

Decision No. 82/6.

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ્ર્સ્ટ Application Number 6070.

------

In the Matter of the Application of OCEAN SHORE RAILROAD COMPANY

for an order permitting it to discontinue operating its line of railroad between Army and Illinois Streets in the City and County of San Francisco and Tunitas in the County of San Mateo, its southerly terminus, and between a point just south of Army and Kansas Streets to the corner of 12th and Mission Streets in the City and County of San Francisco, and to remove its track and all appurtenances thereto constituting the said line of railroad.

> McCutchen, Willard, Mannon & Greene, by F.F. Thomas, Jr., for applicant: Harry A. Encell for Coast Side Promotion Association, M.D. Johnson for Montara Realty Development Cor, and for himself, protestant; Seth Mann for San Francisco Chamber of Commerce; Joseph M. Cummings for the Downtown Association; J.M. Cummings representing certain industries of San Francisco; F.W. Sawyer for the Arleta Tract Association.

DEVLIN, Commissioner.

## <u>OPINION</u>

In this proceeding OCEAN SHORE RAILROAD COMPANY, a corporation, asks the Railroad Commission to make an order authorizing it to discontinue operating its line of railroad in the City and County of San Francisco and in the County of San Mateo, commencing at Illinois and Army Streets in the City and County of San Francisco, and extending to Tunitas in San Mateo County, together with its branch line commencing just at a point south of Army and Kansas Streets and extending

-1-

ES

to Twelfth and Mission Streets in the City and County of San Francisco and remove its tracks and all appurtenances thereto. In brief, this application involves the discontinuance of all operations from Tunitas northward to and within the City and County of San Francisco. The request of applicant is based upon the ground that its operating revenues are not sufficient to meet operating expenses and necessary disbursements, irrespective of a return on the investment.

Ocean Shore Railroad Company was organized in 1911 and acquired the properties of Ocean Shore Railway Company through foreclosure sale. The company owns 53.63 miles of main line track, -38.08 miles extending from Tunitas northward to San Francisco and 15.55 miles from Swanton southward to Santa Cruz. The former mileage constitutes the northern division, the latter the southern division. The discontinuance of service over the southern division is before the Commission in another proceeding.

The Ocean Shore Railway Company, predecessor to Ocean Shore Railroad Company, applicant herein, intended to construct and operate a double track electric railway between San Francisco and Santa Cruz by way of Ocean View and the shore line of the Pacific Ocean. At the time construction ceased, there was built 38.15 miles of single track, standard gauge, southerly from San Francisco to Tunitas and 15.5 miles northerly from Santa Cruz to Scott Junction with a short branch line from Scott Junction to Swanton. No line of railway has been built between Scott Junction and Tunitas, a distance of 26 miles. Only about 2.4 miles in San Francisco are electrically operated, -the remainder being operated by steam.

The entire properties, the northern as well as the southern division, of the Ocean Shore Railroad Company have since 1912 been operated at a loss. The company's revenues, expenses and disbursements appear in Table No. 1 following, prepared by the Commission's Department of Finance and Accounts after an examination of the company's records.

2

## TABLE HÔ. "1"

O CEAN SHORE RAILROAD COMPANY -- (Whole System )

COMPARATIVE REVENUES AND INCOME STATEMENT FOR PERIOD --January 1, 1912 to June 30, 1920.

۰.

Ł

								-													-:	-																				·		
	i	1.	. ?	97	12	1	<u>,</u> ]	. 9	<del>)</del> )	1 3		1:	1	. 9	1	4	i		1 1	9 3	L 5	i	]	1 9	) 1	6	1	1 !	9 1	7	:	1	9	1	8	1	11	9 ]	19	1	6	<b>#8</b> F	Jth'	<i>.</i>
Operating Revenue:	18	\$				1	18.					: \$			-		ł	Ę.				1	ţ.			··· <b>····</b>	18	-			1	ţ				: \$	-	•		1	\$			-
	: ]	16 . 4	9	.67	75 🕳 5	521	17	1,	,2?	33."	76	:1	78	1,1	.72	.44	i 1	14	9,9	967	.2	31	100	),0	)29	. 35	11	. <b>14</b> /	,43	2.(	501	10	£,0	<b>X4</b>	.6(	0:1	.00	<b>.7</b> 8	38.	06:	44	,25	58.1	261
Passenger Revenue	1	8.!	5	.31	18 9	9 O7	1. 8	<b>.5</b>	,45	12.	89	1.	85	. 9	54	.2	3 1	. 61	8,0	022	\$+9(	0:	- 47	7,8	391	,61	L <b>t</b> -	45 ;	,24	4.(	65 :	. 41	2,6	562	. 19	€1	48	.7	14.	42:	22	38	32.1	221
Excess Baggage Revenue	1		•		15 - 0			•		8.7						.30				. 35	. 8	5:	•	•	20	. 35	1	• • •	. ı	2.	75 1			9	.16	51				901			1,2	
Mail Revenue	1	1	2	,41	64 - 9	<b>31</b> 7	4	2,	,48	85.(	00	1	2			.4(			3,?	339	.2	5:	3	3,2	933	.70	):	3	, 37	0.(	041	1	3,3		. 65			,25	;9,	761	: 1	. 88	85.6	5 Ö1
Express Revenue	1:	1	з,	,57	14 . 5	551	1 :	3,	j31	18. ]	16	1		. <b>,</b> 0	)30	. 00	) <b>:</b>	:		35 0						.58					391						9	,26	53.	36:	8		41.4	
Milk on Passenger Trains	1				05 - 8									3	64	.82	1 5		•	- 4	• 0	51		٠.	<b>.</b>		1	-	ัน.		t		•	<b></b> '		t				31:			88.6	
Other Passenger Train Rev.	1		-	- <b>m</b>		ŧ	4	-	•	•		1		_	-		1					:			4		1:		-		<b>, 1</b>			-		1		١	<b></b>	. 1	;	•	-	1
Switching Revenue	1	· #	7,	,77	22.5	501	1 1	57	•	07.5						. 00			5,(	075	••0(	0:	6	5,4	15	• 00							7,4	76	•5(	0:	7 ;	,46	51.	00:	: 3	,87	13.(	001
Special Service Train Rev.	1			<b>_</b>		7	4		2	25.2	24	<b>t</b>	6			.40				<b>94</b>		:		•	•.		1	13	, 06	2	501		•	-		1			<b>#</b> .	1	;		*	:
Misc. Transportin Revenue				ła		;	4		•	4	•	:		1	.35	.55	j 1			16	5.01	0:		-	•		Ł				:			-		t		ŧ	<b>M</b>	:	į		-	1
Revenue from other than transportation	* n*_			<u> </u>	35 - 4	<b>.</b>	•			50.3					-	•15				436												-								35			44.7	
TOTAL OPERATING REVENUE	12	17 : 1	2	1	52.6	571	127	0,	158	,1.	92	12	84	7	33	.29	1	23	1,1	246	.41	21	16]	12	34	.98	111	87	44	8.	581	165	<u>5 ڈ</u>	30	.2(	<u>);1</u>	71	,3(	<u> )</u> 3.	16:	82	41	15.7	13:
Operating Expenses:	1		-	•••	-	1						1	· `				1		••	-		1		-		-	1		•	-	1	-	•			1	•			- 1	 		-	1
Maint of Way & Structures	1	3.	.7	.3/	61.7	781	1 6	, <b>4</b> ,	,50	J <b>1</b> +F	53	1	84	,6	69	. 08	3 <b>t</b>	91	0,8	874	,,1(	61	67	1,2	231	.76	1	52	,76	8.	39:	49	9,6	373	. 82	21	70;	, 35	;2.'	75 t	: 35	,56	50.1	32:
" " Equipment	1	4 8	8	97	10.8	88:	: 5	59,	14	12.2	26	2	60	);0	)13	, 55	5 1	56	6 4	452	2.47	71	46	5 7	16	.52	21	45	35	8.9	991	- 48	8,3	341	. 23	31	53	,29	97.	83:	: 27	53	35.8	831
Traffic Expense	1		7	34	\$7.4	49:	1 (	6.	.93	36.(	80	1	- 5	10	)32	.41	11	٤ (	б і б	572	2.15	51	- 4	1.2	267	.28	31	-4	,00	5.3	111	3	3 3	375	. 69	91	3	34	14.	281	11	67	70.9	91:
Transportation Expense	:]	10:3	3.	18	62.8	871	1105	)5,	,83	38.8	89	:1	18	2	38	. 08	3 :	10;	2.3	321	1.56	61	71	L , 2	215	.46	51 - I	75	97	6.0	871	- 85	5,8	398	,45	5:1	.04 ;	,74	18.	081	54	,59	97.8	83:
General Expense	t	2 /	8	jn,	11.5	551	1.2	$oldsymbol{A}_{i}$	,60	1.	00	1	21	.,6	46	.45	51	. 17	756	628	3.1	21	- 15	÷56	540	.96	j <b>t</b>	15	,29	4.4	71:	1	3,7	/45	. 3?	31 .	14	<b>,</b> 2{	35.	541	; <b>8</b>	,06	31./	<b>39</b> 1
Trans.for InvestCr.	1			- 14			:		ц.		_	.t		-	a .	•		0.4	4 6	<u>500</u>	).0(	01			<b>.</b>		<b>.</b>		- <b>6</b> 4					• ·		1_			-	<u> </u>	:	<b>.</b>	M .	1
TOTAL OPERATINO EXPENSES	12	12-1	4	.97	14.5	571	26	<u>, î.</u> ,	Ŏ	.9."	76	12	89	.6	29	.67	1	26	8,1	348	1.41	61	205	1.0	71	.98	<u>ii 1</u>	93	,40	4.(	<u> 71</u>	20	1,0	534	.52	<u> </u>	<u>A6</u>	07	28.	48:	12'	1.57	26.5	18:
NetRevenue from Operations	10	4 "	7	11	18. J	101	1	9;	26	2.	16	1	•4	.8	96	.26	3 1	*3'	7.1	102	.0	11	*43	3,8	337	.00	)1	*5	59	5.	391	*3!	5 5	04	. 37	2: *	74	77	25.	32:	+4	5,0	14	151
Tax Acoruals	t		9	.47	18.5	531	+ 1	1	. 09	12.1	98	1	12	. 9	33	-78	1 1	1	3.	17	.71	81	·14	1:6	03	. 37	1	11	:00	9.	21:	- 1	9.)	195	.9%	21 -	9	.41	29.	97:		4.5	357	. 24
Uncollectible Revenue	1		7			,	ι.	-,	ј. "			ī						-								•	1		) - 7	6.	001		-,- +		•••	1		у- - та	<b>7</b> ~ .			- , u		1
	-,-	21		_			. <u></u>	1		·																		-	and the second second	diam diam diam diam diam diam diam diam	-	free states and the	_	-	- 24	i, Ŧ	84	. <u>u</u>	5.	29:	-			- 69
Non-Operating Income	÷-'	<u> </u>	5	4	19.5 18.2	57	، سې	충	57		15	÷		ᡃ᠊ᡲ	20	5	<u>_</u>		<del>,</del> ,	202	-61	<del>Ä.</del>	Ť	<b>ر ب</b> ار	RI	37	÷,	Ť	55	8	177		2.9	56	- 85	ñ.	2	.87	12.	221	 	1.7	3647	35
Grobe Income	<b>.</b> -	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	<u>କ</u> ୍	14	67 . 8	<u>.</u>	است.	الك	$\ddot{\pi}$	18.2	ள்	¦¥	13	÷	Ĭĩ	- 55		-	ă.7	21	$\frac{1}{1}$	ž,	157	Ťĵ	59	- 00	i, <b>*</b>	Ē	48	ă.	Ā3:	-4	ř.7	43	.30	5, <b>T</b>	้สั่	.21	33.	<b>77</b> 1	-4	8.7	204	3
Dedu ations:	÷-'		رگ	100		<u></u>		****				÷		خد			<u>ب</u> ہ '					÷		-1			÷.,	اعتقر	<b>تت ا</b>		 1		منالت	- <b></b> -		1	, <b></b> .	<b>.</b>				نن <b>ات</b>		
Taxes on Non-Oper. Property	i Ut			41	05 - 9	957	•	1	.97	36.8	84	₽ - ₽	2	. 2	76	. 80	3 <b>i</b>	ŧ	5.4	454	-7	11		3	76	. 32	1		58	2.;	33:	•	1.5	23	.74	12	1	.6(	л.	62:		7	790.	. 98
		2			19-3																							4.			271				. 15					38:			959.	-
Total Deductions	<b>.</b> '	2	Ť	4	85 . 3	33	-7	ř.	57	55.7	Ĩ	÷	35	ጘ	12	71	Ť	2	7	55(	1.3	61	-10	5.6	00	- 82	1	Ť			601				.89			_		00:			750.	
TO AND DOMADATANA	<b>.</b> –		بٹ	1		쁫	<u> </u>	<u></u>	1 <u>4 1</u>			÷		<u></u>		<b></b>			<u> </u>			Ť		<b></b> .			1	 	<b>.</b>		ينية. ا		تر <b>ا</b> ید ر			1	امتمار	<b>ki</b> .	متعتبط	معت ا	***			
NET INCOME	•	l	B	.71	82.5	41	+#2	4	.77	17 .	1.6	• • •	38	.9	60	- 25	3 <u>,</u>	+2(	A.]	17)	-41	61	+67	· .7	59	- 82	!:**	20	. 28	0.4	13#	-	8.2	291	. 28	81 *	88	.00	27.	071	+5	1.9	/54,	34
		~	2	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	4					1.															المتحد د				-				ليحجب		-		•••••	- <b>-</b>	. <del>ت</del> سمی	
										-	•								-	-							-																	

(°) - Credit

-3-

: • · • To cover operating deficits of approximately \$355,000.00, to pay reorganization expenses and to acquire additional property, the company has from time to time levied assessments upon its stockholders, such assessments aggregating \$29.00 per share, and has incurred current indebtedness of about \$77,600.00 up to June 30, 1920. The company has no indebtedness other than its current indebtedness. The amount received from assessments is reported at \$1,107,164.93.

The following table shows the decrease in the freight tonnage and passengers carried by Ocean Shore Railroad Company from 1914 to 1919, inclusive:

	Freight	Tonnage	Passengers	Carried	-
• •	Entire line	Northern Division	Entire line	Northern Division	::
1914 1915 1916 1917 1918 1918	305,211 228,170 104,529 111,480 89,317 70,182	261,052 182,832 51,002 60,314 53,204 34,957	196,265 157,477 114,215 103,007 99,898 118,278	166,674 140,657 .101,536 92,736 82,795 95,334	** ** ** ** **

The large decrease in freight traffic on the Northern Division in 1916 as compared with 1915 was caused by the closing down of rock quarries and sand pits. Excluding rock and sand shipments and allowing for fluctuations in crops, the tonnage handled by the Ocean Shore Railroad Company up to 1918 on its Northern Division remained almost stationary. In 1919 and 1920, there has been a marked decrease, caused by automobile trucks operating over paved highway between San Francisco, San Mateo and points along the Ocean Shore. Trucks are being used for several reasons. In some instances, the chargesfor hauling to the railroad are almost as much as hauling to San Francisco or points on the Southern Pacific. Some producers have resorted to trucks during periods of car shortage, or find that their commodities can be handled and brought into market more expeditiously by truck than by rail.

The abandonment of service by the Ocean Shore Railroad is opposed by the Coast Side Promotion Association, Montarg Realty Development Company, Arleta Tract Association, Charles E. Goss and others.

\_4\_

A petition of intervention was filed by the Board of Supervisors of San Mateo County. Protestants contend, in general, that large investments have been made owing to and dependent upon the location and operation of the railroad; that the so-called, "Coast Side", of of San Mateo County, depends largely upon the Ocean Shore Railroad for merketing and transportation of artichokes, peas, beans and other food products, and that further development of the territory may be retarded if abandonment of service is permitted. The Coast Side Promotion Association, one of the protesting parties herein, has submitted a traffic study by J.A. Walden, formerly general agent for the Ocean Shore Railroad Company, but employed by Coast Side Promotion Association in this matter. J.A. Walden finds that by extending the line of railroad to Pigeon Point, -about 12 miles beyond Tunitas, the present terminus- approximately \$60,00.00 of new freight revenue might be In 1912, the Ocean Shore Railroad Company estimated the obtained. cost of completing its line to Pigeon Point at \$823,500.00. No doubt, the cost today would be largely in excess of this amount. The report submitted by J.A. Walden shows that he has interviewed a great many farmers and shippers, and that it is their unanimous opinion that if the Ocean Shore line is taken over by some one of the larger roads, or by a responsible corporation, it will be supported by all concerned. Mr. Welden testified that in his opinion Ocean Shore Railroad Company will not, even with the additional traffic reported by him as possible, make operating expenses.

The Engineering Department of the Commission has submitted a report on traffic possibilities and on the condition of the roadbed and equipment. This report shows that the roadbed is in an unsatisfactory condition and that at least \$75,000.00 will have to be expended before the end of 1921 in the replacement of ties and the rebuilding of bridges.

It is of record that producers and shippers will patronize the railroad if taken over by one of the large roads or by a responsible corporation, but there is no assurance that they will patronize the Ocean Shore Railroad Company. When we find a situation such as the present one, where a railroad offers reasonably good transportation facilities, with scarcely sufficient traffic to support its operation and the traffic producing population of the territory served elect to adopt a competitive mode of shipping, whether the privately operated or common carrier trucks and automobiles, then it must be apparent that the railroad must of necessity cease its operations. In the last analysis shippers and passengers in a given territory will be the determinative body as to whether they will have a railroad or automotive shipping and passenger service, where the amount of business can not properly support both.

I have given careful consideration to the testimony in this proceeding and have reached the conclusion that the revenues of the Ocean Shore Railroad Company are, and will likely continue for an indefinite time to be, inadequate to pay operating expenses and that, therefore, the company should be permitted to discontinue permanently its service on its northern division as a common carrier. Inasmuch as the Order of the Commission herein gives the company the right to discontinue the operation of its line of railroad, the Order also provides that in so far as the authority of this Commission is necessary that such authority be given to the company to dismantle and dispose of its properties.

I herewith submit the following form of Order:

## ORDER

OCEAN SHORE RAILROAD COMPANY having petitioned the Railroad Commission for an order authorizing the discontinuance of its railway service on its Northern Division, the dismantling of its road and the disposition of its properties, public hearings having

6.

been held and the matter having been duly submitted and the Commission being duly advised and basing its order on the findings of fact set forth in the preceding opinion:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that OCEAN SHORE RAILEOAD COMPANY be, and it is hereby, authorized to discontinue permanently on its Northern Division its service as a common carrier, as requested in this application.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that OCEAN SHORE RAILROAD COMPANY be, and it is hereby, authorized to discontinue permanently on its Northern Division its service as a common carrier as requested in this application, and, in so far as the authority of this Commission is necessary to authorize said company to dismantle and dispose of its said property, such authority is hereby given.

The foregoing Ovinion and Order are hereby approved and ordered filed as the Opinion and Order of the Mailroad Commission of the State of California.

> Dated at San Francisco, California, this 9th day of October, 1920.

Elini O. Edger ssioners.

7.