #18 for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project

Dear Mr. Rosauer,

Construction for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project began on November 5, 2018. This report provides a summary of the compliance monitoring activities that occurred during the period from **April 1 to 30, 2020**, for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project in Fresno County, California. Compliance monitoring was performed to ensure that all project-related activities conducted by Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E) and their contractors comply with the requirements of the Final Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (Final IS/MND) for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project, as adopted by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) on July 13, 2017.

Table 1 summarizes CPUC-approved Notice to Proceed (NTP) activities to-date for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project, based on activities proposed in PG&E's Notice to Proceed Requests (NTPRs).

Table 1 CPUC-approved NTP Activities for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project

NTP#	Final NTPR Submittal Date	CPUC NTP Issuance Date	Description of Approved Activities
NTP #1	11/1/2018	11/2/2018	Work within both the existing Sanger Substation footprint and the expansion area, including laydown/staging area setup; installation of access driveways, fencing, foundations, substation equipment, and a microwave tower; and installation of two antenna dishes at an offsite location (Fence Meadow Repeater Station).
NTP #2	6/6/2019	6/7/2019	Work within both the existing Sanger Substation footprint and the expansion area, including laydown/staging area setup; installation of pole foundations, installation of poles, power line stringing, removal of pull sites, and restoration of impacted property.

Table 2 summarizes all CPUC-approved Minor Project Refinements (MPRs) to-date for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project.

Table 2 CPUC-approved MPRs for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project

MPR#	Final MPR Submittal Date	CPUC MPR Approval Date	Description of Minor Project Refinement
MPR	5/24/2018	6/12/2018	Minor modifications to the placement and types of poles in the

#001			“power line reconfiguration” project component to suit engineering refinements that were made after Final IS/MND approval. The modifications would occur approximately 2,100 feet west; 750 feet east; and 165 feet south of the existing Sanger Substation footprint. In total, there would be modifications to seven poles.
MPR #002	7/17/2018	7/20/2018	An additional temporary laydown yard/staging area (approximately 974 feet by 112 feet) located north of the retention basin, running north between the western boundary of the substation expansion area and the western boundary of the existing Sanger Substation footprint. This area is owned in fee title by PG&E.
MPR #003	11/13/2018	11/14/2018	Use of an existing water well approximately 100 feet north of approved NTP #1 work areas, within the same parcel as the Sanger Substation footprint. PG&E has obtained permission from the landowner to use this well for a specified timeframe. PG&E will access the well pump by foot, and will obtain water from this well for dust control purposes. MPR #3 adds no additional ground disturbance to the existing disturbance footprint, other than impacts from light foot traffic and temporary ground placement of a water hose.

Project Compliance Incidents

Onsite compliance monitoring by the Ecology and Environment, Inc., member of WSP (hereafter referred to as E & E) compliance team during this reporting period focused on spot-checks of ongoing construction activities. Compliance Monitors Evan Studley and Sam Hopstone visited the Sanger Substation construction site on **April 8 and 23, 2020**. CPUC Compliance Monitoring Reports that summarize observed construction activities and compliance events and verify mitigation measures (MMs) and applicant proposed measures (APMs) were completed for the site visits. These reports are attached below (Attachment 1).

Overall, the Sanger Substation Expansion Project has maintained compliance with the Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program’s (MMCRP) Compliance Plan. Communication between the CPUC/E & E compliance team and PG&E has been regular and effective; the correspondence discussed and documented compliance events, upcoming compliance-related surveys and deliverables, and the construction schedule. Agency calls between the CPUC/E & E and PG&E, along with daily schedule updates and database notifications, provided additional compliance information and construction summaries. Furthermore, PG&E’s weekly compliance status reports provided a compliance summary, a description of construction activities that occurred each week, a summary of compliance with MMCRP conditions (MMs/APMs) for biological, cultural and paleontological resources; the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP); noise and traffic control; onsite hazards; and the Worker Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP), as well as any non-compliance issues and resolutions, and public complaints, and notifications.

Compliance Incidents and Minor Compliance Observations

During the April 2020 reporting period, PG&E did not self-report any compliance incidents, and the CPUC did not issue any compliance incident reports.

Mr. Michael Rosauer
June 1, 2020
Page 3

Noise Compliance

During the April 2020 reporting period, there were no exceedances of the stipulated noise levels.

Public Concerns

No public concerns were reported during April 2020.

Sincerely,
Ecology and Environment, Inc.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Silvia Yanez', with a horizontal line extending from the end of the signature.

Silvia Yanez
Project Manager

cc:
Michael Calvillo, PG&E
Carie Montero, Parsons
Lincoln Allen, SWCA

ATTACHMENT 1

CPUC COMPLIANCE MONITORING REPORTS

APRIL 8 AND 23, 2020



Sanger Substation Expansion Project CPUC Compliance Monitoring Report

Project Proponent	Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E)	Report No.	CM-CPUCDG-040820
Lead Agency	California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	Date (mm/dd/yy)	04/08/20
CPUC Project Manager	Billie Blanchard	Monitor(s)	Sam Hopstone
CPUC (E & E) Monitoring Manager	Silvia Yanez	AM/PM Weather	Partly Cloudy, 45°F, calm
CPUC (E & E) Monitoring Supervisor	Angelica Oregel	Start/End time	14:00 PM – 15:00 PM
Project NTP(s)	Notice to Proceed (NTP) #2		

SITE INSPECTION CHECKLIST *(Based on monitor's observations during site visit; responses do not imply that monitor observed all staff, crews, and parts of the project during this inspection)*

Worker Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP) Training	Yes	No	N/A
Is the WEAP training in place and does it appear to have been completed by all onsite personnel (e.g., construction workers, managers, inspectors, monitors)?	X		
Erosion and Dust Control (Air and Water Quality)	Yes	No	N/A
Have temporary erosion and sediment control measures (best management practices [BMPs]) been installed, in accordance with the project's Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)?	X		
Are erosion and sediment control measures (BMPs) installed correctly (without apparent deficiencies) and functioning as intended during rain events?	X		
Are measures in place to avoid/minimize mud tracking onto public roadways?	X		
Is dust control being implemented, in accordance with the Dust Control Plan (e.g., access roads watered, haul trucks covered, dirt piles are covered with tarps, pull-outs and streets cleaned on a regular basis)?	X		
Are work areas being effectively watered prior to excavation or grading?	X		
Are measures in place to stabilize soils and effectively suppress fugitive dust?	X		
Equipment	Yes	No	N/A
Are vehicles maintaining speed limits: 15 miles per hour (mph) on unpaved roads/10 mph off-road?	X		
Are observed vehicles/equipment arriving onsite clean of sediment/mud and noxious weeds or other plant debris?	X		
Are observed vehicles/equipment turned off when not in use?	X		

Work Areas	Yes	No	N/A
Is vegetation disturbance within work areas minimized?	X		
Is exclusionary fencing or flagging in place to protect sensitive biological or cultural resources, as appropriate?	X		
Are observed vehicles, equipment, and construction personnel staying within approved work areas and on approved roads?	X		
Are trenches/excavations covered at night, or when not possible, are wildlife escape ramps installed, constructed of earth fill or wooden planks no less than 10 inches wide?	X		
Biology	Yes	No	N/A
Have required preconstruction surveys been completed for biological resources (special status species, raptors and other nesting birds, burrowing owls, San Joaquin kit fox), as appropriate?	X		
Are appropriate measures in place to protect sensitive habitat and/or drainages (i.e., flagging, signage, exclusion fencing, appropriate buffer distance enacted)?	X		
Is project complying with biological monitoring requirements (e.g., if required, are monitors present)?	X		
If there are wetlands or water bodies near construction activities, are adequate measures in place to avoid impacts on these features (e.g., is the refueling/maintenance buffer in place within 100 feet of the irrigation ditch)?	X		
Has wildlife (sensitive species or not) been relocated from work areas? If yes, describe below.		X	
Have impacts occurred on adjacent habitat (sensitive or not sensitive)? If yes, describe below.		X	
Did you observe any threatened or endangered species? If yes, describe below.		X	
Have there been any work stoppages for biological resources? If yes, describe below.		X	
Cultural and Paleontological Resources	Yes	No	N/A
Are appropriate buffers/exclusion zones for identified sensitive cultural/paleo resources (e.g., cultural sites) clearly marked and being maintained?			X
Is the project in compliance with cultural/archaeological monitoring requirements (e.g., if required, are monitors present)?	X		
Is the project in compliance with paleontological monitoring requirements (e.g., if required, are monitors present)?	X		
Have there been any work stoppages for potential archaeological, cultural, or paleontological resources? If yes, describe below.		X	
Hazardous Materials	Yes	No	N/A
Are hazardous materials that are stored or used onsite properly managed?	X		
Are procedures in place to prevent spills and accidental releases?	X		

Are required fire prevention and control measures in place, and no crew members, managers, or monitors are smoking onsite?	X		
Are contaminated soils properly managed for onsite storage or offsite disposal?	X		
Work Hours and Noise	Yes	No	N/A
Are required night lighting reduction measures in place?	X		
Is construction occurring within approved work hours?	X		
Are required noise control measures in place?	X		

AREAS MONITORED

Project areas on and near the substation expansion footprint, existing substation, and the temporary laydown/staging area.

DESCRIPTION OF OBSERVED ACTIVITIES

14:00 PM – I arrived onsite and entered through the south gate into the existing substation footprint. I checked in with SWCA Biologist Angelica Oregel. Current activity included installing overhead conductors and wires, and covering grid trenches. Future activities include installing breakers and tubing, and possibly pouring bases for the switches. Ms. Oregel conducted the daily sweeps for sensitive species before commencement of work (Mitigation Measure [MM] BIO-4, MM BIO-6, and MM BIO-7). Since the last compliance inspection, Ms. Oregel monitored evidence of a partial nest in a section of conduit in the north end of the temporary staging area. She determined the nest materials were left by house sparrows (*Passer domesticus*). At the west side of the site, the biologist observed killdeers (*Charadrius vociferous*) and red-tailed hawks (*Buteo jamaicensis*). At the existing substation, a buffer was delineated as a protective buffer around an active mourning dove (*Zenaida macroura*) nest (Photo 1). Additionally, PG&E has installed noisemakers on conex ([storage container](#)) boxes along the east boundary of the expansion footprint that imitate bird calls at regular intervals.

14:10 PM – I proceeded southwest to the detention basin and observed the concrete swales draining properly with ample space for water (Applicant Proposed Measure [APM] WQ-1) (Photo 2). I proceeded north along the west site boundary.

14:20 PM – At the expansion footprint, I observed that equipment and vehicles not in use were parked away from work areas (Photo 3), and all paths of travel around the site were kept open. I proceeded west through the south entrance into the temporary staging area. A red-tailed hawk was present west of the project site and visible to the naked eye (Photo 4). All equipment and materials in the temporary staging area were organized and staged out of the path of travel. No trash or debris was observed (MM HAZ-1). I exited the temporary staging area through the south gate into the expansion footprint and proceeded north into the work area.

14:30 PM – In the work area, I observed that no trenches were ~~open~~[open](#) and work continues on vertical improvements (Photo 5). Mechanical owls remain in use on top of multiple structures to deter wildlife (Photo 6).

14:40 PM – I observed noisemakers in use on the conex boxes in the east side of the expansion footprint. I continued south along the east boundary and proceeded into the existing substation footprint.

14:50 PM – I entered the construction trailer and checked the SWPPP binder (APM GEO-2/APM WQ-1). SWPPP inspections through late March had been added to the binder. The Qualified SWPPP Practitioner ([QSP](#)) is performing required inspections and updates during the rainy season.

15:00 PM – I checked in with Ms. Oregel, who had no issues to report, and exited the site through the southern gate of the existing substation.

NEW SENSITIVE RESOURCE DISCOVERIES

MITIGATION MEASURES VERIFIED

APM AES-3, APM BIO-11, MM BIO-1, MM BIO-2, APM GEO-2/APM WQ-1, MM HAZ-1, APM NOI-4

See additional APMs and MMs listed in the Description of Observed Activities section.

RECOMMENDED FOLLOW-UP

COMPLIANCE SUGGESTIONS OR ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS

COMPLIANCE SUMMARY Below please describe any Compliance Incidents (Level 1, 2, or 3) that have occurred since your last visit. If you observe a compliance issue in the field, please note this on this monitoring form. In addition, Level 1, 2, or 3 Compliance Incidents, fill out and submit a separate Compliance Incident Report Form.

- Level 0 Acceptable.** (*no compliance incidents*)
- Level 1: Minor Problem.** An event or observation that slightly deviates from project requirements, but does not put a resource at unpermitted risk. If you checked this box, describe the incident below and fill out a separate Compliance Incident Form.
- Level 2: Compliance Deviation.** An event or observation that deviates from project requirements and puts a resource at risk, or shows a trend toward placing resources at risk, but is corrected without affecting the resource. Repeated Level 1 Minor Problems left unaddressed may also rise to a Level 2 Compliance Deviation. If box is checked, summarize below and fill out a separate Compliance Incident Form.
- Level 3: Non-Compliance.** An event or observation that violates project requirements and affects a resource. Repeated Level 2 Compliance Deviations left unaddressed may also rise to a Level 3 Incident. An action that deviates from project requirements and has caused, or has the potential to cause major impacts on environmental resources. These actions are not in compliance with the Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program (MMCRP) APMs or MMs, permit conditions, or approval requirements (e.g., minor project changes, notice to proceed), and/or violates local, state, or federal law. If box is checked, summarize below and fill out a separate Compliance Incident Form.

Compliance Incidents reported by PG&E’s Compliance Team

- PG&E’s Compliance Team reported Compliance Incidents since last CPUC Compliance Monitor visit. If boxed checked, describe issues and resolution status below.

Description: (*include PG&E’s report number*)

New Sensitive Resources



- New biological, environmental, cultural/archaeological, or paleontological discovery requiring compliance with mitigation measures, permit conditions, etc., has occurred since last CPUC Compliance Monitoring visit. If checked, please describe the new discoveries and documentation/verification below.

Description:
None.



Date	Level	Compliance Incident and Resolution	Relevant Mitigation Measure	Corresponding Level 1, 2, or 3 Report #

PREVIOUS COMPLIANCE INCIDENTS OR ITEMS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP OR RESOLVED TODAY:



REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Date	Location	Photo	Description
04/08/20	Existing substation footprint		Photo 1 – A wildlife buffer has been installed around the mourning dove nest area. Photo facing northeast.
04/08/20	Existing substation footprint		Photo 2 – Detention basin functioning properly during the most recent storm. Photo facing southwest.

REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Date	Location	Photo	Description
04/08/20	Expansion footprint	 <p>EE Sanger Substation N 36° 42' 29", W 119° 36' 42" 42° NE Apr 8, 2020 at 2:23:11 PM</p>	Photo 3 – Equipment and vehicles not in use are parked away from paths of travel and work areas. Photo facing northeast.
04/08/20	Temporary staging area	 <p>EE Sanger Substation N 36° 42' 29", W 119° 36' 42" 298° NW Apr 8, 2020 at 2:25:44 PM</p>	Photo 4 – Red-tailed hawk is visible on tubular steel pole arm to west of site. Photo facing west.

REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Date	Location	Photo	Description
04/08/20	Expansion footprint		Photo 5 – No trenches were open open and work continued on vertical improvements. Photo facing east.
04/08/20	Expansion footprint		Photo 6 – Mechanical owls have been installed atop multiple structures.

Completed by:	Sam Hopstone
Firm:	Soar Environmental Consulting, Inc.
Date:	04/08/20
Reviewed by:	Haylie Tallon
Firm:	Soar Environmental Consulting, Inc.
Date:	04/17/20



Sanger Substation Expansion Project CPUC Compliance Monitoring Report

Project Proponent	Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E)	Report No.	CM-CPUCDG-042320
Lead Agency	California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	Date (mm/dd/yy)	04/23/20
CPUC Project Manager	Billie Blanchard	Monitor(s)	Sam Hopstone
CPUC (E & E) Monitoring Manager	Silvia Yanez	AM/PM Weather	Partly Cloudy, 70°F, calm
CPUC (E & E) Monitoring Supervisor	Angelica Oregel	Start/End time	14:00 PM – 14:50 PM
Project NTP(s)	Notice to Proceed (NTP) #2		

SITE INSPECTION CHECKLIST *(Based on monitor's observations during site visit; responses do not imply that monitor observed all staff, crews, and parts of the project during this inspection)*

Worker Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP) Training	Yes	No	N/A
Is the WEAP training in place and does it appear to have been completed by all onsite personnel (e.g., construction workers, managers, inspectors, monitors)?	X		
Erosion and Dust Control (Air and Water Quality)	Yes	No	N/A
Have temporary erosion and sediment control measures (best management practices [BMPs]) been installed, in accordance with the project's Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)?	X		
Are erosion and sediment control measures (BMPs) installed correctly (without apparent deficiencies) and functioning as intended during rain events?	X		
Are measures in place to avoid/minimize mud tracking onto public roadways?	X		
Is dust control being implemented, in accordance with the Dust Control Plan (e.g., access roads watered, haul trucks covered, dirt piles are covered with tarps, pull-outs and streets cleaned on a regular basis)?	X		
Are work areas being effectively watered prior to excavation or grading?	X		
Are measures in place to stabilize soils and effectively suppress fugitive dust?	X		
Equipment	Yes	No	N/A
Are vehicles maintaining speed limits: 15 miles per hour (mph) on unpaved roads/10 mph off-road?	X		
Are observed vehicles/equipment arriving onsite clean of sediment/mud and noxious weeds or other plant debris?	X		
Are observed vehicles/equipment turned off when not in use?	X		

Work Areas	Yes	No	N/A
Is vegetation disturbance within work areas minimized?	X		
Is exclusionary fencing or flagging in place to protect sensitive biological or cultural resources, as appropriate?	X		
Are observed vehicles, equipment, and construction personnel staying within approved work areas and on approved roads?	X		
Are trenches/excavations covered at night, or when not possible, are wildlife escape ramps installed, constructed of earth fill or wooden planks no less than 10 inches wide?	X		
Biology	Yes	No	N/A
Have required preconstruction surveys been completed for biological resources (special status species, raptors and other nesting birds, burrowing owls, San Joaquin kit fox), as appropriate?	X		
Are appropriate measures in place to protect sensitive habitat and/or drainages (i.e., flagging, signage, exclusion fencing, appropriate buffer distance enacted)?	X		
Is project complying with biological monitoring requirements (e.g., if required, are monitors present)?	X		
If there are wetlands or water bodies near construction activities, are adequate measures in place to avoid impacts on these features (e.g., is the refueling/maintenance buffer in place within 100 feet of the irrigation ditch)?	X		
Has wildlife (sensitive species or not) been relocated from work areas? If yes, describe below.		X	
Have impacts occurred on adjacent habitat (sensitive or not sensitive)? If yes, describe below.		X	
Did you observe any threatened or endangered species? If yes, describe below.		X	
Have there been any work stoppages for biological resources? If yes, describe below.		X	
Cultural and Paleontological Resources	Yes	No	N/A
Are appropriate buffers/exclusion zones for identified sensitive cultural/paleo resources (e.g., cultural sites) clearly marked and being maintained?			X
Is the project in compliance with cultural/archaeological monitoring requirements (e.g., if required, are monitors present)?	X		
Is the project in compliance with paleontological monitoring requirements (e.g., if required, are monitors present)?	X		
Have there been any work stoppages for potential archaeological, cultural, or paleontological resources? If yes, describe below.		X	
Hazardous Materials	Yes	No	N/A
Are hazardous materials that are stored or used onsite properly managed?	X		
Are procedures in place to prevent spills and accidental releases?	X		

Are required fire prevention and control measures in place, and no crew members, managers, or monitors are smoking onsite?	X		
Are contaminated soils properly managed for onsite storage or offsite disposal?	X		
Work Hours and Noise	Yes	No	N/A
Are required night lighting reduction measures in place?	X		
Is construction occurring within approved work hours?	X		
Are required noise control measures in place?	X		

AREAS MONITORED

Project areas on and near the substation expansion footprint, existing substation, and the temporary laydown/staging area.

DESCRIPTION OF OBSERVED ACTIVITIES

14:00 PM – I arrived onsite and entered through the south gate into the existing substation footprint. I checked in with SWCA Biologist Angelica Oregel. Current activity included installing foundations for switch platforms and installing closed circuit television (CCTV) wiring. Future activities include continuation of switch platform construction, CCTV hookups, and wiring installation. Ms. Oregel conducted the daily sweeps for sensitive species before commencement of work (Mitigation Measure [MM] BIO-4, MM BIO-6), and a Colibri Ecological biologist surveyed the site and surroundings for the Swainson’s hawk (*Buteo swainsoni*) and white-tailed kite (*Elanus leucurus*) (MM BIO-7). At the west of the site, Ms. Oregel observed killdeer (*Charadrius vociferous*) and red-tailed hawks (*Buteo jamaicensis*). At the existing substation, the protective nest buffer remains in place around the mourning dove (*Zenaida macroura*) nest located near the original substation building (Photo 1). Ms. Oregel reported observing American kestrels (*Falco sparverius*) interfering with the mourning dove nest.

14:10 PM – I proceeded southwest to the detention basin and observed that the basin drained fully since the previous storm with all sidewalks remaining in good condition (Applicant Proposed Measure [APM] WQ-1) (Photo 2).

14:20 PM – I proceeded west into the south entrance of the temporary staging area. I observed that equipment, construction materials, and waste were stockpiled neatly away from the path of travel (MM HAZ-1). Vegetation had returned to the site perimeter, creating additional sediment control (Photo 3). I proceeded north through the temporary staging area and exited into the expansion footprint through the north gate.

14:30 PM – In the north portion of the expansion footprint, I observed that the soil stockpile was removed and that area was regraded (Photo 4). I continued to the east site boundary and proceeded south along the east boundary. Mechanical owls remained in use on top of multiple structures to repel wildlife. Additional noisemakers were installed on permanent structures (Photo 5). I observed that vehicles and equipment were parked out of the work area and path of travel when not in use (Photo 6).

14:40 PM – I continued south along the east boundary and proceeded into the existing substation footprint. I entered the construction trailer and checked the SWPPP binder (APM GEO-2/APM WQ-1). SWPPP inspections through early April had been added to the binder. The Qualified SWPPP Practitioner (QSP) is performing required inspections and updates during the rainy season.

14:50 PM – I checked in with Ms. Oregel, who had no issues to report, and exited the site through the southern gate of the existing substation.

NEW SENSITIVE RESOURCE DISCOVERIES

MITIGATION MEASURES VERIFIED

APM AES-3, APM BIO-11, MM BIO-1, MM BIO-2, APM GEO-2/APM WQ-1, MM HAZ-1, APM NOI-4

See additional APMs and MMs listed in the Description Of Observed Activities section.

RECOMMENDED FOLLOW-UP

COMPLIANCE SUGGESTIONS OR ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS

COMPLIANCE SUMMARY Below please describe any Compliance Incidents (Level 1, 2, or 3) that have occurred since your last visit. If you observe a compliance issue in the field, please note this on this monitoring form. In addition, Level 1, 2 or 3 Compliance Incidents, fill out and submit a separate Compliance Incident Report Form.

- Level 0 Acceptable.** (no compliance incidents)
- Level 1: Minor Problem.** An event or observation that slightly deviates from project requirements, but does not put a resource at unpermitted risk. If you checked this box, describe the incident below and fill out a separate Compliance Incident Form.
- Level 2: Compliance Deviation.** An event or observation that deviates from project requirements and puts a resource at risk, or shows a trend toward placing resources at risk, but is corrected without affecting the resource. Repeated Level 1 Minor Problems left unaddressed may also rise to a Level 2 Compliance Deviation. If box is checked, summarize below and fill out a separate Compliance Incident Form.
- Level 3: Non-Compliance.** An event or observation that violates project requirements and affects a resource. Repeated Level 2 Compliance Deviations left unaddressed may also rise to a Level 3 Incident. An action that deviates from project requirements and has caused, or has the potential to cause major impacts on environmental resources. These actions are not in compliance with the Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program (MMCRP) APMs or MMs, permit conditions, or approval requirements (e.g., minor project changes, notice to proceed), and/or violates local, state, or federal law. If box is checked, summarize below and fill out a separate Compliance Incident Form.

Compliance Incidents reported by PG&E's Compliance Team

- PG&E's Compliance Team reported Compliance Incidents since last CPUC Compliance Monitor visit. If boxed checked, describe issues and resolution status below.

Description: (include PG&E's report number)

New Sensitive Resources



- New biological, environmental, cultural/archaeological, or paleontological discovery requiring compliance with mitigation measures, permit conditions, etc., has occurred since last CPUC Compliance Monitoring visit. If checked, please describe the new discoveries and documentation/verification below.

Description:



None.

Date	Level	Compliance Incident and Resolution	Relevant Mitigation Measure	Corresponding Level 1, 2, or 3 Report #



PREVIOUS COMPLIANCE INCIDENTS OR ITEMS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP OR RESOLVED TODAY:

REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS				
Date	Location	Photo	Description	
04/23/20	Existing substation footprint		Photo 1 – The mourning dove buffer remains in effect. Photo facing northeast.	
04/23/20	Existing substation footprint		Photo 2 – The detention basin drained fully and remains in good condition. Photo facing southwest.	

REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Date	Location	Photo	Description
04/23/20	Temporary staging area	 <p data-bbox="716 730 943 831"> EE Sanger Substation N 36° 42' 35", W 119° 36' 43" 186° S Apr 23, 2020 at 2:24:34 PM </p>	Photo 3 – Vegetation has reestablished around the site perimeter, providing additional erosion control. Photo facing southwest.
04/23/20	Temporary staging area	 <p data-bbox="716 1293 943 1394"> EE Sanger Substation N 36° 42' 36", W 119° 36' 43" 106° E Apr 23, 2020 at 2:25:08 PM </p>	Photo 4 – Stockpiled soil was removed and the area was regraded. Photo facing east.

REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Date	Location	Photo	Description
04/23/20	Expansion footprint		Photo 5 – Noisemakers were installed on multiple structures to repel wildlife. Photo facing north.
04/23/20	Expansion footprint		Photo 6 – Vehicles and equipment were parked away from the path of travel when not in use. Photo facing north.

Completed by:	Sam Hopstone
Firm:	Soar Environmental Consulting, Inc.
Date:	04/23/20
Reviewed by:	Haylie Tallon
Firm:	Soar Environmental Consulting, Inc.
Date:	04/27/20