

Decision No. 19563

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Revision of Items)
 covering the application of rates from)
 and to intermediate points as set forth)
 in tariffs issued by F.W. Gomph, Agent,)
 in the name and on behalf of carriers)
 under the jurisdiction of the Railroad)
 Commission of the State of California.)

Case No. 2176.

ORIGINAL

BY THE COMMISSION:

OPINION AND ORDER DENYING PETITION
FOR REHEARING

On petition for rehearing made by respondents, this proceeding was reopened for the purpose of oral argument as to our findings that the addition of Note 2 to Item 10 and Note 4 to Item 20 of Pacific Freight Tariff Bureau Tariff 30-H, C.R.C. 366 and similar items published in joint tariffs issued by F. W. Gomph, Agent, and in the tariffs of the individual carriers, was not justified. Oral argument was presented by all interested parties on November 15, 1926.

Now, upon further consideration of Decision No. 17175 dated July 31, 1926, and of the record in the above-entitled proceeding in the light of the oral argument, and after consideration of the petition for rehearing, and each and every allegation thereof, and being now of the opinion that the petition for rehearing should be denied and that our original decision No. 17175 should be affirmed,--

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that said Decision No. 17175, dated July 31, 1926, be, and the same is hereby affirmed.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that the petition
for rehearing in the matter, as above numbered and entitled
be, and the same is hereby denied.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 2nd day
of April, 1928.

Leon W. Hall
C. Seamy

Thos. J. Rourke

Commissioners.