Decision No. 25883.

BEYORT THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

EDWARD & JOHN BURKE, LTD.,

Complainant,

VS.

Case No. 3527.

METROPOLISMI WAREHOUSE COMPANY,

Defendant.

OPICIMA!

BY THE COMMISSION:

OPIZIOZ

This case is an aftermeth of Re illem Bros. Inc. et al., Decision 25024, dated August 1, 1932, in which the Commission, finding that various werehousemen in Los ingeles and vicinity, including the defendant here, had been departing from their published teriffs, ordered such werehousemen promptly to proceed to collect all undercharges. The complainant is one of the customers of the defendant which had been charged off-tariff rates. It now claims that the tariff rates were unreasonable to the extent they exceeded the charges actually paid, and asks the Commission to authorize the waiving of the undercharge. The defendant warehousemen admits the allegation of the complaint and in effect joins in the prayer for relief.

Compleinant stored in defendant's warehouse numerous lots of ginger sle in certons or cases measuring .69, .7 and l.5 cubic feet, and weighing 23, 24% and 57 pounds respectively. On

the cortons measuring .69 or .7 cubic feet it paid charges at the rate of one cent per package per month storage, Li cents per package handling and 45 cents per ton unloading; on the cases measuring 1.5 cubic feet, Li cents per package per month storage, 2i cents per package handling and 45 cents per ton unloading. The rates lawfully applicable were 2 cents per package per month for storage, 2i cents per package for handling and 45 cents per ton for unloading.

The rates charged on the cortons measuring .69 and .7 cubic feet would have been applicable had the weight not exceeded 21 pounds. Because of the difference of 2 and 32 pounds, however, the applicable charges were doubled. The cases measuring 1.5 cubic feet were subject to charges for cases measuring 2 cubic feet. Furthermore, had no specific commodity rate been provided for any of these articles, the rate on merchandise N.O.S. (not otherwise specified), which is the same as or lower than that sought, would have been applicable. Defendant states that it did not intend that the charges should be so materially increased because of the additional weight or larger size, but that through negligence the necessary tariff change was not made at the time the size of the carton was changed. A specific rate of substantially the volume of that sought has since been established in California Warehouse Tariff Bureau Tariff 7-B, C.R.C. No. 57, to which defendant is a party.

The issues herein are substantially similar to those in Case 3451, Canada Dry Ginger &le. Inc. vs. Union Terminal Warehouse, decided March 7, 1935, wherein the waiving of like undercharges was authorized. The record in that case was by stipulation made a part of the record here.

We believe that this is a matter in which a public hearing

is not necessary and that defendent should be authorized to weive collection of the outstanding undercharges. (San Francisco Milling Co. Ltd. vs. Southern Pacific Co., 34 C.R.C. 433.)

CRDER

This case being at issue upon complaint and answer on file, full investigation of the matters and things involved having been had, and basing this order on the findings of fact and the conclusions contained in the opinion which precedes this order,

IT IS HEREST ORDERED that defendent Metropolitan Warehouse Company be and it is hereby ordered to cease and desist from demanding from complainant Edward & John Burke, Ltd., charges for the storage and handling of the lots of ginger ale involved in this proceeding in excess of those herein found reasonable.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that defendant Metropolitan Warehouse Company be and it is hereby authorized and directed to weive the existing undercharges on complainant's merchandise involved in this case.

Deted at Son Francisco, California, this 24 th day of April, 1933.