Decision No. /2/088

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STAFE OR COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Application of E. C. PECK for certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate a motor buss and truck service between San Francisco, California, and Fresno, Stockton and Bakersfield, California.

Application No. 9363.

BY THE COMMISSION:

ORDER

In this proceeding E. C. Peck has made application to the Railroad Commission, in which he petitions for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate an automobile truck line as a common carrier of passengers, express and freight between San Francisco. Fresno, Stockton and Bakersfield and intermediate points via Redwood City and Pleasanton. It is proposed to operate stage service over a bridge hereinafter to be constructed across San Francisco Bay from a point near Redwood City in San Mateo County to a point near Newark in Alameda County. Applicant proposes to use for such service twelve Fadeol or White Motor busses and some six Federal or Mack trucks, and to operate some soven round trip passenger schedules and one round trip freight schedule, freight service to be operated as far as Fresno only and not to include Bakersfield or points intermediate to Fresno.

At the time of the filing of the application, applicant was informed that the Commission would not consider a petition for a certificate of public convenience and necessity when operation could not be commenced until some time in the indefinite

future, even providing certificate were granted. As yet no plan has been completed for the construction of the bridge over which applicant proposes to operate. The bridge, if constructed, will be financed by private capital and will be operated as a toll bridge. Permission has been secured from the War Department of the United States for the construction of the bridge in question but there still remains the financing and construction thereof, and applicant could give no definite information as to when, if ever, the bridge would be opened to traffic. In view of the above we are of the opinion that the present application is premature, and it will accordingly be denied.

II IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above entitled application be, and the same hereby is, dismissed.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this Tal-

-2-