Decizion No. 1625-2

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

San Francisco Chamber of Commerce, Complainant.

vs.

Southern Pacific Company, Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company,

Defendants.

In the Matter of the Application of F.W.Gomph, Agent, in the name of and on behalf of Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company, Los Angeles & Salt Lake Railroad Company, Pacific Electric Railway Company,

San Diego & Arizona Railway Company, Southern Pacific Company, Western Pacific Railroad Company, To establish increased rates for Trapcar Service at points in California. APPLICATION NO.11703

139

ORIGINAL

CASE NO. 1980

BY THE COMMISSION:

DENIAL OF APPLICATION FOR A REHEARING AND MODIFICATION OF ORDER

Haas Bros., Sussman, Wormser & Company, J.H.Newbauer & Company, Dodge, Sweeney & Company, interveners in the above entitled case and application, have filed their petition asking that a rehearing be granted and the order modified in Case 1980 and Application 11703, decided the 15th day of February, 1926 and assigned Decision No.15997.

-1-

Petitioners contend that this Commission erred in its failure to prescribe and order that no charge should be assessed for switching trapears loaded to a minimum of 6000 pounds in weight moved direct from the industry tracks to the train, subject to a minimum line haul revenue of \$15.00 per car.

In our decision we found that the trapear freight originating at Grocers Terminal, San Francisco, should not be afforded any different treatment than that afforded other localities throughout the State of California and that any special treatment accorded Grocers Terminal on account of the volume of trapear freight originating at that point would create discrimination, in violation of section 19 of the act prohibiting the granting of preference or advantage.

Upon consideration of the petition filed by Haas Bros., Sussman, Wormser & Company, J.H.Newbeuer & Company, Dodge, Sweeney & Company, interveners for reconsideration of the record as made, and it appearing that all of the matters set forth in said petition were fully considered in making the order complained of,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED by the Railroad Commission of the State of California that the petition for reconsideration of the record as made herein be and the same is hereby denied.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this _____ day of March, 1926.

-2-

Commissioners.

140