BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking Regarding Policies, Procedures and Rules for the Low Income Energy Efficiency Programs of California's Energy Utilities.) Rulemaking 07-01-042) (Filed January 25, 2007)
Southern California Edison Company's (U 338-E) Application for Approval of SCE's "Change A Light, Change The World," Compact Fluorescent Lamp Program.	Application 07-05-010 (Filed May 10, 2007))

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY'S (U 338-E) REPLY TO THE COMMENTS
OF THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE AND THE JOINT PROTEST OF DIVISION OF
RATEPAYER ADVOCATES AND THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK REGARDING
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY'S "CHANGE A LIGHT, CHANGE THE
WORLD" COMPACT FLUORESCENT LAMP PROGRAM APPLICATION

MICHAEL D. MONTOYA MARICRUZ PRADO STACIE SCHAFFER

Attorneys for SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Post Office Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770 Telephone: (626) 302-3712

Facsimile: (626) 302-7740

E-mail: stacie.schaffer@sce.com

Dated: June 25, 2007

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking Regarding Policies, Procedures and Rules for the Low Income Energy Efficiency Programs of California's Energy Utilities.) Rulemaking 07-01-042) (Filed January 25, 2007)
Southern California Edison Company's (U 338-E) Application for Approval of SCE's "Change A Light, Change The World," Compact Fluorescent Lamp Program.	Application 07-05-010 (Filed May 10, 2007))

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY'S (U 338-E) REPLY TO THE COMMENTS
OF THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE AND THE JOINT PROTEST OF DIVISION OF
RATEPAYER ADVOCATES AND THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK REGARDING
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY'S "CHANGE A LIGHT, CHANGE THE
WORLD" COMPACT FLUORESCENT LAMP PROGRAM APPLICATION

I.

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Rule 2.6(e) of the California Public Utilities Commission's (Commission) Rules of Practice and Procedure, Southern California Edison Company (SCE) replies to the comments of The Greenlining Institute (Greenlining)¹ and the joint protest of the Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA)

Comments of The Greenlining Institute on Application of Southern California Edison Company, filed May 24, 2007 (Greenlining's Comments).

and The Utility Reform Network (TURN)² regarding SCE's "Change A Light, Change The World," Compact Fluorescent Lamp Program ("CFL Program") Application.³

SCE appreciates Greenlining's support of the proposed CFL Program and its intent to fully cooperate with SCE, the Commission and other utilities that voluntarily develop big, bold and innovative strategies for improving energy efficiency. DRA and TURN raise some concerns about the CFL Program in their protest. These concerns, however, have already been considered by SCE in the design of the CFL Program and are addressed further below. Neither Greenlining's comments, nor DRA and TURN's protest raise issues of fact that would require hearings in this proceeding. Accordingly, SCE respectfully requests that the Commission approve SCE's CFL Program Application without delay.

II.

BACKGROUND

SCE's proposed CFL Program will set the standard in California for national participation in the ENERGY STAR® "Change a Light, Change the World" campaign. The CFL Program is intended to place the most cost-effective and most practical energy-saving appliance – the CFL – in the hands of those customers most in need of bill savings. The CFL Program represents a unique opportunity to provide immediate bills savings to low income customers; immediate resource benefits and greenhouse gas reductions; a timely leveraging opportunity provided by the national ENERGY STAR® "Change a Light, Change the World" campaign; and reductions in the CARE subsidy that will benefit all ratepayers as a result of reduced energy consumption by low income customers participating in the CFL Program.

⁻

Joint Protest of the Division of Ratepayer Advocates and The Utility Reform Network on Southern California Edison's Application for Approval of its "Change a Light, Change the World," Compact Fluorescent Lamp Program, filed June 13, 2007.

A.07-05-101, filed May 10, 2007.

See Testimony of Southern California Edison Company in Support of Application for Approval of SCE's "Change a Light, Change the World," Compact Fluorescent Lamp Program, dated May 10, 2007 (SCE's Testimony).

SCE notes that Disability Rights Advocates (DisabRA), in previous filings in R.07-01-042, advocated for the distribution of CFLs to low income households as a first phase low income energy efficiency measure, stating that "...the provision of CFLs to qualified households could serve as an important outreach tool, educating utilities about their target population and drawing people into the [LIEE] program by providing a tangible benefit. As DisabRA conceived it, the provision of CFLs would be the first step in providing energy efficiency Continued on the next page

As proposed in SCE's Application, through the CFL Program SCE plans to reach one million homes through a household-to-household outreach campaign in low income neighborhoods with an offer to provide six CFLs per home at no cost to the household in return for a customer pledge to immediately remove existing incandescent bulbs and replace them with CFLs. SCE will partner with community-based organizations (CBOs), faith-based organizations (FBOs), and other partners to conduct this campaign with the goal of reaching 926,000 homes with six CFLs and 74,000 households currently planned for treatment under SCE's existing Low Income Energy Efficiency (LIEE) program with two CFLs in addition to the CFLs received through LIEE. SCE's Application seeks approval of a \$22 million budget for the CFL Program, and SCE plans to reach these one million homes by December 31, 2008. As proposed, SCE's CFL Program is expected to generate 280.6 million kilowatt hours of annual energy savings, 23.7 MW of demand reduction, and 156,700 tons of CO₂ emission reductions, which is the equivalent of removing nearly 30,000 cars off of California roads.

The CFL Program and SCE's desire to vigorously implement such a bold strategy are a direct result of the Commission's vision to encourage investor-owned utilities to aggressively pursue energy efficiency as the first choice for meeting California's energy needs and to make energy, an essential commodity, affordable to disadvantaged customers. As such, SCE looks forward to working side-by-side with the Commission's progressive leadership to implement the CFL Program.

III.

COMMENTS

A. SCE Appreciates the Support of Greenlining

SCE appreciates Greenlining's support and its enthusiasm for big, bold strategies to increase energy efficiency. This reply clarifies and builds upon some of the points made by Greenlining in its comments.

Continued from the previous page

measures so as to reach more people with energy savings sooner." See Disability Rights Advocates' Reply Comments on LIEE Program Objectives and Goals, dated May 8, 2007, p. 5.

See SCE's Testimony, p. 2.

1. SCE Will Partner with a Broad, Experienced and Diverse Group of Community and Faith Based Organizations to Deliver the CFL Program

SCE agrees with Greenlining that SCE should partner with a broad group of community based organizations (CBOs) and faith based organizations (FBOs) with deep roots in the community, and that such organizations should be effectively recruited and properly compensated for their efforts. As described in more detail in SCE's Testimony, SCE plans to partner with a broad, diverse and experienced group of CBOs and FBOs to deliver the CFL Program and fairly compensate them for their efforts. Working with community organizations, such as CBOs and FBOs, is a proven approach to gaining community trust and support. CBOs and FBOs have built-in, existing networks, and have gained the experience and trust of their local communities. This experience and trust allows SCE to improve access to customers in order to increase awareness of energy efficiency programs, and proper disposal practices. SCE welcomes any recommendations that Greenlining, or other interested parties, may have of specific community organizations that would be willing to partner with SCE to deliver the CFL Program.

SCE will also fairly compensate the CBOs and FBOs who deliver the CFL Program. As described in more detail below (and in SCE's Testimony), for each household that receives educational materials, receives a CARE application, receives a six-CFL pack, and signs a pledge to install the CFLs in their home, \$10 will be provided to the canvassing organization. SCE believes that this is fair and reasonable compensation that will make the canvassing organizations' participation in the CFL Program worth their while.

2. SCE Will Use Ethnic Media Outlets to Advertise the CFL Program

SCE agrees with Greenlining that ethnic media outlets should be used to promote the CFL Program. As discussed in SCE's Testimony, as part of the overall marketing and outreach strategy for promoting SCE's CFL Program, SCE will be looking at the specific targeted communities and the

Id., pp. 7-8; Appendix B to SCE's Testimony, B-4.

[§] *Id.*, pp. 10-11; B-11.

ethnic communities represented therein (e.g., Hispanic, Chinese and African-American communities), and developing in-paper advertising with relevant messaging points about the CFL Program. SCE will also be looking at the ethnic newspapers in those communities and developing in-paper ads in the relevant languages to reach the residents who rely on those publications for local information.

Additionally, SCE will develop CFL fact sheets that will further educate customers about, among other things, energy efficiency, the impact that replacing just one incandescent light bulb with a CFL can have on the environment, and how taking steps like this will help everyone improve the environment. These fact sheets will be distributed along with the CFLs in English as well as ethnic/inlanguage versions. SCE will work closely with the CBOs and FBOs to ensure that the appropriate facts sheets are provided to such communities.

3. SCE Will Educate Customers About the Proper Disposal of Spent CFLs

SCE also agrees with Greenlining that education on the safe disposal of CFLs is imperative. As part of the CFL Program, canvassers will be providing customers with educational materials on the proper disposal of CFLs. Canvassers will also remind customers to keep the materials for use when the CFLs stop operating to ensure that customers will know how to properly and safely dispose of spent bulbs. SCE is hopeful that its CFL Program can increase awareness among the general population about the proper disposal of CFLs and highlight to manufacturers and suppliers of CFLs the importance of education on the safe disposal of CFLs.

_

According to the EPA, "CFLs contain a very small amount of mercury sealed within the glass tubing – an average of 5 milligrams, which is roughly equivalent to an amount that would cover the tip of a ball-point pen. No mercury is released when the bulbs are intact or in use. By comparison, older thermometers contain about 500 milligrams of mercury. It would take 100 CFLs to equal that amount." The EPA "recommends that consumers take advantage of local recycling options for compact fluorescent light bulbs" http://www.energystar.gov/ia/partners/promotions/change_light/downloads/Fact_Sheet_Mercury.pdf.

B. The Concerns of DRA and TURN Should Not Delay the Commission's Approval of the CFL Program

1. SCE's Delivery and Outreach Approach is Tailored to Maximize Participation in the CFL Program

DRA and TURN raise concerns about SCE's proposed household-to-household delivery and outreach approach. While SCE appreciates DRA and TURN's concerns, SCE's proposed CFL Program uses a variety of strategies to reach the targeted population and is designed to mitigate obstacles to reaching customers through canvassing, such as the fear of opening doors to strangers, language barriers, and cultural differences. Through the delivery of its low income assistance programs, SCE has considerable experience addressing barriers to program delivery and has used lessons-learned to design a CFL Program that will maximize customer participation. Further, as described in more detail below, SCE will be conducting immediate and continuous measurement and evaluation of the CFL Program that will allow SCE to alter program delivery and outreach, if necessary, to ensure a successful program. As a result, the small-scale pilot program that DRA and TURN suggest is not only unnecessary, but will delay the achievement of immediate energy and bill savings and drive up administrative costs.

SCE has developed several strategies to maximize the effectiveness of the household-to-household delivery approach. For instance, SCE plans to work with CBOs, FBOs, capitation contractors, 10 various local governments, and other community groups throughout SCE's service territory in order to increase the probability of community acceptance of the CFL Program so that customers are not fearful of opening their doors to canvassers. By using local organizations, canvassers are more likely to identify with the culture, language and demographics of the community. Further, SCE believes that customers will either have a relationship with the canvasser's organization or be familiar with the organization's name, thus establishing a level of trust that will allow the delivery of CFLs.

Under the CARE program, SCE pays a capitation fee to entities for each new customer they help enroll in CARE.

Additionally, in order to increase awareness of the CFL Program, SCE has built into its outreach strategy opportunities for "Awareness Events" where local residents can receive their six CFLs and learn about, among other things, how they can take steps to protect the environment by reducing greenhouse gas emissions and how to properly and safely dispose of spent CFLs. These one-time community events will be followed-up by a canvassing of the neighborhood to distribute CFLs to families who did not receive them at the community event.

DRA and TURN also claim that SCE's CFL Proposal does not take into consideration that some low-income energy bills may be included as part of rent and households may therefore not have incentives to use CFLs. On the contrary, SCE plans to communicate with master-metered account holders to encourage their involvement – and their tenants' involvement – with the CFL Program.

Although master-metered accounts represent less than 1% of SCE's total customer base, SCE is committed to reaching all segments of low-income customers with CFLs.

Finally, DRA and TURN suggest that SCE's CFL Program should be modified into a small-scale pilot program in order to test multiple approaches. DRA and TURN's suggestion not only misses the point of the CFL Program, but will unnecessary delay bringing energy savings, bill reductions and greenhouse gas reduction benefits to customers and will drive up administrative costs. The point of SCE's proposed CFL Program is to place a proven and easy-to-install energy saver – the CFL – immediately into the hands of low income customers who may not otherwise have access to the technology. The CFL Program is not designed to supplant already existing energy efficiency programs, such as the Low Income Energy Efficiency (LIEE) program. Through the LIEE program, low income customers will still receive installation of energy saving appliances, including refrigerators, air conditioners and CFLs. The CFL Program is intended and designed to compliment SCE's already successful LIEE and energy efficiency programs. Moreover, the CFL Program is a tightly focused program, built upon lessons learned, that reduces costs through a household-to-household delivery approach and at the same time provides a proven energy and bill saving technology. The CFL Program also provides a unique opportunity to reach potentially CARE-eligible households in pursuit of enrolling

100% of customers wishing to participate in the CARE program. SCE sees no reason why its CFL Program should be delayed.

2. The Compensation Payment of \$10 is Reasonable

DRA and TURN claim that SCE's proposed \$10 payment for canvassing organizations is excessive. SCE disagrees. The payment is reasonable and appropriate compensation for the community canvassers that will be delivering CFLs to low income homes.

The proposed payment of \$10 will be paid to the canvassing organizations for each household that receives educational materials, receives a CARE application, receives a six-CFL pack, and signs a pledge to install the CFLs in their home. SCE drew upon its 25 years of experience in outreaching and delivering programs to low income customers to determine the appropriate amount of compensation. Services delivered have ranged from providing energy education and installing CFLs to conducting complete appliance assessments and installing a comprehensive list of energy efficient measures. SCE has reviewed past program efforts and the efforts of other giveaway programs. In addition, SCE has contacted CBOs who have extensive household-to-household canvassing experience to arrive at a reasonable fee. The \$10 payment will reasonably and fairly compensate the canvassers for their efforts to deliver CFLs and educate customers.

DRA and TURN also raise concerns that the \$10 fee may create "undesirable incentives," such as encouraging canvassers to target only high density areas. This is not the case. The CFL Program is designed to mitigate such concerns. Organizations will be assigned to canvass specific ZIP-7 geographic areas, and will receive lists of addresses that must be contacted. Canvassing outside of those areas will not be permitted. Accordingly, canvassers will not have an option of "cherry picking" the most densely populated areas, but will be limited to their community and the list of addresses provided.

SCE will provide canvassers with CARE program applications, which will include a unique source code to identify the CFL Program and participating agency. Canvassers will be encouraged to help customers fill out the CARE applications and submit them to SCE for processing.

Customers who are not home will be left a door hanger providing instructions on how they can obtain their CFLs.

3. The Proposed Marketing Budget is Reasonable

DRA and TURN comment that SCE's marketing budget "seems high." SCE's proposed marketing budget of \$500,000 is reasonable and necessary to reach the desired one million low income households within the timeframe proposed. In contrast to long-term programs that may have multi-year marketing efforts that build upon and leverage each year's outreach, the CFL Program is a shorter program that requires intensive marketing in order to ensure that eligible customers are reached within the limited timeframe.

As outlined in SCE's Testimony, SCE will target smaller areas through the use of a ZIP7, or ZIP+2, geographic unit as the basis for canvassing households for CFL delivery. La Targeting by smaller neighborhoods or communities does not allow SCE to leverage mass-market communication outlets (such as radio or major newspapers). Mass-market communications may lead to "spillover" (i.e., because of the large geographic reach of the publication, readers for whom the program is not intended will see the ad) and possible customer confusion over who is eligible for and who will receive the CFLs. With the marketing budget proposed, SCE will take advantage of newspaper print ads at the local community level, including ethnic media. Local advertising will provide specific information about community events regarding the CFL Program and provide specific dates of when SCE's delivery partners will be in a particular local area. Additionally, smaller media outlets allow SCE multiple advertising opportunities, or increased frequency, to further increase the awareness of the CFL Program within the smaller communities who will benefit most from the CFLs. This type of local marketing, as opposed to mass-market communications, will also contribute to the effectiveness of the household-to-household delivery approach.

The development of one million fact sheets and other collateral material in multiple languages and large-print materials for visually impaired customers aimed at educating customers about

DRA and TURN attempt to compare the marketing for SCE's CFL Program to the marketing budget for the "Proposed California Solar Initiative-Low Income Incentive Program for Single Family Homes." This is not a meaningful or accurate comparison.

¹⁴ See SCE's Testimony, p. 10.

energy efficiency, the environment, and the proper disposal of CFLs, will also be produced from the marketing budget.

The marketing budget also allows for additional marketing tactics such as targeted direct mail that will be developed, as needed, to further increase the awareness and participation of qualified customers in the CFL Program.

4. SCE's Proposed Measurement and Evaluation of the CFL Program is Reasonable

DRA and TURN express concern that not enough detail is provided on SCE's proposed Measurement and Evaluation (M&E) of the CFL Program. SCE believes that its Testimony on M&E contains detailed justification for its request of \$90,000 for a program impact study and \$45,000 for a process study. As noted in SCE's Testimony, 15 because the CFL Program is a new program, SCE recommends both a process evaluation to examine and refine program operations and an impact evaluation to determine the effectiveness of the program in terms of kWh savings. SCE believes that both studies will facilitate a well-functioning program that delivers customers bill and energy savings and will provide efficient data collection and data sharing between the two studies. SCE remains committed to ensuring that both process and impact evaluations are well served by the various program survey components and describes the proposed studies below for further clarification.

a) **Process Evaluation**

Fundamentally, the process evaluation research will address the effectiveness and efficiency of the program delivery strategy as an effective method for delivering a large volume of CFLs to low income neighborhoods. Using mail/post card and phone surveys as well as a limited on-site component, the process evaluation will gather data for timely use of the information on individual provider performance, the training of the program canvassers, the household-to-household delivery operation, customer satisfaction with CBO and FBO personnel, educational material quality and other key parameters critical for a successful program operation and evaluation.

-

¹⁵ *Id.*, pp. 13-16.

Mail/Postcard Survey Component

The mail/postcard survey sample will include mailings to at least 5,000 homes (in two or more waves) and will provide both quick program snapshot information and identification of quality control issues to address early in the program period. Later postcard survey waves will likely be scheduled around program rollout waves.

By use of a small incentive (local charity donation in respondent's name upon return of postcard), SCE plans to accomplish 3,000 postcard returns, without exceeding a total mail-out of 5,000-6,000 households.

In addition to quality control issues, the mail/postcard surveys will collect some key impact evaluation data for the more immediate needs of confirming or modifying some important parameter assumptions used in calculating per unit energy savings for the CFL Program:

- Basic bulb delivery/installation/disposition data
- Quality of service/quality of educational/collateral materials
- Bulb quantities received
- Bulb quality
- Installed/uninstalled bulbs by bulb wattage/location
- Portability of CFLs
- Other relevant process and impact areas

In terms of timing, SCE expects to mail a first wave of cards within two months of program rollout in order to provide early feedback to the SCE program manager. By heavily "frontloading" the postcard survey effort, the feedback obtained will allow the program manager to respond in a timely way to initial shortcomings in the outreach, educational, and bulb delivery/installation aspects of the CFL Program. This feedback will allow the program manager to quickly institute any program design changes needed to overcome these shortcomings.

Phone Survey Component

The mail/postcard survey will be supplemented by a more intensive phone survey of 1,000 participants that will delve more deeply into critical program parameters necessary to support the

impact evaluation as well as provide more detailed information to increase the effectiveness of the ongoing program. The phone component will be fielded in at least two waves in order discover any performance and service issues on the front end and to examine trends in these key outcomes.

The phone survey component will gather detailed data on the following process evaluation areas:

- Individual provider performance
- Program canvasser training
- Household-to-household delivery operation
- Customer satisfaction with the CBO and FBO personnel
- Educational materials
- Other areas deemed relevant to program success
- Other issue areas discovered in the mail survey

On-Site Survey Component

The program evaluation also will include an on-site survey of a small portion of the phone sample; about 100 participants (perhaps larger if necessary for establishing reliability estimates for the lighting parameters obtained in the mail and/or phone surveys). These on-sites will also add some context to both mail and phone survey results.

Since on-site surveys are the most difficult to achieve and the most costly to conduct, the sample for on-site surveys will be developed as a subset of the telephone survey respondents. This initial interaction from the phone survey should reduce participant concerns about agreeing to an on-site survey. It should be noted that through its various low income program contractors, SCE has extensive experience in conducting on-site surveys of low income customers.

b) <u>Impact Evaluation</u>

The data collection components described in the process study plan will lay the foundation for a thorough impact evaluation. This will be achieved by addressing several key issues regarding CFL savings for the CFL Program including:

- Installed bulbs by bulb wattage: location (including outdoors), wattage by
 type of replaced bulb, and approximate installation date
- Uninstalled bulbs by bulb wattage: current location (in home or given to
 others); if they are being held in home, their anticipated future use--location,
 wattage by type of bulb to be replaced and anticipated installation date (if
 any)
- Hours of use by wattage and location
- Program participants' prior experience with CFL bulbs
- Demographic and residential characteristics

Follow-Up Phone Survey Component

If the results of the on-site component suggest that mail and/or phone survey responses are significantly misstating some parameters (like in-service or in-storage rates) or it becomes necessary to examine how parameters are trending, SCE will add a brief component specifically oriented to measurement issues (including, for example, program and non-program bulb wattage and location, reported hours of use, and current satisfaction with the program bulbs, and quite importantly, any other parameters suggested by results from recent studies). This optional component would most likely be a one year follow-up survey of approximately 400 sample respondents, most likely from the phone survey population.

c) Sample Size Considerations

The data collection methods envisioned here will yield the following sample

sizes:

- 3,000 incentivized postcard surveys of program participants, obtained in waves during program implementation from a mail-out of 5,000-6,000 postcards
- 1,000 completed phone surveys of program participants

- 100 on-site surveys of participants drawn from the 1,000 phone survey respondents
- 400 (optional) follow-up phone surveys to enhance the reliability of impactrelated bulb disposition and use parameters

Since this plan examines in excess of 4,000 households, negligible sampling error will allow for very good estimates of program performance.

The sample design will be stratified to secure adequate geographical and demographic (income level) variation. The sampling plan is also geared to generate representation from CBOs and low income program participants. It should be noted that the CBO sample points will augment the postcard sample design which will allow the program manager to determine problems in specific areas of program control.

5. Funding Flexibility Will Ensure the Smooth and Successful Administration and Implementation of the CFL Program

DRA and TURN express reservations about SCE's request for funding flexibility. SCE believes that its request for funding flexibility is appropriate. SCE's Application requests funding flexibility to adjust the proposed budget allocations in order to ensure the successful implementation of the CFL Program. As explained above, SCE will conduct immediate and continuous M&E to identify initial shortcomings in the outreach, educational, and bulb delivery/installation aspects of the CFL Program and to allow SCE to quickly institute any program design changes needed to overcome these shortcomings. In order to capture and timely act upon continual improvement opportunities, SCE has requested flexibility to make adjustments to the budget allocations as necessary. A lengthy review and approval process for such fund-shifting will only hinder SCE's ability to quickly make identified improvements to this rapid CFL campaign.

6. The CFL Program's Achievements Should Count Toward the Commission's Adopted Energy Efficiency Goals

DRA and TURN suggest that the Commission should "reserve judgment" on whether the savings from the CFL Program should count toward the energy efficiency goals established by D.04-09-060. SCE disagrees. DRA and TURN do not, and cannot, provide any compelling reason why the savings should not be counted. Accordingly, the Commission should allow SCE to count the CFL Program's achievements toward the adopted energy efficiency goals.

As described in Section II.E. of SCE's Testimony, SCE proposes to record all energy efficiency results associated with the CFL Program toward the achievement of the Commission-adopted energy efficiency goals. In D.04-09-060, the Commission explicitly directed that all low income energy efficiency results be counted toward the achievement of the energy efficiency goals in the year in which the energy savings and demand reductions are realized (*i.e.*, installed). DRA and TURN acknowledge the Commission's direction and then turn right around and protest that the Commission should not apply this direction to the CFL Program. By starting down the road of carving out exceptions to savings that may apply toward the goal, DRA and TURN would have the Commission undermine the foundation which led to the development of the goals in the first place. The Commission set the goals with the explicit understanding that LIEE savings should count toward meeting the goal. SCE finds it distressing that, despite all of this, DRA and TURN attempt to punish SCE's shareholders by withholding earnings precisely because SCE has taken a leadership position and proposed a bold and innovative program that would increase net benefits and therefore provide shareholder incentives – just as the State's Energy Action Plan (EAP) calls for.

DRA and TURN's comment about "easy forecasted savings" is an affront to SCE's leadership in delivering energy savings to customers. SCE is a national leader in energy efficiency. 17

Taken in its entirety, DRA and TURN's recommendation would not only prevent possible shareholder

¹⁶ D.04-09-060, Findings of Fact 13, pp. 46-7.

See http://www.edison.com/pressroom/pr.asp?id=6602; see also U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Information Administration, Form EIA-861.

earnings, but would also prevent SCE and its customers from increasing energy savings, bill savings and greenhouse gas reductions. This recommendation is contrary to the Commission's policy as articulated in the EAP. SCE's proposal to count the savings from the CFL Program toward the achievement of its energy efficiency goal is consistent with Commission policy and should be adopted.

IV.

CONCLUSION

The CFL Program represents a unique opportunity to provide immediate bills savings to low income customers; immediate resource benefits and greenhouse gas reductions; a timely leveraging opportunity provided by the national ENERGY STAR® "Change a Light, Change the World" campaign; and reductions in the CARE subsidy that will benefit all ratepayers as a result of reduced energy consumption by low income customers participating in the CFL Program. Neither Greenlining's comments, nor DRA and TURN's protest raise any issues necessitating hearings in this matter. For this reason, and given the immediate benefits the CFL Program could bring, SCE respectfully requests that the Commission approve SCE's CFL Program Application without delay.

Respectfully submitted,

MICHAEL D. MONTOYA MARICRUZ PRADO STACIE SCHAFFER

/s/ STACIE SCHAFFER

By: Stacie Schaffer

Attorneys for SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Post Office Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770

Telephone: (626) 302-3712 Facsimile: (626) 302-7740

E-mail: stacie.schaffer@sce.com

June 25, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, I have this day served a true copy of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY'S (U 338-E) REPLY TO THE COMMENTS OF THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE AND THE JOINT PROTEST OF DIVISION OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES AND THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK REGARDING SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY'S "CHANGE A LIGHT, CHANGE THE WORLD" COMPACT FLUORESCENT LAMP PROGRAM APPLICATION on all parties identified on the attached service list(s). Service was effected by one or more means indicated below:

Transmitting the copies via e-mail to all parties who have provided an e-mail address. First class mail will be used if electronic service cannot be effectuated.

Executed this 25th day of June, 2007, at Rosemead, California.

/s/ SARA CARRILLO

Sara Carrillo
Project Analyst
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Post Office Box 800 Rosemead, California 91770

CASE ADMINISTRATION SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 R.07-01-042 FRANCISCO V. AGUILAR ATTORNEY AT LAW SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION 5241 SPRING MOUNTAIN ROAD LAS VEGAS, NV 89193 R.07-01-042

CATHIE ALLEN PACIFICORP 825 NE MULTNOMAH STREET, SUITE 2000 PORTLAND, OR 97232 R.07-01-042

Zaida Amaya-Pineda CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 770 L STREET, SUITE 1050 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.07-01-042 GREG BASS SEMPRA ENERGY SOLUTIONS 101 ASH STREET. HQ09 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3017 R.07-01-042 RYAN BERNARDO BRAUN & BLAISING, P.C. 915 L STREET, SUITE 1270 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.07-01-042

ROBERT J. BICKER LEGISLATIVE ANALYST CALIFORNIA APARTMENT ASSOCIATION 980 NINTH STREET, SUITE 200 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.07-01-042 SUSAN E. BROWN A WORLD INSTITUTE FOR SUSTAINABLE HUMANI PO BOX 428 MILL VALLEY, CA 94942 R.07-01-042 CRAIG M. BUCHSBAUM ATTORNEY AT LAW PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 77 BEALE STREET, B30A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 R.07-01-042

Mariana C Campbell CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4101 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.07-01-042 CENTRAL FILES REGULATORY AFFAIRS SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC CO. 8330 CENTURY PARK COURT-CP31E SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-1530 R.07-01-042

Melicia Charles CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.07-01-042

STEPHANIE CHEN LEGAL ASSOCIATE THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE 1918 UNIVERSITY STREET, 2ND FLOOR BERKELEY, CA 94704 R.07-01-042

BRIAN K. CHERRY DIRECTOR REGULATORY RELATIONS PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 77 BEALE STREET, B10C SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94106 R.07-01-042

REGINA COSTA THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK 711 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 350 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 R.07-01-042

CAROLYN COX GENERAL MANAGER 5213 ROSEANA COURT FAIR OAKS, CA 95628 R.07-01-042 DAVID J. COYLE ANZA ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC 58470 HIGHWAY 371 ANZA, CA 92539-1909 R.07-01-042 KEVIN CUDD SENIOR PROGRAM MANAGER PG&E 1320 EL CAPITAN DRIVE, SUITE 330 DANVILLE, CA 94526 R.07-01-042

RAYMOND J. CZAHAR, C.P.A. CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER WEST COAST GAS COMPANY 9203 BEATTY DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95826 R.07-01-042

MARISA DECRISTOFORO PACIFICORP 825 NE MULTNOMAH STREET, SUITE 800 PORTLAND, OR 97232 R.07-01-042 PAUL DELANEY AMERICAN UTILITY NETWORK (A.U.N.) 10705 DEER CANYON DRIVE ALTA LOMA, CA 91737 R.07-01-042

SHEILA DEY WESTERN MANUFACTURED HOUSING COMMUNITIES 455 CAPITOL MALL STE 800 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.07-01-042 JOHN DUTCHER
VICE PRESIDENT - REGULATORY AFFAIRS
MOUNTAIN UTILITIES
3210 CORTE VALENCIA
FAIRFIELD, CA 94534-7875
R.07-01-042

Jeannine Elzey
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
R.07-01-042

JOHN FASANA SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON 2131 WALNUT GOVE AVE. ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 R.07-01-042 LAW DEPARTMENT FILE ROOM PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 7442 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94120-7442 R.07-01-042 RYAN FLYNN ATTORNEY PACIFICORP 825 NE MULTNOMAH, SUITE 1800 PORTLAND, OR 97232 R.07-01-042

Hazlyn Fortune CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.07-01-042

LINDA FONTES
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
123 MISSION ROOM 1404 MC H14F
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
R.07-01-042

ENRIQUE GALLARDO SENIOR PROGRAM MANAGER LATINO ISSUES FORUM 160 PINE STREET, SUITE 700 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 R.07-01-042

RON GARCIA RELIABLE ENERGY MANAGEMENT, INC. 6250 PARAMOUNT BLVD. LONG BEACH, CA 90805 R07-01-042 ROBERT GNAIZDA THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE 1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, SECOND FLOOR BERKELEY, CA 94704 R.07-01-042

THALIA N.C. GONZALEZ THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE 1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLR. BERKELEY, CA 94704 R.07-01-042

HAYLEY GOODSON ATTORNEY AT LAW THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK 711 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 350 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 R.07-01-042 PAMELA L. GORSUCH PROGRAM MANAGER RHA INC 1026 MANGROVE AVE., SUITE 20 CHICO, CA 95926 R.07-01-042 ROB GUNNIN VICE PRESIDENT SUPPLY COMMERCE ENERGY, INC. 600 ANTON BLVD., SUITE 2000 COSTA MESA, CA 92626 R.07-01-042

TOM HAMILTON ENERGY PROGRAM MANAGER QUALITY BUILT 15330 AVENUE OF SCIENCE SAN DIEGO, CA 92128 R.07-01-042 KIM F. HASSAN ATTORNEY AT LAW SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY 101 ASH STREET, HQ-12 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 R.07-01-042

JAMES HODGES ACCES 1069 45TH STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95819 R.07-01-042

BOB HONDEVILLE MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT 1231 11TH STREET MODESTO, CA 95354 R.07-01-042 AKBAR JAZAYEIRI SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY PO BOX 800 ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 R.07-01-042 JOHN JENSEN PRESIDENT MOUNTAIN UTILITIES PO BOX. 205 PO BOX. 205 KIRKWOOD, CA 95646 R.07-01-042

JOHN JENSEN RICHARD HEATH AND ASSOCIATES, INC. 7847 CONVOY COURT, SUITE 102 SAN DIEGO, CA 92111 R.07-01-042 MARIA Y. JUAREZ
DEPUTY DIRECTOR
DEPARTMENT OF COUMMINTY ACTION
2038 IOWA AVENUE, SUITE B-102
RIVERSIDE, CA 92507
R.07-01-042

BILL JULIAN 43556 ALMOND LANE DAVIS, CA 95618 R.07-01-042

MELISSA W. KASNITZ ATTORNEY AT LAW DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES 2001 CENTER STREET, THIRD FLOOR BERKELEY, CA 94704-1204 R.07-01-042

M. SAMI KHAWAJA, PH.D QUANTEC, LLC 720 SW WASHINGTON STREET PORTLAND, OR 97205 R.07-01-042 Robert Kinosian CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4205 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.07-01-042

GREGORY J. KOSIER PORTFOLIO MANAGER CONSTELLATION NEWENRGY, INC. 350 SOUTH GRND AVENUE, 38TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 R.07-01-042

MICHAEL LAMOND ALPINE NATURAL GAS OPERATING COMPANY 15 ST. ANDREWS ROAD, SUITE 7 VALLEY SPRINGS, CA 95252 R.07-01-042 Alik Lee CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4101 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.07-01-042

Robert Lehman CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4102 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.07-01-042

JODY S. LONDON JODY LONDON CONSULTING PO BOX 3629 OAKLAND, CA 94609 R.07-01-042 ORTENSIA LOPEZ EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR EL CONCILIO OF SAN MATEO 1419 BURLINGAME AVE., SUITE N BURLINGAME, CA 94010 R.07-01-042

R.07-01-042

Monday, June 25, 2007

Kim Malcolm CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 5005 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.07-01-042

KYLE MAETANI MK PLANNING CONSULTANTS 2740 W. MAGNOLIA BLVD., STE 103 BURBANK, CA 91505 R.07-01-042 ROBERT MARSHALL PLUMAS SIERRA RURAL ELECTRIC PO BOX 2000 PORTOLA, CA 96122-2000 R.07-01-042

MICHAEL MAZUR CHIEF TECHNICAL OFFICER 3 PHASES ENERGY SERVICES, LLC 2100 SEPULVEDA BLVD., SUITE 38 MANHATTAN BEACH, CA 90266 R.07-01-042

RICHARD MCCANN M.CUBED 2655 PORTAGE BAY ROAD, SUITE 3 DAVIS, CA 95616 R.07-01-042 ELENA MELLO SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY 6100 NEIL ROAD RENO, NV 89520 R.07-01-042

MICHAEL MONTOYA SENIOR ATTORNEY SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE. ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 R.07-01-042 RONALD MOORE GOLDEN STATE WATER/BEAR VALLEY ELECTRIC 630 EAST FOOTHILL BOULEVARD SAN DIMAS, CA 91773 R.07-01-042 IRENE K. MOOSEN ATTORNEY AT LAW WESTERN MANUFACTURED HOUSING COMM. SVCS. 53 SANTA YNEZ AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94112 R.07-01-042

DAVID MORSE 1411 W. COVELL BLVD. DAVIS, CA 95616-5934 R.07-01-042 JOHN NEWCOMB 696 SOUTH TIPPECANOE AVENUE SAN BERNARDINO, CA 92415 R.07-01-042 RICK C. NOGER PRAXAIR PLAINFIELD, INC. 2678 BISHOP DRIVE SAN RAMON, CA 94583 R.07-01-042

CHONDA J. NWAMU PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY POST OFFICE BOX 7442 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94120 R.07-01-042 MARY O'DRAIN PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 123 MISSION - ROOM 1410, MC H14G SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 R.07-01-042 VALERIE J. ONTIVEROZ SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION PO BOX 98510 LAS VEGAS, NV 89193-8510 R.07-01-042

PETER OUBORG PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 7442, B30A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94120-7442 R.07-01-042 Michaela Pangilinan CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.07-01-042 JACK PARKHILL MANAGER - CSBU SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON 2131 WALNUT GROVE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 R.07-01-042

STEVEN D. PATRICK ATTORNEY AT LAW SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS/SDG&E 555 WEST 5TH STREET, GT14E7 LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1034 R.07-01-042

RICHARD POLANCO SENATOR 3701 GLENALBY DRIVE LOS ANGELES, CA 90065 R.07-01-042 EDWARD G. POOLE ATTORNEY AT LAW ANDERSON & POOLE 601 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 1300 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108-2818 R.07-01-042

Sarvjit S. Randhawa CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.07-01-042 Rashid A. Rashid CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE Legal Division ROOM 4107 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.07-01-042

LARRY RACKLEY SIERRA PACIFIC POWER CO. PO BOX 10100 RENO, NV 89520 R.07-01-042

ALLAN RAGO QUALITY CONSERVATION SERVICES, INC. 4701 ARROW HIGHWAY MONTCLAIR, CA 91763 R.07-01-042 STEVE RAHON DIRECTOR, TARIFF & REGULATORY ACCOUNTS SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY 8330 CENTURY PARK COURT, CP32C SAN DIEGO, CA 92123-1548 R07-01-042

Thomas M. Renaghan CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4205 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.07-01-042

GREGORY REDICAN
DEPUTY DIRECTOR
COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY OF SAN
MATEO
930 BRITTAN AVENUE
SAN CARLOS, CA 94070
R.07-01-042

ROLAND RISSER DIRECTOR, CUSTOMER ENERGY EFFICIENCY PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 770000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 R.07-01-042

BOBAK ROSHAN LEGAL ASSOCIATE THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE 1918 UNIVERSITY STREET, 2ND FLOOR BERKELEY, CA 94704 R.07-01-042

MARK A. RUTLEDGE THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE 1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, 2ND FLR. BERKELEY, CA 94704 R.07-01-042 Sarita Sarvate
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
R.07-01-042

JANINE L. SCANCARELLI FOLGER LEVIN & KAHN LLP 275 BATTERY STREET, 23RD FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 R07-01-042

STACIE SCHAFFER ATTORNEY AT LAW SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE. ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 R.07-01-042 MICHAEL SHAMES ATTORNEY AT LAW UTILITY CONSUMERS' ACTION NETWORK 3100 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE B SAN DIEGO, CA 92103 R.07-01-042

MARY O. SIMMONS SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY 6100 NEIL ROAD, P.O. BOX 10100 RENO, NV 89520 R.O7-01-042

ALEX SOTOMAYOR MARAVILLE FOUNDATION 5729 UNION PACIFIC AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CA 90022 R.07-01-042

DON STONEBERGER APS ENERGY SERVICES 400 E. VAN BUREN STRREET PHOENIX, AZ 85004 R.07-01-042

MICHAEL R. THORP SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY 555 WEST FIFTH STREET, GT-14E7 LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1011 R.07-01-042

Joseph Wanzala CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4101 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.07-01-042

YOLE WHITING SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY 8335 CENTURY PARK COURT SAN DIEGO, CA 92123 R.07-01-042

JOE WILLIAMS CEO RICHARD HEATH AND ASSOCIATES, INC. 590 W. LOCUST AVENUE, STE 103 FRESNO, CA 93650 R.07-01-042 DAVE STEPHENSON RATE REGULATION MANAGER - WESTERN REGIO AMERICAN WATER WORKS SERVICE CO. 4701 BELOIT DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95838 R.07-01-042

Terrie J. Tannehill CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 4A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R.07-01-042

LUKE TOUGAS PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 770000, MC B9A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 R.07-01-042

JOY WARREN ATTORNEY AT LAW MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT 1231 11TH STREET MODESTO, CA 95354 R.07-01-042

Sean Wilson CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 3-C SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 R07-01-042

LADONNA WILLIAMS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR PO BOX 5653 VALLEJO, CA 94591 R.07-01-042 BOBBI J. STERRETT SPECIALIST/STATE REGULATORY AFFAIRS SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION PO BOX 98510 LAS VEGAS, NV 89150-0002 R07-01-042

FRANCES L. THOMPSON PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 123 MISSION STREET, RM. 1408 MC H14G SAN FRANCISCO, CA 95177 R.07-01-042

RICHARD VILLASENOR TELACU 12252 MC CANN DRIVE SANTA FE SPRINGS, CA 90670 R.07-01-042

WILLIAM W. WESTERFIELD, 111 ATTORNEY AT LAW ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS L.L.P. 2015 H STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.07-01-042

JOSEPH F. WIEDMAN ATTORNEY AT LAW GOODIN MACBRIDE SQUERI DAY & LAMPREY LLP 505 SANSOME STREET, SUITE 900 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 R.07-01-042

JASON WIMBLEY DIVISION CHIEF, ENERGY&ENVIRON PROGRAMS DEPT. OF COMMUNITY SERVICES & DEVELOPMEN 700 NORTH 10TH STREET, ROOM 258 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 R.07-01-042

DON WOOD SR. PACIFIC ENERGY POLICY CENTER 4539 LEE AVENUE LA MESA, CA 91941 R.07-01-042 JOSEPHINE WU PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 770000, MAIL CODE B9A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 R.07-01-042 JOY C. YAMAGATA SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC/SOCALGAS 8330 CENTURY PARK COURT SAN DIEGO, CA 91910 R.07-01-042

Monday, June 25, 2007

CASE ADMINISTRATION SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 A.06-06-032 Zaida Amaya-Pineda CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 770 L STREET, SUITE 1050 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 A.06-06-032

JOSEPH AMADER 7620 CARMEL VALLEY RD. CARMEL, CA 93923 A.06-06-032

GEORGETTA J. BAKER ATTORNEY AT LAW SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC/SOCAL GAS 101 ASH STREET, HQ 13 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 A 06-06-032

CRISTAL BEDORTHA RESIDENTIAL WALL INSULATION 3714 NELSON AVE. OROVILLE, CA 95965 A.06-06-032 BILL BELANSKY WESTERN INSULATION 16465 VIA ESPRILLO SAN DIEGO, CA 92127 A.06-06-032

SCOTT BERG SELF HELP HOME IMPROVEMENT PROJECT INC. 3777 MEADOWVIEW DR., 100 REDDING, CA 96002 A.06-06-032 ART BRICE VICE PRESIDENT RICHARD HEATH AND ASSOCIATES, INC. 590 W. LOCUST AVE., STE. 103 FRESNO, CA 93650 A.06-06-032 Mariana C Campbell CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4101 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 A.06-06-032

BEN CARROLL 2615 W DUDLEY AVE. FRESNO, CA 93728 A.06-06-032 Melicia Charles CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 A.06-06-032

LUIS CHAVEZ 1859 BUSINESS CENTER DRIVE DUARTE, CA 91010 A.06-06-032

DAVID C. CLARK SYNERGY COMPANIES 28436 SATELLITE STREET HAYWARD, CA 94545 A.06-06-032 LARRY R. COPE ATTORNEY AT LAW SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 A.06-06-032

ROBERTO DEL REAL SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2131 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 A 06-06-032

JAMES DEZELL RHA, INC. 1151 HARBOR BAY PKWY ALAMEDA, CA 94502 A.06-06-032 JOHN DUTCHER MOUNTAIN UTILITIES 3210 CORTE VALNECIA FAIRFIELD, CA 94534 A.06-06-032 JOHN DUTCHER
VICE PRESIDENT - REGULATORY AFFAIRS
MOUNTAIN UTILITIES
3210 CORTE VALENCIA
FAIRFIELD, CA 94534-7875
A.06-06-032

Monday, June 25, 2007

Jeannine Elzey CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 A.06-06-032

RICHARD ESTEVES SESCO, INC. 77 YACHT CLUB DRIVE LAKE HOPATCONG, NJ 7849 A 06-06-032 JOHN FASANA SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON 2244 WALNUT GOVE AVE. ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 A.06-06-032

Hazlyn Fortune CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 A.06-06-032

LINDA FONTES
PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY
123 MISSION ROOM 1404 MC H14F
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
A.06-06-032

BRUCE FOSTER VICE PRESIDENT SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 601 VAN NESS AVENUE, STE. 2040 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 A.06-06-032

RON GARCIA RELIABLE ENERGY MANAGEMENT, INC. 6250 PARAMOUNT BLVD. LONG BEACH, CA 90805 A.06-06-032 ROBERT GNAIZDA THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE 1918 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, SECOND FLOOR BERKELEY, CA 94704 A.06-06-032 THALIA N.C. GONZALEZ LEGAL COUNSEL THE GREENLINING INSTITUTE 1918 UNIVERSITY AVE., 2ND FLOOR BERKELEY, CA 94704 A.06-06-032

HAYLEY GOODSON ATTORNEY AT LAW THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK 711 VAN NESS AVENUE, SUITE 350 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 A.06-06-032 PAMELA L. GORSUCH PROGRAM MANAGER RHA INC 1026 MANGROVE AVE., SUITE 20 CHICO, CA 95926 A.06-06-032

JERRY HANN PERKINS, MANN & EVERETT, A.P.C. 2222 W. SHAW AVE. SUITE 202 FRESNO, CA 93711 A.06-06-032

JEANNIE HARRELL SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2131 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 A 06-06-032 KIM F. HASSAN ATTORNEY AT LAW SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY 101 ASH STREET, HQ-12 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 A.06-06-032

JAMES HODGES ACCES 1069 45TH STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95819 A.06-06-032

PETER HOFMANN BO ENTERPRISES 43B EAST MAIN ST LOS GATOS, CA 95030-6907 A.06-06-032 Kelly Hymes CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 5306 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 A 06-06-032 MARIA Y. JUAREZ DEPUTY DIRECTOR DEPARTMENT OF COUMMINTY ACTION 2038 IOWA AVENUE, SUITE B-102 RIVERSIDE, CA 92507 A.06-06-032 **A.06-06-032** Monday, June 25, 2007

BILL JULIAN 43556 ALMOND LANE DAVIS, CA 95618 A.06-06-032 MELISSA W. KASNITZ ATTORNEY AT LAW DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES 2001 CENTER STREET, 3RD FLOOR BERKELEY, CA 94704-1204 A.06-06-032

PAUL KERKORIAN UTILITY COST MANAGEMENT, LLC 6475 N. PALM AVE. STE. 105 FRESNO, CA 93704 A.06-06-032

M. SAMI KHAWAJA, PH.D QUANTEC, LLC 720 SW WASHINGTON STREET PORTLAND, OR 97205 A.06-06-032

ELISE KLEIBER 2400 ROCKEFELLER DR. CERES, CA 95307 A.06-06-032 TIMOTHY J. LAWLER SUNDOWNER INSULATION CO., INC. 1495 ROAD AVENUE CLOVIS, CA 93612 A.06-06-032

Alik Lee CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4101 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 A.06-06-032 ORTENSIA LOPEZ EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR EL CONCILIO OF SAN MATEO 1419 BURLINGAME AVE., SUITE N BURLINGAME, CA 94010 A.06-06-032

JAY LUBOFF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 770000, MC B9A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 A.06-06-032

KRISTINE LUCERO EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT RICHARD HEATH AND ASSOCIATES, INC. 590 W. LOCUST AVE., STE. 103 FRESNO, CA 93650 A.06-06-032 Kim Malcolm CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 5005 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 A.06-06-032

KYLE MAETANI MK PLANNING CONSULTANTS 2740 W. MAGNOLIA BLVD., STE 103 BURBANK, CA 91505 A.06-06-032

JERRY H. MANN ATTORNEY AT LAW PERKINS, MANN & EVERETT 2222 W. SHAW AVE., SUITE 202 FRESNO, CA 93711 A.06-06-032

CHRISTOPHER J. MAYER MODESTO IRRIGATION DISTRICT PO BOX 4060 MODESTO, CA 95352-4060 A.06-06-032 JIM MCNAMARA SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY, INC. 1030 SOUTHWOOD DRIVE SAN LUIS OBISPO, CA 93401 A.06-06-032

DOUGLAS MOIR WESTERN APPLIANCE 1976 W. SAN CARLOS STREET SAN JOSE, CA 95128 A.06-06-032 MICHAEL D. MONTOYA ATTORNEY AT LAW SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 A 06-06-032

JOHN NALL SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2131 WALNUT GROVE AVE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 A.06-06-032

Monday, June 25, 2007

TINA NGUYEN
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
123 MISSION-ROOM 1456
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105
A.06-06-032

KAREN NOTSUND ASSISTANT DIRECTOR UC ENERGY INSTITUTE 2547 CHANNING WAY 5180 BERKELEY, CA 94720-5180 A.06-06-032 CHONDA J. NWAMU ATTORNEY AT LAW PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 77 BEALE STREET, B30A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 A.06-06-032

JAMES O'BANNON RICHARD HEATH AND ASSOCIATES, INC. 1026 MANGROVE AVE., STE 20 CHICO, CA 95926 A.06-06-032 MARY O'DRAIN
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
123 MISSION - ROOM 1410, MC H14G
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177
A.06-06-032

PETER OUBORG ATTORNEY AT LAW PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 77 BEALE STREET, B30A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 A.06-06-032

Michaela Pangilinan CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 A.06-06-032 WILLIAM F. PARKER EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY OF SAN MATEO 930 BRITTAN AVENUE SAN CARLOS, CA 94070 A.06-06-032

JACK F. PARKHILL SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON 2131 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 A.06-06-032

RICHARD POLANCO SENATOR 3701 GLENALBY DRIVE LOS ANGELES, CA 90065 A.06-06-032 Sarvjit S. Randhawa CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 4-A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 A.06-06-032 Rashid A. Rashid CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE Legal Division ROOM 4107 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 A.06-06-032

ALLAN RAGO QUALITY CONSERVATION SERVICES, INC. 4701 ARROW HIGHWAY MONTCLAIR, CA 91763 A.06-06-032 GREGORY REDICAN
DEPUTY DIRECTOR
COMMUNITY ACTION AGENCY OF SAN
MATEO
930 BRITTAN AVENUE
SAN CARLOS, CA 94070
A.06-06-032

ROLAND RISSER DIRECTOR, CUSTOMER ENERGY EFFICIENCY PACIFIC GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 770000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 A.06-06-032

Sarita Sarvate
CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
AREA 4-A
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214
A.06-06-032

JANINE L. SCANCARELLI FOLGER LEVIN & KAHN LLP 275 BATTERY STREET, 23RD FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 A.06-06-032 STACIE SCHAFFER ATTORNEY AT LAW SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON 2244 WALNUT GROVE AVE. ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 A.06-06-032

Monday, June 25, 2007

BRETT SEARLE PROJECT MANAGEMENT ANALYST PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 123 MISSION-ROOM 1464, MCH14F SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 A.06-06-032

STEVEN R. SHALLENBERGER SYNERGY COMPANIES 28436 SATTELITE STREET HAYWARD, CA 94545 A.06-06-032 RICHARD SHAW
PRESIDENT
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA FORUM
PO BOX 469
FILLMORE, CA 93016
A.06-06-032

MARK SHIRIN VENTURA TV APPLIANCE CENTER 3619 E VENTURA BLVD FRESNO, CA 93702-5009 A.06-06-032 DAVE STEPHENSON RATE REGULATION MANAGER - WESTERN REGIO AMERICAN WATER WORKS SERVICE CO. 4701 BELOIT DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CA 95838 A.06-06-032

BOBBI J. STERRETT SPECIALIST/STATE REGULATORY AFFAIRS SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION PO BOX 98510 LAS VEGAS, NV 89150-0002 A.06-06-032

DAVE SULLIVAN P.E. CONSULTING ENGINEER 614 38TH PLACE FLORENCE, OR 97439-8216 A.06-06-032 Terrie J. Tannehill CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 4A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 A.06-06-032 Thomas W. Thompson CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4102 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 A.06-06-032

FRANCES L. THOMPSON PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 123 MISSION STREET, RM. 1408 MC H14G SAN FRANCISCO, CA 95177 A.06-06-032 LUKE TOUGAS PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 770000, MC B9A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 A.06-06-032 RICHARD VILLASENOR TELACU 12252 MC CANN DRIVE SANTA FE SPRINGS, CA 90670 A.06-06-032

Donna L. Wagoner CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE AREA 3-C SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 A.06-06-032 Joseph Wanzala CALIF PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE ROOM 4101 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3214 A 06-06-032

YOLE WHITING SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY 8335 CENTURY PARK COURT SAN DIEGO, CA 92123 A.06-06-032

JOE WILLIAMS CEO RICHARD HEATH AND ASSOCIATES, INC. 590 W. LOCUST AVENUE, STE 103 FRESNO, CA 93650 A.06-06-032

LADONNA WILLIAMS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR PO BOX 5653 VALLEJO, CA 94591 A.06-06-032 JASON WIMBLEY DIVISION CHIEF, ENERGY&ENVIRON PROGRAMS DEPT. OF COMMUNITY SERVICES & DEVELOPMEN 700 NORTH 10TH STREET, ROOM 258 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 A.06-06-032

Monday, June 25, 2007

MONTE WINEGAR PROJECT DIRECTOR WINEGARD ENERGY 1818 FLOWER AVENUE DUARTE, CA 91010 A.06-06-032

DON WOOD PACIFIC ENERGY POLICY CENTER 4539 LEE AVENUE LA MESA, CA 91941 A.06-06-032 JOSEPHINE WU PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY PO BOX 770000, MAIL CODE B9A SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94177 A.06-06-032

JOY C. YAMAGATA SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC/SOCALGAS 8330 CENTURY PARK COURT SAN DIEGO, CA 91910 A.06-06-032 PETE ZANZOT SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY 2131 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CA 91770 A.06-06-032