Decision No. 16 1.00

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of HIGHWAY TRANSPORT COMPANY for certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate automobile truck line for the transportation of fruits and yegetables between San Jose, San Francisco, Oakland, Alameda, Berkeley and intermediate points, and orchards and farms between San Francisco and Oakland on the one hand, and points south of Oakland and San Francisco on the other hand.

ر<u>مینی</u>

Application No.11437

Gwyn E. Baker for Applicant, and also for Oakland-San Jose Transportation Company and Pioneer Gibson Express, Frank H. Genson for E.C.Merrill, Harry A. Houser for Martin Bros., C.A. Thompson for Kiso Yasanaga, J.E.McCurdy for J.D. and J.W.Maynard, Martin S. Walsh for S.R. Maynard, L.N.Bradshaw for Southern Pacific Company, E.G.Shoup and L.N.Bradshaw for Peninsular Railway Company, Edw. Stern and A.S.Weston for American Railway Express Co., Spencer G. Prime, for S. & G. Transport Co., A.G.Witt, and San Francisco and San Jose Fruit and Produce Transportation Co., Harry A. Mitchell for San Francisco-Sacramento Railroad Co., James Snell for H.J.Leonard, Reed J.Bekins for Bekins Fireproof Storage, California Highway Express and Consolidated Furniture Moving Corporation.

BY THE COMMISSION -

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Highway Transport Company, a corporation, has petitioned the Railroad Commission for an order declaring that public convenience and necessity require the operation by it of an auto trucking service in the territory generally described as the Peninsula district, and the Santa Clara Valley, also including territory in Alameda County.

A public hearing was conducted by Examiner Satterwhite at San Jose and the matter submitted. Under date of March 5, 1926, the Railroad Commission advised the applicant, in writing, that the service proposed was not an operation within the meaning of the Auto Stage and Truck Transportation Act in that it was not an operation between fixed points or over a regular route or routes, and that unless within 30 days said application was amended so as to propose a service within the purview of the Act, it would be

286

dismissed without prejudice. The 30 days have elapsed and no amendment has been filed.

Now, therefore, good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this application be and the same hereby is dismissed without prejudice.

Dated at San Francisco, Californic, this <u>26</u> day of April, 1926.

COMMISSIONERS.

 $\theta 22$

-2-