



Questions regarding consideration of property

1 message

Cooley Josie <josiecooley@yahoo.com>

Sun, Nov 1, 2015 at 8:31 PM

Reply-To: Cooley Josie <josiecooley@yahoo.com>

To: "sycamorepenasquitos@panoramaenv.com" <sycamorepenasquitos@panoramaenv.com>

During the informational workshop, it was mentioned that property value would not be a consideration. Does this mean that the effect on property values is not a consideration for the project as a whole, or only for the EIR? I do not understand why the effect on property values would not be a consideration in the proceeding conducted by the CPUC administrative law judge. Having EMF levels as high as the predicted values would make our houses nearly unsellable. This is a community of four to five bedroom homes in one of the best school districts in San Diego. It is a community primarily composed of families with young children. The current research into the risks of EMF does not conclusively state that there is no risk to the health of our children.

I understand the need to consider the rate payers in such a costly decision like undergrounding. It seems it would be fairer to distribute the cost of undergrounding to all the people who will benefit from receiving reliable power than asking our community to pay a much higher burden by having the 230 kV lines above ground. It would be a tremendous, permanent cost to our peace and quiet in coronal noise, to our scenic views obstructed by the unsightly towers, and to our property value because of the large increase in EMF levels.

With Gratitude,

Josie Bravo