Decision No. 6886

BEFORE TEE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF MED SAME OF CALIFORNIA.

A.Iarsson. for Complainant,

Frank B. Austin and E. T. Kin, for Defendant.

IOVETAND, COMMISSIONER:

$$
0 \underline{P} \mathbb{N} \underline{\underline{N}} \mathbb{N}
$$

Complainant is a corporation engaged in the amber business at Meed, Cal. By complaint. seasonably Piled. it alleges that defendant's charges on 10 carloads of old jails. shipped from Grass Lake and Nifrphy. Cal. to Mt. Hebron, Car. during November and December, 1916 were wins and reasonable. The shipments consisted of 5 cars from Grass Lake on November 29 . 1916 and a Isle number from morphy on December 6, 1916. In each instance rate of 20 conte per 100 pounds $\pi a s$ assessed. EFfective February 26, 1917 rato of 10t cents per. 100 ponds was publishod between the points in ginestion Reparetion is asked on basis of tine difference between the charges assessed and those that monad accrue by $n$ of of the 10 cont. rate sabsequentiy published, or such other rate as may be ford proper.

An exifilit was filod on bohaif of complainant showing commodity rates between points in the same texritory as that ombraced in this proceoding and in othor distriots, some of which were lower than rate of 10 conts prollshed by defendant, but the evidence of record does not warrant a finding that such rates were romarant fatr and a proper measure for the rate between the points in dispate.

The rate of 107 cents was voluntarily established by defondant porsaant to request of the Teed Iumber company for rate on this comodity lorrer than the then exiating class rate and the presumption attaches that defendant considered it reasonable and componsatoxy at the time.

Taking into consideration the testimony and exhibit sabmittea, I am of the opinion and hereby find as a fact that the rato chargea on the shipments in question was mareasonable to the extent that it exceeded $10 \frac{\pi}{2}$ cente per 100 pownds, minsmum 40000 pornde.

No order for the fature may bo made, as the defendant carrier is now meder federal control and cortain rate increases have been establisined by authority of the Director General of Roilioads, as restit of wifch the rate 10 zents, on which reparation is préd́icated, no jonger existe.

I forther find that complainont mede the shipments as. described, paid and bore the charges thereon and has boen damaged to the extent of the aiflerence between the froight charges paid and those that world have accrued woder the rate herein fornd reasonable and that it is entitiod to reparation refone in the amount of $\% 368.52$, with interest at rats of $7 \%$ per annom.

The following form of oxder is submitted:

## ORDER

Complaint and answer herring been silo in the above entitled proceeding, a public hearing having been held, the Commission being inly apprised in the premises and basing its order on the finding of fact winton appears in the foregoing operon,

IT IS EEREBY ORDERED that the Souther Pacific Company De and the same is hereby authorized and directed to pay rato complainant, Weed lamer Company, on or before gan. 20,1920
 ann um from date of collection, as reparation, on account of mareasomela charges exacted for tin transportation of 10 cere of old rails from Grass Lake and Morphy, Cai. to Mt. Hebron, CaI., more particularly described in Exhibit A attached to and made a part of complaint.

The foregoing opinion and order are hereby approved and ordered filed as the opinion and order of the Railroad Commission of the State of CaIftomis.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this $38^{2}$ day of hrvencher. 1919.


