Decision No. /5-3/9

BEFORE THE RATLEDAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Lassen Lumber & Box Company, Complainant, CAIGINAL

vs.

CASE NO. 2060

Southern Pacific Company,
Defendant.

Red River Lumber Company, Complainant,

TS.

CASE NO. 2077

Southern Pacific Company, Defendant.

BY THE COMMISSION:

## ORDER DENYING REHEARING

This is a petition filed by defendant, Southern Pacific Company, for a reopening of Case No.2060, Lassen Lumber & Box Company, and of Case No.2077, Red River Lumber Company vs. Southern Pacific Company.

These cases involve the rates on lumber and its products from Susanville to Lasco and Facht (Case No.2060), and from Westwood to Susanville, Leavitt, Litchfield and points intermediate thereto (Case No.2077). In both cases the Commission found that the rates maintained by defendant were unreasonable and established reasonable

rates based on a minimum carload weight of 30000 pounds, and awarded reparation.

Defendant, in its petition, takes no exception to the rates set by the Commission nor to the reparation award, but maintains that the Commission should have prescribed a minimum carload weight of 40000 pounds instead of 30000 pounds.

The minimum carload weight for the movement of lumber and its products within the State of California is in most instances 30000 pounds (Southern Pacific Company Tariff 634-C, CRC 2848 and Pacific Freight Tariff Bureau Exception Sheet 1-J, CRC 356).

Defendant has in effect a blanketed rate of 14 cents, minimum carload weight 30000 pounds, applicable from Westwood to Antola and Sacramento and all points intermediate thereto. The Commission prescribed from Westwood to Litchfield and Leavitt, points directly intermediate to Antola, rates of 13 cents and 11 cents, respectively. Under a minimum carload weight of 40000 pounds the minimum per car charge would be \$52.00 to Litchfield and \$44.00 to Leavitt, the short haul points, while the minimum per car charge to the long haul points in the territory beyond would be \$42.00, thus creating violations of the long and short haul clause of the Public Utilities Act.

The petition for reopening these cases should be denied.

Now, no good cause appearing why the petition should be granted,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the said petition be, and it is hereby, denied.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this \_// day of August, 1925.

George D. Jamies

Leon Owhile