

Decision No. 18208

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

PACIFIC ELECTRIC RAILWAY COMPANY,
a corporation,

Complainant,

vs.

A. M. KING, WILLIAM BRIERSCHORR,
DO WITT BRADY, A. V. HEDRICK,
THE TWIN CITY REALTY BOARD,
FIRST JOHN DOE, SECOND JOHN DOE,
THIRD JOHN DOE, FOURTH JOHN DOE
and FIFTH JOHN DOE,

Defendants.

Case No.2025

ORIGINAL

In the Matter of the Application of
COMMUNITY BUS LINES for certificate
of public convenience and necessity
to operate passenger service between
Harcourt Street and Washington
Boulevard, Los Angeles, and Windward
and Trolley Way Streets, Venice,
California.

Application No.10368

In the Matter of the Application of
PACIFIC ELECTRIC RAILWAY COMPANY
for certificate of public convenience
and necessity to operate an automo -
bile passenger stage service between
the intersection of West Boulevard
and West 16th Street in the City of
Los Angeles, and the intersection
of Venice Boulevard and Trolleyway
in the City of Venice via Washington
Boulevard.

Application No.10406

C. W. Cornell and R. E. Wedekind for Complainant in
Case No.2025.

Rex Hardy and Fred Aberle, Jr., for Defendants in
Case No.2025; for Community Bus Lines, Applicant in
Application No.10368 and Protestant in Application
No.10406.

C.W. Cornell, R. E. Wedekind and O.A. Smith for
Pacific Electric Railway Company, Applicant in
Application No.10406 and Protestant in Application
No.10368.

Rex Hardy for W.A. Prole.

Jess E. Stephens, City Attorney, by Milton Bryan,
Assistant City Attorney, for City of Los Angeles.

J. Ogden Marsh for Board of Public Utilities of City of
Los Angeles.

S.M. Haskins and E. G. Weeks for Los Angeles Railway
Corporation.

BY THE COMMISSION -

O R D E R

WHEREAS, during the hearings on the above entitled matters, which were consolidated for the purpose of receiving evidence, it was stipulated by counsel that the pending complaint in Case No.2025 be dismissed, none of the defendants therein named being at the time of the hearings engaged in the operation of a stage line for the transportation of passengers as alleged in the complaint and amendment thereto, and it, therefore, appearing to the Commission that the complaint in Case No.2025 should be dismissed; and

WHEREAS, the Commission having given full consideration to the record heretofore made in the consolidated applications of Community Bus Lines (Application No.10368) and Pacific Electric Railway Company (Application No.10406) and being of the opinion that the submission heretofore made under date of December 13, 1924, should be set aside and the matters reopened for further hearing,

Now, therefore, good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the complaint in Case No.2025 be and the same hereby is dismissed.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that the submission of Applications Nos.10368 and 10406 as heretofore made on December 13, 1924, be and the same hereby is set aside and the matters are hereby set for further hearing before Examiner Handford on Tuesday, May 10, 1927, at ^{10:00 a.m., in} the Court Room of the Railroad Commission, Room 810, Sun Finance Building, Sixth and Olive Streets, Los Angeles.

Dated at San Francisco California, this ¹⁰7 day of April, 1927.

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]
COMMISSIONERS.