CG:CF .

Decision No. 18861.

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Vallejo Electric Light and Power Company, (a corporation),

Complainant,

Vs.

Great Western Power Company of California, (a corporation),

Defendant.

CRIGINAL

Case No. 2371.

Devlin and Brookman, by Frank R. Devlin, for the Complainant.
Chaffee E. Hall, for the Defendant.

LOUTTIT, COLLISSIONER:

## OBINION

Vallejo Electric Light and Power Company, complainant, asks the Commission to make its order directing Great Western Power Company of California to cease and desist from rendering electric service to the Toll House used in connection with the operation of Carquinez Bridge owned and operated by the American Toll Bridge Company.

A hearing in this matter was held at San Francisco on August 18, 1927.

This Commission has heretofore established the territorial limits within which Vallejo Electric Light and Power Company may serve and has limited the extent to which Great Western Power Company of California may serve within said ter-

bridge company has elected to deal with Great Western Power Company, receiving energy at a metering point located near the south abutment of the bridge. A portion of such energy so delivered after being transferred over the Bridge Company's own lines is used at the Toll House. It is this service that Vellejo Electric Light and Power Company protests, although the Bridge Company's electric facilities lie wholly upon their own property. As I see this matter the Toll House is a necessary adjunct to the bridge and electric service to the Toll House and to the bridge proper may reasonably be considered as one complete service if the consumer so desires. Where a structure, or a group of structures which may reasonably be considered as requiring one complete service, are so located as to lie across a territorial boundary established by this Commission, the consumer should be permitted to take service from either side of such boundary line. The conditions to which this conclusion applies are to be carefully distinguished from an instance where the consumer might build his electric facilities over a boundary line established by the Commission to defeat the purpose for which such boundary was established. I recommend the following form of order. ORDER Complaint as above named and numbered having been filed with this Commission, a public hearing having been held thereon, the matter having been duly submitted and being -3-

GF

now ready for decision, and the Commission being fully advised and good cause appearing, therefore

IT IS HERRESY ORDERED that the above complaint be and the same is hereby dismissed.

The foregoing Opinion and Order are hereby approved and ordered filed as the Opinion and Order of the Railroad Commission of the State of California.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 30 day of September, 1927.