

Decision No. 16628

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COLMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of MARTIN BROS., a co-partnership, for certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate general trucking and hauling service in Santa Clara County and from said Santa Clara County to the following Counties: Alameda, San Benito, San Mateo, San Francisco and Contra Costa. That with regard to Contra Costa County limited to hauling of walnuts to and from said county for California Walnut Growers Association.

) Application No.11451

Harry A. Houser, for Applicants,
Gwyn H. Baker for Oakland-San Jose Transportation Company and Pioneer Gibson Express,
Frank A. Genson for E.C.Merrill,
C.A.Thompson for Kiso Yasanaga,
J.E.Mc Curdy for J. D. and J.W.Maynard,
Martin S. Walsh for S.R.Maynard,
L.N.Bradshaw for Southern Pacific Company,
E.G.Shoup and L.N.Bradshaw for Peninsular Railway Co.,
Edw. Stern and A.S.Weston for American Railway Express Co.,
Spencer G. Prime, for S. & G. Transport Co., A.G.Witt,
and San Francisco and San Jose Fruit and Produce
Transportation Co.,
Harry a Mitchell for San Francisco-Sacramento Railroad Co.,
James Snell for H.J.Leonard,
Reed J. Bekins for Bekins Fireproof Storage,
California Highway Express and Consolidated
Furniture Moving Corporation.

BY THE COMMISSION -

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

M. P. Martin and B. C. Martin, as Martin Brothers, co-partners, have petitioned the Railroad Commission for an order declaring that public convenience and necessity require the operation by them of an auto trucking service in and between the Counties of San Mateo, Santa Clara, San Francisco, Contra Costa and Alameda.

A public hearing was conducted by Examiner Satterwhite at San Jose and the matter submitted. Under date of March 5,1926, the Railroad Commission advised the applicants, in writing, that the service proposed was not an operation within the meaning of the Auto Stage and Truck Transportation Act in that it was not an operation between fixed points or over a regular route, or routes,

78

and that unless within 30 days said application was amended so as to propose a service within the purview of the Act, it would be dismissed without prejudice. The 30 days have elapsed, and no amendment has been filed.

Now, therefore, good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this application be and the same hereby is dismissed without prejudice.

Deted at San Francisco, California, this <u>3d</u> day of <u>May</u>, 1926.

Commissioners.

-2-