

ORIGINAL

Decision No. 7719.

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. M. HARRIS. ET AL.,

Complainants.

vs.

CALIFORNIA VINEYARDS AND  
IMPROVEMENT COMPANY, a  
Corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 1171.

In the Matter of the Application  
of the California Vineyards and  
Improvement Company, a corporation,  
for an order fixing rates to be  
charged for water.

Application No. 4337.

BY THE COMMISSION.

FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER

On June 3, 1919, the Railroad Commission made its order  
(Decision No. 6382) in Case No. 1171. C. M. Harris, et al., vs.  
California Vineyards and Improvement Company, and Application No. 4337,  
In the Matter of the Application of California Vineyards and Improve-  
ment Company, a corporation, for an Order Fixing Rates to be Charged  
for Water, a part of which order reads as follows:

"IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that California  
Vineyards and Improvement Company \* \* \* \* \*  
file with the Railroad Commission, within twenty  
days of the date of this order, detailed plans for  
the improvement of its water system by the in-  
stallation of a tank of not less than 20,000 gal-  
lons capacity. Upon the approval by the Commission  
of the plans for the contemplated improvements the  
company shall proceed with due diligence thereafter  
to execute such plans, and shall report progress  
every thirty days thereafter until completion of  
the improvements."

On June 14, 1919, California Vineyards and Improvement Company

filed an application for rehearing, asking that the Commission reverse its order insofar as it relates to the installation of the tank required by that portion of its order above quoted, and thereupon the Commission made its order extending the effective date of Decision No. 6382 in that particular regard, until the further order of said Commission. The application for rehearing was subsequently denied (Decision No. 6932, dated December 9, 1919).

On February 24, 1920, however, California Vineyards and Improvement Company filed with the Railroad Commission a petition signed by the entire list of water consumers of said company, asking that the Commission withdraw its order requiring said company to install a reservoir or storage tank.

Subsequent to the filing of this petition, a field investigation of the water system in question was made by a representative of the Commission's Engineering Department, and upon consulting with the principal complainants in this matter, it was found that a general disposition prevailed to allow California Vineyards and Improvement Company to be relieved of the Commission's requirement as to the improvement of facilities specified.

Taking the above facts into consideration,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that that part of this Commission's order (Decision No. 6382), above quoted, be and the same is hereby rescinded and set aside, said order in all other respects to remain in full force and effect.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 16<sup>th</sup> day of June, 1920.

Edwin O. Sargent  
H. L. Loveland  
Irving M. Austin