

2 PUBLIC REVIEW PROCESS

2.1 INTRODUCTION

This section includes a list of the public agencies, organizations, and individuals who provided comments on the Draft EIR, copies of written comments received on the Draft EIR, and responses to those comments. As required by CEQA, these responses to comments address significant environmental issues raised by commenters (PRC § 21091(d); CEQA Guidelines Sections 15088(a), 15132).

2.2 OPPORTUNITIES FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE DRAFT EIR

2.2.1 Notification

On September 17, 2015, the CPUC published and distributed the Notice of Availability (NOA) of a Draft EIR to inform interested local, regional, and state agencies, and the public that a Draft EIR had been prepared and published for the Proposed Project. The CPUC mailed 17,325 copies of the NOA to residents and property owners within 1,000 feet of the Proposed Project and each alternative alignment. The CPUC mailed 144 copies of the NOA to agencies, organizations, and tribes. Fifteen copies of the NOA and one copy of the Notice of Completion were sent to the State Clearinghouse for distribution. The NOA presented the background, purpose, description, and location of the Proposed Project, as well as the contact name to request additional information about the Project. The NOA solicited written comments on the Draft EIR during a 45-day comment period (September 17, 2015 through November 2, 2015) and provided information on forthcoming public informational workshops. The CPUC granted six parties an extension of the comment period until November 16, 2015, upon request.

In addition to the NOA, the CPUC notified the public about the September 28 and 29, 2015 public informational workshops to receive comments on the Draft EIR through newspaper legal advertisements, the Proposed Project website, and fliers posted in the community in 48 locations (see Attachment 1: Draft EIR Public Review Materials of this Final EIR). The CPUC published legal advertisements in the San Diego Union Tribune on September 17, 2015 and September 27, 2015. Additionally, electronic copies of the NOA and the Draft EIR were posted on the CPUC's website at:

http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/Environment/info/panoramaenv/Sycamore_Penasquitos/index.html.

The NOA, newspaper legal advertisement, and the public informational workshop sign-in sheets are provided in Attachment 1. Notifications provided basic project information and the date, time, and location of the public informational workshops. The public was encouraged in the NOA, newspaper legal advertisements, and at the public informational workshops to

2 PUBLIC REVIEW PROCESS

submit written comments and concerns regarding the Proposed Project and the adequacy of the Draft EIR at the public workshop, by mail, facsimile, or email to the CPUC.

2.2.2 Public Informational Workshops

The CPUC held public informational workshops in San Diego on September 28 and 29, 2015 to accept comments on the Draft EIR from agencies, organizations, and individuals. The informational workshop times and location are summarized in Table 2.2-1.

Table 2.2-1 Information Workshops on the Draft EIR

Day and Date	Time(s)	Address
Monday, September 28, 2015	7 PM to 9 PM	DoubleTree Golf Resort San Diego
Tuesday, September 29, 2015	2 PM to 4 PM 7 PM to 9 PM	Heritage Ballroom 14455 Peñasquitos Drive San Diego, CA 92129

A presentation (see Attachment 1: Draft EIR Public Review Materials of this Final EIR) was given at the September 28 and 29, 2015 informational workshops that included an overview of the CPUC's decision-making process, including:

- The environmental review process
- Project background
- Project description
- Summary of significant unavoidable impacts of the Proposed Project
- Project alternatives
- Public comment process

Following the presentation, attendees were welcome to visit informational stations to ask clarifying questions about the Proposed Project. All attendees were encouraged to submit written comments on the Draft EIR.

2.3 COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT EIR

The CPUC received 135 comment letters from various federal and state agencies, organizations, SDG&E, and individual members of the public. Ten comment letters were received after the end of the comment period; these comments were accepted and are included in this Final EIR. The CPUC has considered all comments and provides responses to all comments in this document.

2.4 LIST OF COMMENTERS

Table 2.4-1 lists the persons and agencies that submitted comments on the Draft EIR. Comments within each comment letter are numbered (e.g., A1-1, A1-2); these comment numbers are also provided in Table 2.4-1. Each comment letter is followed by the corresponding responses. Comment letters are presented in the order received.

2 PUBLIC REVIEW PROCESS

Comment letters are grouped in the following categories:

- A. Public Agencies and Tribal Governments
- B. Community Groups, Private Companies, and Private Organizations
- C. Private Individuals
- D. SDG&E

The comment letters and comment responses are included in Section 3, below. If revisions were made to the EIR based on the comments, the revisions are provided with the response to the specific comment and are indicated in the text of this Final EIR with ~~striketrough~~ for deletions of text and underline for additions of text.

2.4.1 Summary of Comments

Agency and organization comments included topics such as technical clarifications and corrections, concerns regarding underground utilities, Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) notification, impacts on biological resources, impacts on traffic, cumulative impacts, and preference for the environmentally superior alternative. Individual comments included concerns about health and safety; impacts on property values; impacts on traffic and emergency access; support for the environmentally superior alternative; and rejection of the Proposed Project and of Alternatives 3 and 4. Applicant comments included technical clarifications and corrections, and provision of supplemental data on minor project refinements and alternatives refinements.

Table 2.4-1 Commenters on the Draft EIR and Corresponding Comment and Response Numbers

Comment Letter Designation	Date of Letter	Commenter	Response Numbers
Public Agencies and Tribal Governments			
Federal			
A1	11/12/15	J. H. Lias, United States Marine Corps, Marine Corps Air Station	A1-1 and A1-2
A2	11/18/15	Karen A. Goebel, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	A2-1 through A2-9
State			
A2	11/18/15	Gail K. Sevrens, California Department of Fish and Wildlife	A2-1 through A2-9
A3	10/27/15	Jacob Armstrong, California Department of Transportation	A3-1 through A3-3
A4	10/30/15	Joseph Street, California Coastal Commission	A4-1 through A4-6
Tribal Governments			
A5	09/22/15	Shasta C. Gaughen, Pala Tribal Historic Preservation Office	A5-1

2 PUBLIC REVIEW PROCESS

Comment Letter Designation	Date of Letter	Commenter	Response Numbers
A6	10/29/15	Joseph Ontiveros, Soboba Band of Luiseño Indians	A6-1 and A6-2
Local			
A7	09/28/15	Eric Rubalcava, City of San Diego Public Utilities Department	A7-1 through A7-4
A8	10/07/15	Mike Faramarzi, City of San Diego Public Utilities Department	A8-1
A9	11/02/15	Myra Herrmann, City of San Diego	A9-1 through A9-39
Community Groups, Private Companies, and Private Organizations			
B1	09/28/15	Sandra Wetzel-Smith, Scripps Miramar Ranch Planning Group	B1-1 and B1-2
B2	09/28/15	Wallace Wulfeck, Scripps Miramar Ranch Planning Group	B2-1 through B2-3
B3	10/24/15	Frank Landis, California Native Plant Society San Diego	B3-1 through B3-3
B4	11/02/15	Delphine Hou, California Independent System Operator Corporation	B4-1
B5	11/02/15	Gary Stanford, San Diego Unified School District	B5-1 through B5-4
B6	11/02/15	Joyce Kinnear, City of Santa Clara Electric Department, Silicon Valley Power	B6-1 and B6-2
B7	11/11/15	Wallace H. Wulfeck, Scripps Miramar Ranch Planning Group	B7-1 through B7-26
Individuals			
C1	09/18/15	Paul Crandell	C1-1
C2	09/18/15	Art Lewis	C2-1
C3	09/19/15	Scott Ellis	C3-1 through C3-3
C4	09/19/15	Jessica Jensen	C4-1
C5	09/21/15	Hadi Parandeh	C5-1
C6	09/22/15	Andrew Sefkow	C6-1 through C6-4
C7	09/22/15	Jeff Turk	C7-1
C8	09/27/15	Med Dyer	C8-1
C9	09/28/15	Anonymous	C9-1
C10	09/28/15	Ming Hu	C10-1 through C10-3
C11	09/29/15	Jan Kane	C11-1

2 PUBLIC REVIEW PROCESS

Comment Letter Designation	Date of Letter	Commenter	Response Numbers
C12	09/29/15	Marsha Ruether	C12-1 through C12-5
C13	09/30/15	Katharine Farrow	C13-1 through C13-6
C14	09/30/15	Anna Flournoy	C14-1 through C14-3
C15	09/30/15	Daniel and Tracy Jackson	C15-1 through C15-3
C16	09/30/15	Grazyna Krajewska	C16-1 through C16-3
C17	09/30/15	Donna Nasielski	C17-1 through C17-6
C18	09/30/15	Julie North	C18-1 through C18-4
C19	10/03/15	Amjat Rajput	C19-1
C20	10/04/15	Paritosh Khanna	C20-1
C21	10/04/15	Divya Krishnamoorthy	C21-1
C22	10/05/15	Susan Baghbeh	C22-1
C23	10/06/15	Todd and Heather Saier	C23-1
C24	10/07/15	Antonia Mahoney	C24-1 and C24-2
C25	10/07/15	Joseph and Allison Nebel	C25-1 through C25-3
C26	10/07/15	Hadi Parandeh	C26-1 through C26-5
C27	10/07/15	Net Shopper	C27-1 through C27-7
C28	10/07/15	Yibin Zhang	C28-1 through C28-3
C29	10/08/15	Katherine Cresto	C29-1
C30	10/08/15	Wei Yi	C30-1 through C30-4
C31	10/09/15	Anne Branson	C31-1 through C31-5
C32	10/11/15	Henry Chong	C32-1 through C32-6
C33	10/11/15	Yi Louie Lu	C33-1
C34	10/12/15	Tracy Nguyen	C34-1
C35	10/13/15	Hamed Abrishami	C35-1 through C35-3
C36	10/13/15	Fatemeh Kashfi	C36-1 through C36-4
C37	10/14/15	Grazyna Krajewska	C37-1
C38	10/14/15	Jim Wilk	C38-1
C39	10/14/15	Linda Willms	C39-1 through C39-6
C40	10/14/15	Suzy Winston	C40-1
C41	10/14/15	Zilin Ying	C41-1 through C41-4
C42	10/17/15	Kevin Yang	C42-1

2 PUBLIC REVIEW PROCESS

Comment Letter Designation	Date of Letter	Commenter	Response Numbers
C43	10/18/15	Josie Bravo	C43-1
C44	10/18/15	Guowei Wu	C44-1 and C44-2
C45	10/19/15	Emily Feng	C45-1 through C45-6
C46	10/19/15	Miranda Feng	C46-1 through C46-6
C47	10/20/15	Patrick Berry	C47-1 and C47-2
C48	10/20/15	Lauraine Dwyer	C48-1 through C48-3
C49	10/21/15 and 10/23/15	Josie Bravo	C49-1 through C49-4
C50	10/21/15	Theresa McCarty	C50-1 through C50-5
C51	10/24/15	David and Susan Skaar	C51-1 through C51-7
C52	10/27/15	Helen Dominguez	C52-1 through C51-3
C53	10/28/15	Scott Gellerman	C53-1 and C53-2
C54	10/30/15	Neil Berkley	C54-1 through C54-3
C55	10/30/15	Sean Clayton	C55-1 and C55-2
C56	10/30/15	Allen Jackel	C56-1 and C56-2
C57	10/30/15	Sherry Ledakis	C57-1 and C57-2
C58	10/30/15	Wuxiang Liao	C58-1 and C58-2
C59	10/30/15	Irina Masarky	C59-1
C60	10/30/15	Irina Masarky	C60-1 and C60-2
C61	10/30/15	Jeff, Joanne, Leah and Madison Tibali	C61-1 and C61-2
C62	10/30/15	Wei Zhang and Yun Liao	C62-1 and C62-2
C63	10/30/15	Wenyue (Lydia) Zhang	C63-1 and C63-2
C64	10/31/15	De Diep	C64-1 and C64-2
C65	10/31/15	Julie Diep	C65-1 and C65-2
C66	10/31/15	Jessica Hunt	C66-1 and C66-2
C67	10/31/15	Jay Libman	C67-1 and C67-2
C68	10/31/15	Marc and Tammy Rubenzik	C68-1 and C68-2
C69	10/31/15	Sukumar and Saritha Sakamuri	C69-1 and C69-2
C70	10/31/15	Han Suh	C70-1 and C70-2
C71	10/31/15	Stephen Thunder	C71-1 and C71-2
C72	11/01/15	Rachel Bittker	C72-1 and C72-2

2 PUBLIC REVIEW PROCESS

Comment Letter Designation	Date of Letter	Commenter	Response Numbers
C73	11/01/15	Wei Wang, Amy Berkley, Gabrielle G. Doss, Steve Harden, Minh Le, Edward J. Lowndes, Steven D. Hawley, Carolyn Hawley, Pablo Bravo, Josie Bravo, Mike Mitrani, Jian-Sen Li, April Fink, Mathew Fink, Mark Selecky, Andrew Sefkow, Paul Russel, Deborah T. Rana, Ladan Eblagh, Troy Morrison, Jeanine Neeley, Scott Neeley, Jeff Little, Philip Harrison, Jeremy Cohn, Craig Cohen, Chris Breault, William Crabb, Swarna Navubothu, Sharon Thunder, Charles J. Ingber, Vandana Prasad, Paola Tempesti, Barbara Imamoto, Manisha Kanodia	C73-1 and C73-2
C74	11/01/15	Josie Bravo	C74-1 through C74-3
C75	11/01/15	Jeffrey Brown	C75-1 and C75-2
C76	11/01/15	Jack Cooper	C76-1 through C76-3
C77	11/01/15	Patricia Elliot and Mark Paine	C77-1 through C71-3
C78	11/01/15	Brad Hatch	C78-1
C79	11/01/15	Patricia Hatch	C79-1 and C79-2
C80	11/01/15	Dan Huang	C80-1
C81	11/01/15	Tracy and Daniel Jackson	C81-1 and C81-2
C82	11/01/15	Jieun Kim	C82-1 and C82-2
C83	11/01/15	Jose Langenauer	C83-1 and C83-2
C84	11/01/15	Ray and Kathy Liu	C84-1 and C84-2
C85	11/01/15	Christina Mannion	C85-1 through C85-3
C86	11/01/15	Laura Moran	C86-1
C87	11/01/15	Ansha Purwar	C87-1 and C87-2
C88	11/01/15	Chris Rosin	C88-1 and C88-2
C89	11/01/15	Sharon Schwab	C89-1 and C89-2
C90	11/01/15	Sivakumar Vadivelu and Porkodi Ramasamy	C90-1 and C90-2
C91	11/01/15	Sumarlin William	C91-1 and C91-2
C92	11/01/15	Bo Xia	C92-1 and C92-2
C93	11/01/15	Zhou Xiaohong	C93-1 and C93-2
C94	11/01/15	Matt and Ayesha Zierhut	C94-1 and C94-2
C95	11/02/15	Thaddeus Braun	C95-1 through C95-5
C96	11/02/15	Toni Church	C96-1 and C96-2

2 PUBLIC REVIEW PROCESS

Comment Letter Designation	Date of Letter	Commenter	Response Numbers
C97	11/02/15	Jim Donnelly	C97-1
C98	11/02/15	Kate Glenn	C98-1 through C98-3
C99	11/02/15	Sandy Jackel	C99-1 and C99-2
C100	11/02/15	Daehyon Kim	C100-1 through C100-4
C101	11/02/15	Pamela Koop	C101-1 through C101-5
C102	11/02/15	Gayannah Krasnyanskiy	C102-1 and C102-2
C103	11/02/15	Levi Kuknariev	C103-1 and C103-2
C104	11/02/15	Donna Likes	C104-1 and C104-2
C105	11/02/15	Brian Miller	C105-1 through C105-9
C106	11/02/15	M. K. Nasab	C106-1 through C106-3
C107	11/02/15	Guy Oshiro	C107-1 through C107-4
C108	11/02/15	Sandi Oshiro	C108-1 through C108-4
C109	11/02/15	Sunju Park	C109-1 through C109-3
C110	11/02/15	Harvey Payne	C110-1 through C110-8
C111	11/02/15	Phoebe Senowitz	C111-1
C112	11/02/15	Jamie VanderWal	C112-1 and C112-2
C113	11/08/15	Raymond and Mali Koosha	C113-1
C114	11/10/15	Lianle Shao and Yurong Guo	C114-1 and C114-2
C115	11/10/15	Aimee Farr	C115-1 and C115-2
C116	11/13/15	Christopher and Caroline Davis	C116-1 and C116-2
C117	11/16/15	Thomas and Lisa Young	C117-1 and C117-2
C118	11/17/15	Dennis Corcoran	C118-1
Applicant			
D1	11/16/15	David L. Geier, San Diego Gas and Electric Company	D1-1 through D1-8
D2	11/16/15	David L. Geier, San Diego Gas and Electric Company	D2-1 through D2-76
D3	11/16/15	David L. Geier, San Diego Gas and Electric Company	D3-1 through D3-258