Decision NO. 19662.


In the Matter of the Application of The Hester Pacific Railroad Company for an order permitting it to construct, maintain and operate its in e of rejirosd at grade, First, across certain public roads, highways and streets in the Covinies of diaraeda and Santa Clara and in the City of san Jose, Second, across certain tracks of the Southern Pacific compant in the Counties of Alameda end Santa Clara, Third, across certain tracks of Peninsular Railway Company in the County of Santa Clara, and Fourth, across certain tracks of Ssn Jose Railroads in the County of Santa clare and in the City of San Jose.

BY TEE COMMISSION:

## SDXIE SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER

The Wester Pacific Railroad Company, applicant herein, on February 27th, 1928, filed its Sixth Supplemental Application In the above entitled proceeding, asking that Decision No. 4744, dated October 12th, 2917, be emended by eifminatine therefrom the requirement that automatic flagmen be installed at crossing No. 23, 24 th Street, in the City of San Jose, and crossing No. 25, W1111ams Street, partly in the City of Sen Jose and pertly in the unincorporated portion of the County of Sente clara, and that in lieu thereof applicant bo permitted to instal standard overhead illuminated " $X^{\prime \prime}$ signs. It appears that under Decision No. 9779 , dated November 18th, 1821, applicant was permitted to
suspend the installation of autometic fleemen at said 24th Street and said Wilifams Street until such time as these streets were peved with permenent pavements, and that said 24 th Street and said Winilams Street have now been paved with permanent pavements. It further appesrs that because of the proximity of said Williams Street to the main San Jose yard of applicant, an gutomatic fiee men would not afford setisfactory protection at that crossing and that appifeant's trains now move over seid crossing at a speed not in excess of live miles per hour. It appears to the Comission that this is not a matter in which a public hearing is necessary and that said Sixth Supplemental Application should in part be granted and in part be denied, theretore,

II IS HEREBY CRDERED thet such portions of the Commission's Decision No. 4744, dated October 12th, 1917, and Decision No. 9779, dated November 28th, 1921, in the above entitied proceeding which require the installation of an automatic ilagmen etcrossing No. 25, Williams Street, be and are hereby rescrinded and. .fir Ifeu of said eutometic flagman, appilcant shail provide the following protection:
(1) Tnstail an overhead crossing sign or signs visibie to tratifc in both directions on seid Wilifame Street in accordince With Standerd No. 2 of the Commission's General Order No. 75. Said sien or sicns shall be illuminated at night.
(2) Provide illumination during all night hours by moens of street lights or otherwise in such a manmer that cers jessing over the crossing can be cleariy secm by traffic moving in each direction on said Wilifams Street.
(3) No train, motor, engine or car shail be operatel
over said crossing at a speed in excess of fie miles per hour.
IT IS HEREBY FURTEER ORDSRED that that portion of applecent's Sixth Supplemental Application in this proceeding, which FeLaves to the modification of the protection heretofore ordered for the crossing of 24 th Street, be and it is hereby denied, and the applicant is hereby directed to carry out ail provisions relating to this crossing in the commission's orders heretofore entered in this proceeding.

In ell other respects, this Compassion's orders hereto. fore entered in the above entitiod proceeding shall remain in tull force and effect.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this $23 d$ day 0* April, 1928.


