Decision No. 1/462

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

-000-

)

In the Matter of the Application of OLLINGER & METTLER for certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate passenger service between Los Angeles and Big Bear Lake.

Application No. 8516

BY THE COMMISSION.

ORDER

Ollinger & Mettler, co-partners, have filed an application with the Railroad Commission in which they petition for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the operation of an automobile stage line as a common carrier of passengers between Los Angeles and Big Bear Lake, serving resorts in the vicinity thereof. It is not proposed to transport passengers between any points intermediate, San Bernardino-Los Angeles.

Applicants propose to charge a one way rate of \$8.00 and a round trip rate of \$15.00 per passenger and to operate in such service two Pierce Arrow 8-passenger automobiles making one round trip per day.

They give as justification for the granting of the certificate prayed for a statement to the effect that the service proposed would be more comfortable, direct and shorter and is a class of service required by the people of Bear Valley.

In connection with Case No. 1752, San Bernardino Chamber of Commerce vs. Motor Transit Company and Application No. 7784

Richard Bruce Cregar for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the operation of an automobile passenger stage line between San Bernardino and Bear Lake resorts, the Commission recently conducted hearings extending over a period of nine days in which a voluminous mass of testimony and exhibits were submitted bearing upon public necessity and convenience with respect to passenger and freight service between Los Angeles. San Bernardine, Redlands and the Bear Lake territory. It was shown, after a lengthy investigation, that the service as now rendered by the Motor Transit Company between such points in conjunction with the service of three railroad lines between Los Angeles, San Bernardino and Redlands, that traffic requirements were being adequately met by existing transportation companies, and with improvements in the service of the Motor Transit Company as directed in this Commission's Decision No. 10760, which improvements have been carried out to the satisfaction of all parties, there was no requirement for theestablishment of any additional transportation service in this territory.

In view of the very recent and extended investigation into treffic requirements over the route proposed to be served by applicants herein, we do not believe that duplicating this work at this early date would serve to benefit either applicant or the public using the service proposed and, accordingly, no public hearing would be necessary in the above entitled application,

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above ontitled application be, and the same hereby is denied.

Commissioners