

June 1, 2020

Mr. Michael Rosauer  
Project Manager  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102

**Re: Monthly Report Summary #19 for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project**

Dear Mr. Rosauer,

Construction for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project began on November 5, 2018. This report provides a summary of the compliance monitoring activities that occurred during the period from **May 1 to 31, 2020**, for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project in Fresno County, California. Compliance monitoring was performed to ensure that all project-related activities conducted by Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E) and their contractors comply with the requirements of the Final Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (Final IS/MND) for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project, as adopted by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) on July 13, 2017.

Table 1 summarizes CPUC-approved Notice to Proceed (NTP) activities to-date for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project, based on activities proposed in PG&E's Notice to Proceed Requests (NTPRs).

**Table 1 CPUC-approved NTP Activities for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project**

NTP#	Final NTPR Submittal Date	CPUC NTP Issuance Date	Description of Approved Activities
NTP #1	11/1/2018	11/2/2018	Work within both the existing Sanger Substation footprint and the expansion area, including laydown/staging area setup; installation of access driveways, fencing, foundations, substation equipment, and a microwave tower; and installation of two antenna dishes at an offsite location (Fence Meadow Repeater Station).
NTP #2	6/6/2019	6/7/2019	Work within both the existing Sanger Substation footprint and the expansion area, including laydown/staging area setup; installation of pole foundations, installation of poles, power line stringing, removal of pull sites, and restoration of impacted property.

Table 2 summarizes all CPUC-approved Minor Project Refinements (MPRs) to-date for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project.

**Table 2 CPUC-approved MPRs for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project**

MPR#	Final MPR Submittal Date	CPUC MPR Approval Date	Description of Minor Project Refinement
MPR	5/24/2018	6/12/2018	Minor modifications to the placement and types of poles in the

**Table 2 CPUC-approved MPRs for the Sanger Substation Expansion Project**

MPR#	Final MPR Submittal Date	CPUC MPR Approval Date	Description of Minor Project Refinement
#001			“power line reconfiguration” project component to suit engineering refinements that were made after Final IS/MND approval. The modifications would occur approximately 2,100 feet west; 750 feet east; and 165 feet south of the existing Sanger Substation footprint. In total, there would be modifications to seven poles.
MPR #002	7/17/2018	7/20/2018	An additional temporary laydown yard/staging area (approximately 974 feet by 112 feet) located north of the retention basin, running north between the western boundary of the substation expansion area and the western boundary of the existing Sanger Substation footprint. This area is owned in fee title by PG&E.
MPR #003	11/13/2018	11/14/2018	Use of an existing water well approximately 100 feet north of approved NTP #1 work areas, within the same parcel as the Sanger Substation footprint. PG&E has obtained permission from the landowner to use this well for a specified timeframe. PG&E will access the well pump by foot, and will obtain water from this well for dust control purposes. MPR #3 adds no additional ground disturbance to the existing disturbance footprint, other than impacts from light foot traffic and temporary ground placement of a water hose.

**Project Compliance Incidents**

Onsite compliance monitoring by the Ecology and Environment, Inc., member of WSP (hereafter referred to as E & E) compliance team during this reporting period focused on spot-checks of ongoing construction activities. Compliance Monitors Evan Studley and Sam Hopstone visited the Sanger Substation construction site on **May 8 and 19, 2020**. CPUC Compliance Monitoring Reports that summarize observed construction activities and compliance events and verify mitigation measures (MMs) and applicant proposed measures (APMs) were completed for the site visits. These reports are attached below (Attachment 1).

Overall, the Sanger Substation Expansion Project has maintained compliance with the Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program’s (MMCRP) Compliance Plan. Communication between the CPUC/E & E compliance team and PG&E has been regular and effective; the correspondence discussed and documented compliance events, upcoming compliance-related surveys and deliverables, and the construction schedule. Agency calls between the CPUC/E & E and PG&E, along with daily schedule updates and database notifications, provided additional compliance information and construction summaries. Furthermore, PG&E’s weekly compliance status reports provided a compliance summary, a description of construction activities that occurred each week, a summary of compliance with MMCRP conditions (MMs/APMs) for biological, cultural and paleontological resources; the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP); noise and traffic control; onsite hazards; and the Worker Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP), as well as any non-compliance issues and resolutions, and public complaints, and notifications.

Mr. Michael Rosauer  
June 1, 2020  
Page 3

**Compliance Incidents and Minor Compliance Observations**

During the May 2020 reporting period, PG&E did not self-report any compliance incidents, and the CPUC did not issue any compliance incident reports.

**Noise Compliance**

During the May 2020 reporting period, there were no exceedances of the stipulated noise levels.

**Public Concerns**

No public concerns were reported during May 2020.

Sincerely,  
Ecology and Environment, Inc.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Silvia Yanez', with a horizontal line extending from the end of the signature.

Silvia Yanez  
Project Manager

cc:  
Michael Calvillo, PG&E  
Carie Montero, Parsons  
Lincoln Allen, SWCA

# ATTACHMENT 1

## CPUC COMPLIANCE MONITORING REPORTS

MAY 8 AND 19, 2020



## Sanger Substation Expansion Project CPUC Compliance Monitoring Report

<b>Project Proponent</b>	Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E)	<b>Report No.</b>	CM-CPUCDG-050820
<b>Lead Agency</b>	California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	<b>Date (mm/dd/yy)</b>	05/08/20
<b>CPUC Project Manager</b>	Billie Blanchard	<b>Monitor(s)</b>	Sam Hopstone
<b>CPUC (E &amp; E) Monitoring Manager</b>	Silvia Yanez	<b>AM/PM Weather</b>	Clear, 60°F, calm
<b>CPUC (E &amp; E) Monitoring Supervisor</b>	Angelica Oregel	<b>Start/End time</b>	06:45 AM – 07:45 AM
<b>Project NTP(s)</b>	Notice to Proceed (NTP) #2		

**SITE INSPECTION CHECKLIST** *(Based on monitor’s observations during site visit; responses do not imply that monitor observed all staff, crews, and parts of the project during this inspection)*

<b>Worker Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP) Training</b>	Yes	No	N/A
Is the WEAP training in place and does it appear to have been completed by all onsite personnel (e.g., construction workers, managers, inspectors, monitors)?	X		
<b>Erosion and Dust Control (Air and Water Quality)</b>	Yes	No	N/A
Have temporary erosion and sediment control measures (best management practices [BMPs]) been installed, in accordance with the project’s Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)?	X		
Are erosion and sediment control measures (BMPs) installed correctly (without apparent deficiencies) and functioning as intended during rain events?	X		
Are measures in place to avoid/minimize mud tracking onto public roadways?	X		
Is dust control being implemented, in accordance with the Dust Control Plan (e.g., access roads watered, haul trucks covered, dirt piles are covered with tarps, pull-outs and streets cleaned on a regular basis)?	X		
Are work areas being effectively watered prior to excavation or grading?	X		
Are measures in place to stabilize soils and effectively suppress fugitive dust?	X		
<b>Equipment</b>	Yes	No	N/A
Are vehicles maintaining speed limits: 15 miles per hour (mph) on unpaved roads/10 mph off-road?	X		
Are observed vehicles/equipment arriving onsite clean of sediment/mud and noxious weeds or other plant debris?	X		
Are observed vehicles/equipment turned off when not in use?	X		

<b>Work Areas</b>	Yes	No	N/A
Is vegetation disturbance within work areas minimized?	X		
Is exclusionary fencing or flagging in place to protect sensitive biological or cultural resources, as appropriate?	X		
Are observed vehicles, equipment, and construction personnel staying within approved work areas and on approved roads?	X		
Are trenches/excavations covered at night, or when not possible, are wildlife escape ramps installed, constructed of earth fill or wooden planks no less than 10 inches wide?	X		
<b>Biology</b>	Yes	No	N/A
Have required preconstruction surveys been completed for biological resources (special status species, raptors and other nesting birds, burrowing owls, San Joaquin kit fox), as appropriate?	X		
Are appropriate measures in place to protect sensitive habitat and/or drainages (i.e., flagging, signage, exclusion fencing, appropriate buffer distance enacted)?	X		
Is project complying with biological monitoring requirements (e.g., if required, are monitors present)?	X		
If there are wetlands or water bodies near construction activities, are adequate measures in place to avoid impacts on these features (e.g., is the refueling/maintenance buffer in place within 100 feet of the irrigation ditch)?	X		
Has wildlife (sensitive species or not) been relocated from work areas? If yes, describe below.		X	
Have impacts occurred on adjacent habitat (sensitive or not sensitive)? If yes, describe below.		X	
Did you observe any threatened or endangered species? If yes, describe below.		X	
Have there been any work stoppages for biological resources? If yes, describe below.		X	
<b>Cultural and Paleontological Resources</b>	Yes	No	N/A
Are appropriate buffers/exclusion zones for identified sensitive cultural/paleo resources (e.g., cultural sites) clearly marked and being maintained?			X
Is the project in compliance with cultural/archaeological monitoring requirements (e.g., if required, are monitors present)?	X		
Is the project in compliance with paleontological monitoring requirements (e.g., if required, are monitors present)?	X		
Have there been any work stoppages for potential archaeological, cultural, or paleontological resources? If yes, describe below.		X	
<b>Hazardous Materials</b>	Yes	No	N/A
Are hazardous materials that are stored or used onsite properly managed?	X		
Are procedures in place to prevent spills and accidental releases?	X		

Are required fire prevention and control measures in place, and no crew members, managers, or monitors are smoking onsite?	X		
Are contaminated soils properly managed for onsite storage or offsite disposal?	X		
<b>Work Hours and Noise</b>	Yes	No	N/A
Are required night lighting reduction measures in place?	X		
Is construction occurring within approved work hours?	X		
Are required noise control measures in place?	X		

**AREAS MONITORED**

Project areas on and near the substation expansion footprint, existing substation, and the temporary laydown/staging area.

**DESCRIPTION OF OBSERVED ACTIVITIES**

06:45 AM – I arrived onsite and entered through the south gate into the existing substation footprint. I checked in with SWCA Biologist Angelica Oregel. Current activity included installing foundations for switch platforms and installing closed circuit television (CCTV) wiring. Future activities include continuation of switch platform construction, CCTV hookups, and wiring installation. Ms. Oregel conducted daily sweeps for sensitive species before commencement of work (Mitigation Measure [MM] BIO-4, MM BIO-6). PG&E received approval to remove the nest buffer for the mourning dove (*Zenaida macroura*) nest in the existing substation (Photo 6). Additionally, WEAP training had been approved for the new work crew (MM BIO-1).

06:55 AM – I proceeded southwest to the detention basin and observed that the entire basin remains in good condition (Applicant Proposed Measure [APM] WQ-1) (Photo 1).

07:00 AM – A new civil crew commenced work onsite. Facilities had secondary containment (Photo 4). I proceeded north into the expansion footprint. I observed that vegetation management had commenced to reduce weeds (Photo 2). Additionally, I observed ducts were installed to connect the control buildings with the vaults directing conduit throughout the expansion footprint (Photo 3).

07:10 AM – I continued north along the west drainage swale. Both gates of the temporary staging area remained locked while the area was not in use (Photo 5).

07:20 AM – I observed crews preparing to string wire and install CCTVs in the expansion footprint work area. Equipment, construction materials, and waste were stockpiled neatly and out of the path of travel (MM HAZ-1).

07:30 AM – I continued south into the existing substation footprint and entered the construction trailer. I checked the SWPPP binder (APM GEO-2/APM WQ-1) and noted the binder has been updated to reflect inspections completed through late April. The Qualified SWPPP Practitioners (QSP) have continued monitoring activities into the beginning of the dry season.

07:45 AM – I checked in with Ms. Oregel, who had no issues to report, and exited the site through the southern gate.

**NEW SENSITIVE RESOURCE DISCOVERIES**

**MITIGATION MEASURES VERIFIED**

APM AES-3, APM BIO-11, MM BIO-1, MM BIO-2, APM GEO-2/APM WQ-1, MM HAZ-1, APM NOI-4

See additional APMs and MMs listed in the Description of Observed Activities section.

**RECOMMENDED FOLLOW-UP**

**COMPLIANCE SUGGESTIONS OR ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS**

**COMPLIANCE SUMMARY** Below please describe any Compliance Incidents (Level 1, 2, or 3) that have occurred since your last visit. If you observe a compliance issue in the field, please note this on this monitoring form. In addition, Level 1, 2, or 3 Compliance Incidents, fill out and submit a separate Compliance Incident Report Form.

- Level 0 Acceptable.** (no compliance incidents)
- Level 1: Minor Problem.** An event or observation that slightly deviates from project requirements, but does not put a resource at unpermitted risk. If you checked this box, describe the incident below and fill out a separate Compliance Incident Form.
- Level 2: Compliance Deviation.** An event or observation that deviates from project requirements and puts a resource at risk, or shows a trend toward placing resources at risk, but is corrected without affecting the resource. Repeated Level 1 Minor Problems left unaddressed may also rise to a Level 2 Compliance Deviation. If box is checked, summarize below and fill out a separate Compliance Incident Form.
- Level 3: Non-Compliance.** An event or observation that violates project requirements and affects a resource. Repeated Level 2 Compliance Deviations left unaddressed may also rise to a Level 3 Incident. An action that deviates from project requirements and has caused, or has the potential to cause major impacts on environmental resources. These actions are not in compliance with the Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program (MMCRP) APMs or MMs, permit conditions, or approval requirements (e.g., minor project changes, notice to proceed), and/or violates local, state, or federal law. If box is checked, summarize below and fill out a separate Compliance Incident Form.

**Compliance Incidents reported by PG&E’s Compliance Team**

- PG&E’s Compliance Team reported Compliance Incidents since last CPUC Compliance Monitor visit. If boxed checked, describe issues and resolution status below.

Description: (include PG&E’s report number)

**New Sensitive Resources**

- New biological, environmental, cultural/archaeological, or paleontological discovery requiring compliance with mitigation measures, permit conditions, etc., has occurred since last CPUC Compliance Monitoring visit. If checked, please describe the new discoveries and documentation/verification below.

Description:  
None.

Date	Level	Compliance Incident and Resolution	Relevant Mitigation Measure	Corresponding Level 1, 2, or 3 Report #
------	-------	------------------------------------	-----------------------------	---





--	--	--	--	--

**PREVIOUS COMPLIANCE INCIDENTS OR ITEMS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP OR RESOLVED TODAY:**



**REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS**

Date	Location	Photo	Description
05/08/20	Existing substation footprint		Photo 1 – The detention basin remains in good condition. Photo facing west.
05/08/20	Expansion footprint		Photo 2 – Vegetation management commenced in the expansion footprint. Photo facing west.

**REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS**

Date	Location	Photo	Description
05/08/20	Expansion footprint		Photo 3 – Crews have installed ducting to connect vaults to control buildings. Photo facing east.
05/08/20	Expansion footprint		Photo 4 – A new civil crew commenced work onsite. Facilities have secondary containment. Photo facing northeast.

**REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS**

Date	Location	Photo	Description
05/08/20	Expansion footprint		Photo 5 – The temporary staging area was locked while not in use. Photo facing west.
05/08/20	Existing substation footprint		Photo 6 – Mourning dove nest buffer was removed. Photo facing north.

<b>Completed by:</b>	Sam Hopstone
<b>Firm:</b>	Soar Environmental Consulting, Inc.
<b>Date:</b>	05/08/20
<b>Reviewed by:</b>	Haylie Tallon
<b>Firm:</b>	Soar Environmental Consulting, Inc.
<b>Date:</b>	05/09/20



## Sanger Substation Expansion Project CPUC Compliance Monitoring Report

<b>Lead Agency</b>	California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	<b>Date (mm/dd/yy)</b>	05/19/20
<b>CPUC Project Manager</b>	Billie Blanchard	<b>Monitor(s)</b>	Sam Hopstone
<b>CPUC (E &amp; E) Monitoring Manager</b>	Silvia Yanez	<b>AM/PM Weather</b>	Cloudy, 62°F, calm
<b>CPUC (E &amp; E) Monitoring Supervisor</b>	Angelica Oregel	<b>Start/End time</b>	10:00 AM – 11:00 AM
<b>Project NTP(s)</b>	Notice to Proceed (NTP) #2		

**SITE INSPECTION CHECKLIST** *(Based on monitor’s observations during site visit; responses do not imply that monitor observed all staff, crews, and parts of the project during this inspection)*

<b>Worker Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP) Training</b>	Yes	No	N/A
Is the WEAP training in place and does it appear to have been completed by all onsite personnel (e.g., construction workers, managers, inspectors, monitors)?	X		
<b>Erosion and Dust Control (Air and Water Quality)</b>	Yes	No	N/A
Have temporary erosion and sediment control measures (best management practices [BMPs]) been installed, in accordance with the project’s Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)?	X		
Are erosion and sediment control measures (BMPs) installed correctly (without apparent deficiencies) and functioning as intended during rain events?	X		
Are measures in place to avoid/minimize mud tracking onto public roadways?	X		
Is dust control being implemented, in accordance with the Dust Control Plan (e.g., access roads watered, haul trucks covered, dirt piles are covered with tarps, pull-outs and streets cleaned on a regular basis)?	X		
Are work areas being effectively watered prior to excavation or grading?	X		
Are measures in place to stabilize soils and effectively suppress fugitive dust?	X		
<b>Equipment</b>	Yes	No	N/A
Are vehicles maintaining speed limits: 15 miles per hour (mph) on unpaved roads/10 mph off-road?	X		
Are observed vehicles/equipment arriving onsite clean of sediment/mud and noxious weeds or other plant debris?	X		
Are observed vehicles/equipment turned off when not in use?	X		
<b>Work Areas</b>	Yes	No	N/A
Is vegetation disturbance within work areas minimized?	X		



Is exclusionary fencing or flagging in place to protect sensitive biological or cultural resources, as appropriate?	X		
Are observed vehicles, equipment, and construction personnel staying within approved work areas and on approved roads?	X		
Are trenches/excavations covered at night, or when not possible, are wildlife escape ramps installed, constructed of earth fill or wooden planks no less than 10 inches wide?	X		
<b>Biology</b>	Yes	No	N/A
Have required preconstruction surveys been completed for biological resources (special status species, raptors and other nesting birds, burrowing owls, San Joaquin kit fox), as appropriate?	X		
Are appropriate measures in place to protect sensitive habitat and/or drainages (i.e., flagging, signage, exclusion fencing, appropriate buffer distance enacted)?	X		
Is project complying with biological monitoring requirements (e.g., if required, are monitors present)?	X		
If there are wetlands or water bodies near construction activities, are adequate measures in place to avoid impacts on these features (e.g., is the refueling/maintenance buffer in place within 100 feet of the irrigation ditch)?	X		
Has wildlife (sensitive species or not) been relocated from work areas? If yes, describe below.		X	
Have impacts occurred on adjacent habitat (sensitive or not sensitive)? If yes, describe below.		X	
Did you observe any threatened or endangered species? If yes, describe below.		X	
Have there been any work stoppages for biological resources? If yes, describe below.		X	
<b>Cultural and Paleontological Resources</b>	Yes	No	N/A
Are appropriate buffers/exclusion zones for identified sensitive cultural/paleo resources (e.g., cultural sites) clearly marked and being maintained?			X
Is the project in compliance with cultural/archaeological monitoring requirements (e.g., if required, are monitors present)?	X		
Is the project in compliance with paleontological monitoring requirements (e.g., if required, are monitors present)?	X		
Have there been any work stoppages for potential archaeological, cultural, or paleontological resources? If yes, describe below.		X	
<b>Hazardous Materials</b>	Yes	No	N/A
Are hazardous materials that are stored or used onsite properly managed?	X		
Are procedures in place to prevent spills and accidental releases?	X		
Are required fire prevention and control measures in place, and no crew members, managers, or monitors are smoking onsite?	X		

Are contaminated soils properly managed for onsite storage or offsite disposal?	X		
<b>Work Hours and Noise</b>	Yes	No	N/A
Are required night lighting reduction measures in place?	X		
Is construction occurring within approved work hours?	X		
Are required noise control measures in place?	X		

**AREAS MONITORED**

Project areas on and near the substation expansion footprint, existing substation, and the temporary laydown/staging area.

**DESCRIPTION OF OBSERVED ACTIVITIES**

10:00 AM – I arrived onsite and entered through the south gate into the existing substation footprint. I checked in with SWCA Biologist Angelica Oregel and PG&E Foreman James Kacerek. Current activity included installing foundations for switch platforms, feeding wire through underground conduit, and installing closed circuit television (CCTV) wiring. Future activities include continuation of CCTV hookups, feeding wire through underground conduit, and wiring installation. Ms. Oregel conducted daily sweeps for sensitive species before commencement of work (Mitigation Measure [MM] BIO-4, MM BIO-6). PG&E received approval to install a reduced nest buffer around a western kingbird (*Tyrannus verticalis*) partial nest in the microwave tower west of the expansion footprint southern gate.

10:10 AM – I proceeded southwest to the detention basin and observed that the entire basin remained in good condition without any standing water from the previous two-day rain event (Applicant Proposed Measure [APM] WQ-1) (Photo 1).

10:15 AM – I proceeded north into the expansion footprint and observed crews feeding wires into the conduit banks in the vaults north of the control buildings, at the southern end of the expansion footprint. In previous weeks, crews installed concrete foundations above conduit bundles that cross under future paths of vehicular travel (Photo 2), and these areas were delineated to prevent pedestrian and vehicular access while the concrete cures. I travelled east toward the expansion footprint fence. At the southeast entrance of the expansion footprint, I observed the nest buffer for the western kingbird partial nest in the microwave tower (Photo 3).

10:20 AM – I continued north through the edge of the work area and observed the new crew trailers located in a central position, vehicles parked out of the path of travel, and portable toilets and wash stations were secondarily contained (MM HAZ-1) (Photo 4). I continued to the north boundary of the expansion footprint.

10:30 AM – I followed the north boundary, passed through the temporary staging area, through the northwest gate of the temporary staging area to the northeast corner of the project footprint. I observed fiber rolls and sandbags were maintained or replaced, as necessary, during vegetation management (Photo 5).

10:40 AM – I returned through the northwest gate into the expansion footprint and documented the soil stockpile covered near the north boundary of the expansion footprint (Photo 6).

10:50 AM – I continued south into the existing substation footprint. I entered the construction trailer and checked the SWPPP binder (APM GEO-2). SWPPP inspections through mid-May had been added to the binder.

11:00 AM – I checked in with Ms. Oregel and Mr. Kacerek, both of whom had no issues to report. I exited the site

through the southern gate.

**NEW SENSITIVE RESOURCE DISCOVERIES**

**MITIGATION MEASURES VERIFIED**

APM AES-3, APM BIO-11, MM BIO-1, MM BIO-2, APM GEO-2/APM WQ-1, MM HAZ-1, APM NOI-4

See additional APMs and MMs listed in the Description of Observed Activities section.

**RECOMMENDED FOLLOW-UP**

**COMPLIANCE SUGGESTIONS OR ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS**

**COMPLIANCE SUMMARY** Below please describe any Compliance Incidents (Level 1, 2, or 3) that have occurred since your last visit. If you observe a compliance issue in the field, please note this on this monitoring form. In addition, Level 1, 2, or 3 Compliance Incidents, fill out and submit a separate Compliance Incident Report Form.

- Level 0 Acceptable.** (*no compliance incidents*)
- Level 1: Minor Problem.** An event or observation that slightly deviates from project requirements, but does not put a resource at unpermitted risk. If you checked this box, describe the incident below and fill out a separate Compliance Incident Form.
- Level 2: Compliance Deviation.** An event or observation that deviates from project requirements and puts a resource at risk, or shows a trend toward placing resources at risk, but is corrected without affecting the resource. Repeated Level 1 Minor Problems left unaddressed may also rise to a Level 2 Compliance Deviation. If box is checked, summarize below and fill out a separate Compliance Incident Form.
- Level 3: Non-Compliance.** An event or observation that violates project requirements and affects a resource. Repeated Level 2 Compliance Deviations left unaddressed may also rise to a Level 3 Incident. An action that deviates from project requirements and has caused, or has the potential to cause major impacts on environmental resources. These actions are not in compliance with the Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program (MMCRP) APMs or MMs, permit conditions, or approval requirements (e.g., minor project changes, notice to proceed), and/or violates local, state, or federal law. If box is checked, summarize below and fill out a separate Compliance Incident Form.

**Compliance Incidents reported by PG&E's Compliance Team**

- PG&E's Compliance Team reported Compliance Incidents since last CPUC Compliance Monitor visit. If boxed checked, describe issues and resolution status below.

Description: (*include PG&E's report number*)

**New Sensitive Resources**

- New biological, environmental, cultural/archaeological, or paleontological discovery requiring compliance with mitigation measures, permit conditions, etc., has occurred since last CPUC Compliance Monitoring visit. If checked, please describe the new discoveries and documentation/verification below.

Description:  
None.

Date	Level	Compliance Incident and Resolution	Relevant	Corresponding
------	-------	------------------------------------	----------	---------------



			<b>Mitigation Measure</b>	<b>Level 1, 2, or 3 Report #</b>

**PREVIOUS COMPLIANCE INCIDENTS OR ITEMS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP OR RESOLVED TODAY:**



<b>REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS</b>				
<b>Date</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Photo</b>	<b>Description</b>	
05/19/20	Existing substation footprint		Photo 1 – The detention basin remained in good condition. Photo facing west.	
05/19/20	Expansion footprint		Photo 2 – Protective concrete covers were installed over conduit bundles crossing under future paths of travel. Photo facing east.	



**REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS**

Date	Location	Photo	Description
05/19/20	Expansion footprint	 <p>EE Sanger Substation N 36° 42' 30", W 119° 36' 39" 139° SE May 19, 2020 at 10:23:04 AM</p>	Photo 3 – A protective buffer was installed around the microwave tower housing western kingbird nesting materials. Photo facing south.
05/19/20	Expansion footprint	 <p>EE Sanger Substation N 36° 42' 32", W 119° 36' 39" 313° NW May 19, 2020 at 10:36:01 AM</p>	Photo 4 – A new civil crew commenced work onsite where facilities had secondary containment. Photo facing northwest.

**REPRESENTATIVE SITE PHOTOGRAPHS**

Date	Location	Photo	Description
05/19/20	Temporary staging area		Photo 5 – Fiber rolls were replaced, as necessary, and vegetation established on both sides, providing extra sediment control. Photo facing south.
05/19/20	Expansion footprint		Photo 6 – Earthmoving commenced, and the soil stockpiled was covered when not actively used. Photo facing northwest.

<b>Completed by:</b>	Sam Hopstone
<b>Firm:</b>	Soar Environmental Consulting, Inc.
<b>Date:</b>	05/19/20
<b>Reviewed by:</b>	Haylie Tallon
<b>Firm:</b>	Soar Environmental Consulting, Inc.
<b>Date:</b>	05/20/20