Decision No. 22798 .

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALLFORNIA.

In the matter of the application of
THE CITY OF LCS ANCELES, THE ATCHISON,
TOPEKA AND SANTA HE RAILWAY COMPANY,
and the LOS ANCELES & SALT LAKE RAILROAD
COMPANY, for a just and equitable apportion—
ment of the cost of the construction of a
viaduct across the Los Angeles River and
the tracks of said railway company and rail—
road company at Sixth Street, in the City
of Los Angeles.

Application No. 15627.



BY THE COMMISSION:

SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER

The City of Los Angeles, one of the applicants in the above entitled matter, on July 26, 1930, transmitted to the Commission for its approval a certified copy of an agreement entered into by the County of Los Angeles, the City of Los Angeles, The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company and Los Angeles and Salt Lake Railroad Company. In its letter of transmittal, the City of Los Angeles requested that the Commission issue a supplemental order reapportioning the costs of said viaduct in accordance with the allocation and apportionment thereof as outlined in said agreement above referred to.

to the fact that the County of Los Angeles has agreed to participate in the costs of the simmer to the extent of fifty (50) per cent of that amount apportioned to the City of Los Angeles, and also due to the fact that the City and County of Los Angeles have determined that it is desirable in the construction of the viaduct and approaches that provisions be

MEN

50 made for future use of the surface thereof for the street or rapid transit railways. The additional cost of the viaduct and approaches, due to this change in design, is to be borne by the City and the County. It appears to this Commission that the request of the City of Los Angeles is reasonable and should be granted, therefore IT IS HEREEY ORDERED that Condition No. 3 of the Commission's Decision No. 21166, dated May 28, 1929, in the above entitled application be and it is hereby amended to read as follows: (3) The cost of construction and maintenance of said viaduct should be borne in accordance with the agreement dated January 31, 1930, heretofore entered into between the interested parties, a certified copy of which was filed with this Commission on July 26, 1930, which provides for the apportionment of cost on the following basis: The Salt Lake Company shall pay (for and on account of the other interested railroad companies) the extra cost of said viaduct due to provision being made for said elevated railroad structure from the said river bank to the passenger station of the Southern Pacific Company and for the elevated railroad structure for the use of the Pacific Electric Railway Company. m(2) The City and County shall pay the extra cost of said viaduct due to provision being made for the future use of the surface thereof by street or rapid transit railways. "(3) After deducting said extra costs specified in paragraphs (1) and (2), the remainder of the cost of construction of said viaduct, the approaches thereto, and said underpass, shall be apportioned and borne as follows: The City The County The Atchison Company . . . The Salt Lake Company In all other respects this Commission's Decision No. 21166 of May 28, 1929, in this matter shall remain in full force -2and effect.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 19772 day of August, 1930.

Smush ods

Commissioners.