

INCIDENT REPORT

Project Name:	Co	ontractor:		
Date:	Parcel Number: _			
Landowner:				
General Location(s)(e.g.,Francis Creek)	:			
Specific Location(s)(e.g., POLE #, PS, L	.Z, Access Rd):			
Identified By:				
Verbal Notification? yes ☐ no ☐ No	tification Date:	Individual Notified:		
Compliance Level? 1 2 3	4 🗆			
Noncompliance Source: (check as many				
mitigation measure plan/proce drawing permit con	dition other	regulatory requirement		
Specify Source (e.g., Mitigation Measure	e BIO-6):			
Did the noncompliance result in resource				
	-			
DESCRIPTION: (Include a detailed description, including information on any resources damaged. Attach additional sheets if necessary.)				
CORRECTIVE ACTION REQUIRED.		CODDECTIVE ACTION.		
(Attach additional sheets if necessary.)	S NO DEADLINE FOR (CORRECTIVE ACTION:		
SIGNATURES:				
PG&E Environmental Compliance Lead	l:			
Name:	Signature:	Date:		
PG&E Construction Lead:				
Name:	Signature:	Date:		
Contractor Superintendent:				
Name:	Signature:	Date:		



Part A: Request Description

MPR Request	
Request Number:	[Number]
Date Requested:	[Date submitted to CPUC]
Proposed Duration/ Timing of Use:	[Start date] to [End date] [Days of the week]; [Times of the day]
Location:	[Description]
	[Approximate dimensions and acreage]
Attached Map?	□ Yes □ No
Proposed Action(s)	
[List and describe each pro	oposed action]
Purpose(s)	
[Explain why the proposed	action(s) are necessary]
Part B: Existing Condition	ons
Existing Land Uses:	[Description]
	[Any mitigation considerations]
Surrounding Land Uses:	[Description]
	[Any mitigation considerations]
Sensitive Receptors within 500 feet:	[Description]
	[Any mitigation considerations]
Environmental Recourses	[Description]
within 500 feet:	[Any mitigation considerations]
Has landowner approval	Π Yes Π No Π N/Δ

Surveys

been granted?

Landowner:

List any new survey reports under Part D, attach a copy, and describe relevant survey details under the applicable resource category listed in the Part E.

[Description]

[Name and address]

Biological Resources. Were all sites associated with the proposed action(s) surveyed for biological resources with the potential to occur in the area? If so, were survey results positive or negative? Were surveys completed during the appropriate timing and season to detect resources? If not, describe under the applicable resource category in Part E.

[Description]

Cultural Resources. Were all sites associated with the proposed action(s) surveyed for cultural resources (records search and pedestrian survey)? If so, were survey results positive or negative?

[Description]

Jurisdictional Waters. Were all sites associated with the proposed resources? If so, were survey results positive or negative?	d action(s) sur	veyed for hydrol	logic
[Description]			
Part C: Permits, Agency Approvals, and Environmenta			1 ('1
List any new permits or agency approvals under Part D, attach under the applicable resource category listed in Part E.	а сору, апа ае	escrive relevant	वस्वाड
Have all required permits, permit amendments/authorizations, or resource agencies with applicable jurisdiction? Describe if necessary		rovals been issu	ed by
[Description]			
Would the proposed action(s) conflict with permit conditions or a necessary.	agency appro	vals? Describe i	f
[Description]			
Would the proposed action(s) conflict with project applicant prolisted in Final Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MN	•	•	measures
[Description]			
Part D: Attached Materials			
List any attached materials (e.g. surveys, maps, photos, memos, Materials should be attached to the end of this form.	agency author	orizations, etc.) I	oelow.
[List attached materials]			
Part E: Final IS/MND Consistency Summary Complete the Final IS/MND Consistency Summary below and a resource category. Include a description and justification below a consistency questions were developed using the CEQA Checklish the Final IS/MND for the details on the project impact evaluation	each resource t provided in t	category as nece	essary. The
Would the proposed action(s) result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on:	No Change	Potentially Significant Change	N/A
Aesthetics (e.g., damage scenic resources or vistas, degrade the existing visual character of the site and its surroundings, or create sources of light or glare)? Final IS/MND evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation			
[Description and justification]			
Agriculture and Forestry Resources (e.g., convert Farmland to nonagricultural use, or create a conflict with existing agricultural zoning or a Williamson Act)?			
Final IS/MND evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation			
[Description and justification]			
Air Quality (e.g. produce additional emissions, or expose sensitive receptors to additional pollutants)?			

Final IS/MND evaluation: Less than Significant

[Description and justification]		
Biological Resources (e.g., cause an adverse effect to sensitive or special-status species, or impact riparian, wetland, or any other sensitive habitat, or conflict with local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources)? Final IS/MND evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation [Description and justification]		
<u> </u>		-
Cultural and Tribal Cultural Resources (e.g., cause adverse change to a historical, archeological, or tribal cultural resource)?		
Final IS/MND evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation		
[Description and justification]		_
Geology and Soils (e.g., cause or expose people or structures to geologic or soil hazards, including erosion or loss of topsoil)?		
Final IS/MND evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation		
[Description and justification]		_
Greenhouse Gas Emissions (e.g., generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?		
Final IS/MND evaluation: Less than Significant		
[Description and justification]		_
Hazards and Hazardous Materials (e.g., create or increase the exposure of people or structures to hazardous materials or wildland fires, involve the use of additional hazardous materials or equipment, or interfere with an adopted emergency plan)? Final IS/MND evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation		
[Description and justification]		
Hydrology and Water Quality (e.g., degrade water quality, discharge waste or sediment, deplete groundwater, alter the existing drainage pattern, create additional runoff water or polluted runoff, place structures in a 100-year flood hazard area, or expose people or structures to a significant risk involving flooding)? Final IS/MND evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation		
[Description and justification]		
Land Use (e.g., conflict with a land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project, or conflict with a habitat conservation plan)?		_
Final IS/MND evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation		
[Description and justification]		
Noise (e.g., expose sensitive receptors to additional noise or vibration)?		
Final IS/MND evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation		
[Description and justification]		

Paleontological Resources (e.g., cause adverse change to a paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature)? Final IS/MND evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation		
[Description and justification]		
Population and Housing (e.g., induce substantial population growth in an area, or displace substantial numbers of people or housing)? Final IS/MND evaluation: Less than Significant		
[Description and justification]		
Recreation (e.g., increases the use of, or cause adverse effects to, parks or other recreational facilities)? Final IS/MND evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation		
[Description and justification]		
Transportation and Traffic (e.g., increase traffic congestion or degrade performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation, or increase hazards due to a design feature)? Final IS/MND evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation		
[Description and justification]		
Utilities and Public Services (e.g., result in construction of new, or expansion of existing, water facilities, stormwater drainage facilities, require additional water entitlements, or creation of new solid waste disposal needs)? Final IS/MND evaluation: Less than Significant with Mitigation [Description and justification]		
[Doson phon and Jastineation]		

TEMPORARY EXTRA WORKSPACE REQUEST FORM



Request Description			
Request Number:	[Number]		
Date Requested:	[Date submitted to CPUC]		
Proposed Duration/	[Start date] to [End date]		
Timing of Use:	[Days of the week]; [Times of the day]		
Location:	[Description]		
	[Approximate dimensions and acreage]		
Attached Map?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
Proposed Uses			
[Description]			
Existing Conditions			
Existing Land Uses:	[Description]		
	[Any mitigation considerations]		
Surrounding Land Uses:	[Description]		
	[Any mitigation considerations]		
Sensitive Receptors within 500 feet:	[Description]		
	[Any mitigation considerations]		
Environmental Recourses within 500 feet:	[Description]		
	[Any mitigation considerations]		
Has landowner approval been granted?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A		
	[Description]		
Landowner:	[Name and address]		
Condition after Use			
[Description]			
[Any mitigation considerati	ons]		
Checklist			
1. Have applicable landow	ners approved the location, proposed use, and duration?	☐ Yes	□ No
2. Is the TEWS located in a previously disturbed area?		□ Yes	□ No
3. Are there sensitive resources or land uses within or in proximity to the TEWS?		□ Yes	□ No
4. Would use of the TEWS result in any significant environmental impacts?		□ Yes	□ No
Note: Answering "No" to questions 1 or 2, or "Yes" to questions 3 or 4 may be cause for denial.			

Review and Approval

Standard Conditions of Approval

• The CPUC shall review and approve or deny TEWS requests within four business days of receiving a completed form.

TEMPORARY EXTRA WORKSPACE REQUEST FORM

- CPUC approval is valid once a signature is provided on a hardcopy or a confirmation email has been provided with the attached TEWS.
- Use of an approved TEWS is limited to 60 days or less.
- No hazardous materials may be stored at the site.
- Use of an approved TEWS must comply with all mitigation measures, plan procedures, and local ordinances that are applicable to the project and TEWS activities.
- Use of an approved TEWS shall not exceed the limitations described in the TEWS request form. Amended requests must be submitted to CPUC for review and approval.
- The CPUC shall be informed of any complaints regarding an approved TEWS within 24 hours.
- An approved TEWS may be revoked at any time of the conditions of approval are not followed.

Site-specific Conditions of Approval [Description] Names and Dates of Approval PG&E Compliance Team **MMCRP Role Date of Approval** Name [Enter] [Enter] [Enter construction lead] [Enter compliance lead] [Enter] [Enter] **CPUC Monitoring Team MMCRP Role** Date of Approval Name [Enter] [Enter monitoring lead] Signature or Date of Confirmation Email: [Enter] [Enter]