Decision No. 24745___

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE SEATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application No. 18112.

In the Matter of the Application of PACIFIC GREYHOUND LINES, INC., a corporation, to reroute its existing service in the vicinity of El Rio; to reroute existing service between Oxnard and Santa Monica and for a proposed alternate route at Newcastle.

 $\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{A}$

EY THE CONSISSION:

<u>o f i z i o z</u>

Pacific Greyhound Lines, Inc. by this application seeks three amendments to the order in Decision No. 23244 on Application No. 16989 fixing the routes over which it is to operate.

By reason of the construction of a new bridge over the Santa Clara River near El Rio, Ventura County, and the relocation of the state highway via a subway under the Southern Pacific tracks, applicant desires to avoid the grade crossing on the present route via El Rio and adopt the one enjoying the safety of the subway. The change will have no other effect on the service rendered on such of its schedules as do not serve El Rio directly.

The second request is for a rerouting of its service between Oxnard and Serra, via the coast route by which it will use Conter Street to East Channel Road, thence to Ocean Avenue, instead of using West Channel Road for the connection. The change makes a better connection and involves no change in service or fare points.

The third alteration is routing its vehicles, at option, by either the old route through Newcastle, or the new route using the new subway on the state highway one-half mile from Newcastle. By either routing the service avoids grade crossing. It appears, however, that the old route via Newcastle is the only way to maintain service directly to this community and a check of the patronage at this point justifies retention of it for local schedules, particularly westbound. Applicant consents to amendment of its routing to permit the use of the new highway for through service, on eastbound local schedules for passengers destined to Newcastle.

We believe this is a matter in which a public hearing is unnecessary and that the application should be granted.

$O \underline{R} \underline{D} \underline{E} \underline{R}$

Pacific Greyhound Lines, Inc. having made application herein for certain alterations of its routings, as fixed by Decision No. 23244 on Application No. 16989,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the order in said decision be amended by substituting for paragraphs 55-B, 70 and 26 the following:

- 55-B The main highway through Montalvo and El Rio, and thence either through Oxnard to Camarillo or direct between El Rio and Camarillo and thence through Calabasas, Universal City, and Hollywood, or via the El Rio subway not serving El Rio.
- 70 Between Oxnard and Serra via the Malibu Highway to Beach Road, thence either via Beach Road to Colorado Avenue to Ocean Avenue or via Center Street to East Channel Road to Ocean Avenue, thence from Ocean Avenue to applicant's Santa Monica station on Santa Monica Boulevard; thence via the main highway through Venice, Playa del Rey, El Segundo, Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach, San Pedro, Long Beach, Huntington Beach and Newport Beach.
- 26 Between Sacramento and California-Nevada State Line at a point where the Victory Highway between Floriston, California and Verdi, Nevada, intersects the State Line, via the main highway through Roseville, Auburn, Colfax and Truckee serving Newcastle proper on all local westbound schedules and on all eastbound local schedules serving Newcastle for passengers destined Newcastle and with the right to operate through schedules via subway route.

In all other respects the Commission's Decision No. 23244 dated December 31, 1930 on Application No. 16989 shall remain unchanged.

	_	•	California, this 2ml day	
of	Man	1932.		
			Ordenand	

All of Can S. Hay