

Decision No. 20072.

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ARROWHEAD SPRINGS CORPORATION,  
ARROWHEAD WATER DISTRIBUTING COMPANY, }  
Complainants,  
vs.  
THE ATCHISON, TOPEKA AND SANTA FE  
RAILWAY COMPANY,  
PACIFIC ELECTRIC RAILWAY COMPANY,  
SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY,  
Defendants.

Case No. 2539.

BY THE COMMISSION:

**ORIGINAL**

SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER

Upon further consideration of the record in the above entitled proceeding, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the paragraph commencing at the bottom of page 5 and ending at the top of page 6 of the opinion entered in the above entitled proceeding be and it is hereby amended and modified to read as follows:

"After careful consideration of all the facts of record we are of the opinion and so find that the assailed rates from Arrowhead Springs to Los Angeles and Venice were not and are not unjust and unreasonable, but that the rates from Arrowhead Springs to Long Beach, Ventura, Imperial, San Diego, Santa Barbara, Bakersfield, Fresno, San Jose, Oakland, San Francisco, Stockton and Sacramento were, are, and for the future will be unjust and unreasonable to the extent they exceeded, exceed or may exceed the following."

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that in all other respects our opinion and order entered on the 12th day of April, 1929, shall remain in full force and effect.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 16<sup>th</sup> day of April, 1929.

Thos S. Lovitt  
C. Sealey

M. J. Cim  
Commissioners.