Decision No. $\qquad$ 26718 .

BEFORE THE RAILROD COMAISSION OF TEE STATE OF CAIEFORNIL


Case No. 3187.

BE TEE CONAISSION:

$$
\underline{O} \underline{\underline{I}} \underline{\underline{N}} \underline{I} \underline{O} \underline{N}
$$

Complainant alleges by complaint Ifled January 26, 1932 and as amended, that the charges assessed and collected on numerous carloads of wheat, bariey and beet puip transported From Iracy, Byron and Breatwood to Test Petalum during the troJear perioc immedately preceding the filins of tice complaint, were in riolation of the Iong and short hati provisions of section 24(a) of the Public Jtilities Act and of Section 21 \&rticle XII of the State constitutior.

Reparation oniy is sougtt. Rates are stated in cents per 100 pounts.

Chares were assessed on complatnent's shipmonts on basis of the line-haul rates of 9 cents from 3 yron and Brent-
 terifis plus a switchine chargo equivelent to $i z$ cents for the movement between Petaluma and West Petelume. This switching rate
was contained in Petaiuma \& Santa Rosa Rallooad Terminal Tariff $2-E, C . R . C . ~ 104$, and wes zot absorbed on the shipments here involved. Under the provisions of its Terminal Tariff 4-N, C. R.C. 343, defendant absorted the if-cent charge in connection with competitive traftic as that term is defined in the tarifr.

From Stockton to Petalume the oncurrentiy applicable rate was 9 cents. Stocikton is a more distant competitive point and the switching charge between Petalumand West Petaluma is absorbed in connection with a shipment originating there. Thus the aggregate charges Iram Stocirton were less than assessed on complainant's shipments moring Irom directiy intermeciate points, creating departures from the long end short haul provisions of Section 24(a) of the act. at tie time complainant's shipments moved these departures were wauthorized.

Defendant acmits the allegations of the compiant and bas signified its willingess to meke a reparation abustment, therefore moder the issues as they row stand a formal bearing W111 not be necessary.

Upon consiceration of all the lacts of record we are of the opinion and find that the cbarges assessed and collected on complainant's shipments were in violation of the long ane short haul provisions of Section $24(a)$ of the Public Utilities Let anci of Section 21 Articie XII of the State Constitation. We further find that complainant made the shoments as describea, paid anc bore the cherges thereon and is entitied to reparation With interest at six yer cent. per annumb

The exact amount of reperation due is not or record. Complajnant will submit to defencant for verification a statement of the shipments made and upon the payment of the reparation defendant will notify the Comission the amomet thereof. Should it not be possibje to reach an agreement as to the
reparation award the matter meg be referred to the Commission for further attention and the entry of a supplemental order should such be necessary.

## 

This case being at issue upon complaint and answer on file, Jul investigation of the matters end things involved having been had, and basing this order on the fIndings of lect and the conclusions contained in the opinion which precedes this order,

IT IS ERT ORDERED that defendant NOrThwestern Pacific Railroad Company be ane it is hereby authorized and directed to refound witt interest at six (6) per cent. per annie to complainant Bunt \& Bebrens all charges collected in excess of 9* cents per 100 pounds for the transportation from Byron, Brentwood and Tracy to ifFiest Petaluma of the shipments of wheat, barley and beet pip involved in this proceeding.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this $\qquad$ day of app iI, 1932.


