IW Decision No.\_\_23774 BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA In the Matter of the Application of R. HOOPER and M. F. TANNER for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate auto truck freight service for the purpose of transporting theatrical properties, Application

trunks, scenery, etc., for the Western Vaudeville Managers Association,

only, between certain points in

No.10893 

H. W. Kidd, for Applicant. E. E. Bennett, for Los Angeles & Salt Lake Railroad Company, Protestant. Warren E. Libby, for Bouleverd Express, Protestant. R. E. Wedekind, for Pacific Electric Railway Company,

Protestant. B. J. Frost and L. B. Young, for Southern Pacific

Company, Protestant.

H. J. Bischoff, for Coast Truck Line, Protestant.

Phil Jacobson, for Rex Transportation Company and

City Transfer Company, Protestants.

E. T. Lucey, for The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company, Protestant.

BY THE COMMISSION -

Southern California.

## ORDER OF DISMISSAL

By this application, as amended, M. F. Tarmer, as successor by purchase to the partnership of R. Hooper and M. F. Tarmer, has petitioned the Railroad Commission for an order declaring that public convenience and necessity require the operation by him of an automobile truck service as a common carrier of theatrical properties, trunks, scenery and other paraphernalia for the Western Vaudeville Managers Association and the members thereof, between the theaters known as the Western Vaudeville Association Circuit in Southern California and over the routes serving the cities and points, as well as intermediate points along said routes, in accordance with the following description of said routes:

- Los Angeles to Glendale.
- Glendele to Alhambra.
- Alhambra to Santa Ana. 3.
- Senta Ana to Long Beach 4.
- Long Beach to Ocean Park. Ocean Park to Southern Pacific 5.
- Depot, Los Angeles. Long Beach to Walnut Park or Los Angeles. 7.
- 8.
- Walnut Park to Los Angeles. Los Angeles or Long Beach to San Diego. 9.
- San Diego to Los Angeles. 10.

A public hearing on this application was conducted by Examiner Handford at Los Angeles at which time the matter was duly submitted for decision.

Subsequent to the date of submission the Commission was advised by the attorney for applicant that his client had disappeared; that mail addressed to him had been returned by the United States Postal authorities; and that as far as the attorney knew, the applicant was no longer in business. these circumstances it would appear advisable to dismiss the application.

Now, therefore, good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this application be and the same hereby is dismissed.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this Flan day of June, 1931.