



I do not want the “Proposed Project” and I instead prefer “Alternative 5”, according to the EIR

1 message

Thaddeus Braun <tbraun@thebraunhome.com>

Mon, Nov 2, 2015 at 7:36 AM

To: "sycamorepenasquitos@panoramaenv.com" <sycamorepenasquitos@panoramaenv.com>

Cc: "MarkKersey@sandiego.gov" <MarkKersey@sandiego.gov>

I do not want the “Proposed Project” and I instead prefer “Alternative 5”.

I want to avoid the proximity of additional high-voltage to homes and schools and the diminishment of home values due to aesthetics. I do not like the added risk of fires from the added overhead lines as SDGE has already proven they cannot handle it all the time. Additionally, I NEVER want any visual blight obscuring our favorite views of our local PQ mountains. Aviation balls are not welcomed, and I will oppose any project that adds these visual disturbances.

Alternative 5 uses a routing that follows existing roadways that are south of PQ. There are no overhead sections so no homes or schools end up with the aesthetic or health risks that an overhead 230kv line presents. It is a great alternative.

---Thaddeus Braun

[---tbraun@thebraunhome.com](mailto:tbraun@thebraunhome.com)