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BEFORE THE PETHOAD CONCISION OF THE STATE OF CATTEORILA

E. 1. Totter, for the City of Burifngame.
E. W. Fobs, for tine Southern Pacific Company.
I. R. Dawns, for the Market Street Railway" company.

BY THE COMMISSION:

## OPINION

This is an application by the city of Burifngeme for e pedestrian crossing over the tracks of Southern Pacific company and Market Street Railway Company at a point approximately midway between Bunisngeme and Broadway Stations on Soutinem Pacific Compants coast Intine.

- public hearing vas held in Buriingame on January lath, 1928, before Examiner Gannon.

The proposed crossing involves a double track main in ne of each company. These tracks extend in a general nortimesteriy and southeasterly direction through the City of Burlineome and the crossing requested is desired to afford an outlet from that portion Of the city which lies on the northeasterly side of the railways, northwest of oak Grove avenue. This distinct, which is generally referred to as the corbitt and villa Paris subdivisions, consists
of some six or serem blocks of foirly well built-up residence property. Benibit NO. 6 shows that there are now some 125 houses Located in the two tracts.

The aistrict is traversed oy three streets which ron approximately at right angles to tho railroads; namely, oat Grove, Park ond Morreil svenues. Dark Avenue separates the saic two subCivisions, Oak Grove Arenue $1 s 750$ teet south of Paris Lrenue and Norreil Avenue is 600 reet north thereor. Oak Grove dremue is the only one of these three streets which crosses the trecks involved. In this enplication it is proposed to construct a pedestrian croesIng opposite the westeriy terminus of Morrell dvenue.

In the past there heve been two other proceedines before the comission, seciking authority for crossines in this vicinity. In 1914, Appifcgtion No. 1408 was filed, in which the city recuosted permission to construct and extend Pelm Drive across these tracis at a point about 500 feet southeasteriy from tine crossing proposed In the present application. This authority was denied, asifapat an peared that such a crossing wound be extremely angerous. In 1923, by Appifcation NO. 9603, the City applied Ior a crossing at the point where it is now proposed to construct a pedestrien cross ing. This appifation was denied, the commission commenting as Iollows:
> "It may be that there is a necessity for a peaestrion crossing in this neichborhood, but it is not ciear from the testimony thet this pedestrian crossing chould be at Morrcil Mvenue."

Caifornia Drive, a paved throueh street connecting Buringeme and Broedway Stations, which iles or the southwesterly side of and pareliel to the railroads, carries a heavy tratife between the Surlingame and Broadway districts. In order to reach this drive from Morreli dvenue, it is necessary to cross severel narrow parcels of property owned by verious interests, as foliows: a 15\%
richt-or-way of The Pacific Tolephone and Telegraph company; a 100 . right-of-way of the Southem Pacivic company, occupied by two main inne traciks; a 25" strip belonging to the City of Burlingame, on which stands a erove of eucalyptus trees; a $25^{\circ}$ strip of aetached right-oi-way belonging to the Southem Pacitic Company; and, a 25" zight-of-way of the Market Street Zailway Compeny, occupied by a double track electric suburban line.

From a may of the City of Burlingame, filed in this proceeding, it appears that the distance to Burilneame Station from the proposed Morrell zrenue crossing is approximately 3400 feet and to Brosiway Station, tine $\alpha 1$ stance is about 2400 leet. Because, however, of the arrangement of the streets within the aistrict, it appears that ail of the Corbitt Subdivision and the greater portion of Villa Park is actuaily closer, by pubilc thoroughrares, to Buringeame station then it would be to Eroadway Station eren if the proposed crossing at Norrell Avenue were open, the residences ilong the northeriy side of Morrell avenue betng practically the only exception and tine increased distance to these homes is in most instances but 200 or 300 feet. Iikewise, the business district at Burlingeme avenue is closer than the business district at Broedway mould be. The proposed crossing Woula therefore add isttie, if any, to public convenience in reachIng efther the Southem Paciric Stations or the business sections of Suringeane.

It appears that the chier pumpose of the pedestrian crosse 125 sought in this appifcation is to facilitate access to the cars of the Narket Street Railway Company. This company maintains a car stog at Oak Grove Avenue and also one at what is known as Juringeme Terrece, about 1000 feet northwesterly from Oak Grove ivenue and 500 feet southeasterly from the crossing desired. Althoueh the Burn IIngame Terrace stop is approximately opposite the center of the aist上ict, $1 t$ is not readily accessible to residents livine on the
easteriy side of the track, the Oak Grove avenue Station at the southeriy end of the aistinct beline the onjy car ston that cen be conrenientiy used. Buriingame Terrace Station boine situated ebout 500 feet soutinerly from the proposed crossine, it would be Hecessary for a considerabie zumber of residents to walk some for or ixte hundred feet northerly to Norrell spenue and then 500 feet southeriy to the car Station.

It was suggested at the heardng that a orossine at Park svenue, winch is but 150 ieet distant from the Burinngeme Terrece Station, woul prove more comronient in reachine the cars of the Narket Street Railway Compeny, and the evidence would tend to indicate that such is the case.

An inspection of Exhibit No. 6 shows that a crossing at Perk svenue would shorten the distance to a car stop rrom at least 80\% of the residences in the district, whereas one at Morrell ivenue would accomodate not over 50\%. Furthemore, to only a relatively smail mumber of inhabitants voula the crossing proposed at Momseli divenue prove more convenient than one at Park avenue. It furtier appears that in order to reach the street railwoy station, a crossine orer the vracks of the Market street Reilway Company is not necessary, and it is sugsested that if a crossine were constrioted elong the northeriy ine of Park Avenue extended ecross the rieint-of-way of the Southerm Pacific Company, a foot pati could be opened through the grove of trees on city property for a distance of about one hunared and fifty feet to a point opposite the Suringane Ten race stop. An easement across the Southern pacinic companys dem tached right-of-wey would then be required to reach the platroxn of tine Maricet Street Reilway Company.

Based on the above facts, we find that there is sufficiont pubisc necessity to warrant the construction of a public peaestri an
crossing over the main ine of Southem Pacific Compeny in this Vicinity and that this crossine should be constructed opposite the northerly line of Park Avenue. in order will be entered accorkineiy.

요ㄹㅛㅗㄹ

The Board of Trustees of the City of Burlineame navine made application to the Comission to construct a pedestrian crossIng at grade across the tracks of the Southern Pacitic Compeny end the Mariset Strect Railway Compeny at Morrell Avome, a pubise hearine haring been heia, the Comission beine apprised of the facts, the matter beins mar submission and ready for decision; therefore,

IT IS EEREBY ORDESED that permission and authority be and It is hereby granted to the Board of Trustees of the City of Buringeame, County of San Nateo, State of Califormia, to construct a pedestrion path at grade across the tracks of Southern pacitic company at approzimately Engineers Station 508+40 on the center litue of seid company's eastbound main ine track; soid crossing beine further described as that required by the prolongation of the mortheriy sidewaik of Park Avenue, in said City of Buringeme, southwesteriy across the richt-ot-w of said Southem Pacific company, as shown on the map attached to the application.

The above crossing shall be identilied as Crossing No. $2 x-25.8$.

Sald czossing shail be constructed subject to the foliow ing conditions and not otherwise:
(1) The entire expense of constructing the crossine shall be borne by applicent. The cost of maintenance of that yortion of said crossine outside of ines two (2) feet outside of the outside reils sheil be borne by gppilcant. The maintenamec of tient portion of the crossing between lines two (2) feet outside of the outside rails shail be borne by Southem Paciric Company. No
portion of the cost herein assessed to apilicent for the constraction or matntenence of said crossine shait be assessect by ypileant; in any manor whatsoeter, to the operative property of Sontinem Pecitic Compary.
(2) The crossing shail bo constructed of a wieth not greater than ten (10) feer and at an anele of serenty (70) deerees to the raflrocd and with grades of approack not ereater thas six (6) per cont; shail bo protectod by a suitable crossing sign and shail in every way be malle sate for the passace thereon of pedestreens.
(3) Applicam shail, withen thinty (30) days thereatter, notify this Conimssion, in witing, of the compiotion of the instailation of saic crosstug.
(4) It said crossing sheil not have boon instailof witho tin one year from the dete or this onfer, the suthorizetion herein eranted shoil then Lapse and become roid, miess iortiner time is grantec by subsequent order:
(5) The Comisision resorves the riget to moke swow 100ther orecors relatite to the Iocation, cocritraction, oporation,matntemance and protection of sail crossfine as to it may seem rient and proper san to sevoice its peraission it, in its judement, the pabile courronience and necessity demsin such actiom.

IT IS HEREBY FURTEER ORDERED that that portion of the appileation, whicia concerns a prolic crossins at gresde over the tracies of Nariet Streot Rallway Compary, be and it is hereby cemioc.

The effective date of this order sholl be twenty (20) days from the date nereor.

Dated at San Francisco, Califorath, this 3 Con tay of , 1928:


