Decision No. 16598

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of R. B. BAILEY and S. R. BOWEN, partners, in business under the name of Railey and Bowen, for certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate freight service consisting of the movement of products and implements of husbandry and other farming necessities from farm to farm, and from and to farm to and from loading point, warehouse and other initial points, in Yolo, Colusa and Sutter Counties in the vicinity of Knights Lending.

ORIGINAL

Application No.11410

J. H. Langenour for applicants and also for C.Agard,
C. C. Mc Donald for S.H.Matthews and Floyd H.Anderson,
Neal Chalmers for Wm. Mc Dermott, R.B.Luther, C.L.Ladue,
H.M.Cave, Pierce Bros, and Mc Intyre and Anderson,
E.W.Armfield and L.D.Mc Kim for Sacramento Northern
Railway Company, Protestant,
John W. Johnson for R.E.Wales and F.W.Wales, Protestants,
C.E.Spear for Southern Pacific Company, Protestant,
A.J.Watts for Central California Traction Company, Protestant,
W.L.Warner for Sacramento Auto Truck and Winters Auto
Truck Line, Protestants,
Wm. N. Bulkeley for Liberty Transfer Company and Consolidated Furniture Moving Corporation, Protestants.

BY THE COMMISSION -

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

R. B. Bailey and S.R.Bowen, co-partners, have petitioned the Railroad Commission for an order declaring that public convenience and necessity require the operation by them of an auto trucking service in Yolo and Sutter Counties in the vicinity of Knights Landing.

A public hearing was conducted by Examiner Satterwhite at Woodland and the matter submitted. Under date of March 8,1926, the Railroad Commission advised the applicants, in writing, that the service proposed was not an operation within the meaning of the Auto Stage and Truck Transportation act in that it was not an operation between fixed points or over a regular route or routes, and that unless within 30 days said application was amended so as to propose a service within the purview of the Act, it would be dismissed without prejudice. The 30 days have elapsed and no

amendment has been filed.

Now, therefore, good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this application be and the same hereby is dismissed without prejudice.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 26th day of April, 1926.